

A problem from Börgers 1.1

Chris Staecker

September 5, 2012

This document is meant to show you how to do various simple stuff in L^AT_EX. If you see anything here that you want to mimic in your writeups, just copy the code and change stuff around as needed.

Exercise: Börgers 1.1 (easy)

In an election involving five voters and three candidates, the preference schedule is:

2	2	1
<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>A</i>
<i>C</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>

Determine the set W of winners using (a) the plurality method, (b) the runoff method, (c) the elimination method, (d) Borda count, and (e) the method of pairwise comparison. Is there a Condorcet candidate? ◦

Solution: Part (a)

A and B both got 2 first place votes while C only got 1 first place vote, so A and B both win. Thus $W = \{A, B\}$. ◻

Solution: Part (b)

Since C got the fewest first place votes, we have a runoff between A and B . Removing C from the election gives 3 voters who favor A over B , and 2 who favor B over A . So A is the winner, so $W = \{A\}$. ◻

Solution: Part (c)

According to the first place votes, C is the weakest candidate. Thus we eliminate C and count votes for A and B just like in Part (b). Again we have $W = \{A\}$. ◻

Solution: Part (d)

Since there are three candidates, a first place vote gets 3 points, second place

gets 2 points, and last place gets 1 point. The counts according to the table are:

$$A : 3 \times 2 + 2 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 = 10$$

$$B : 3 \times 2 + 2 \times 2 + 1 \times 1 = 11$$

$$C : 3 \times 1 + 2 \times 2 + 1 \times 2 = 9$$

Thus $W = \{B\}$.

□

Solution: Part (e)

When A and B go head to head A wins by 1. When B and C go head to head B wins by 3. When A and C go head to head C wins by 3. So each candidate gets 1 pairwise comparison point, so $W = \{A, B, C\}$.

□

Solution: Condorcet?

There is no Condorcet winner, since we just saw that no candidate wins every pairwise comparison.

□

Since I love pictures, here's a picture:

