

Weighted Voting

[10 : 5, 4, 1, 1]
↑ └──────────┘
quota weights

How can we measure each voter's power?

Here the 5 & 4 have equal power,

the 1's still matter, e.g. $5+4+1=10$

Dictator, Dummy, & Veto power

Dictator: one voter who can meet the quota by themselves.

[10 : 11, 5, 1]
↑
dictator

Dummy: a voter whose vote can never matter

[10 : 5, 5, 1]
↑
dummy

Veto Power: a voter whose vote is necessary

to meet the quota.

$$[10: \underbrace{5, 4, 1, 1}]$$

↑
5 & 4 have veto power, 1s do not.

$$[30: 10, 10, 10, 9]$$

No dictator, 9 is a dummy
each 10 has veto power.

$$[30: 10, 10, 10, 10, 9]$$

No dictator, 9 is a dummy.

No veto power - no single 10 is needed
to get to 30
(you can use the other 10s)

$$[10: 14, 4, 1] \leftarrow \text{Dict: 14, veto: 14, dummies: 4 \& 1.}$$

$$[10: 5, 4, 3, 2] \leftarrow \text{Dict: NO, veto: 5, dum: no}$$

$$[10: 7, 3, 3, 2] \leftarrow \text{Dict: no, veto: 7, dum: 2}$$

$$[10: 7, 3, 2] \leftarrow \text{Dict: no, veto: 7 \& 3, dum: 2}$$

$$[10: 14, 9, 1] \leftarrow \text{quota is too small!}$$

$$q \geq \frac{1}{2}(w_1 + \dots + w_N)$$

US electoral college:

[270 : 54, 40, 30, ..., 3]

No dictator

No veto

No dummies

We need a more sophisticated measure of power
a "power index"

[10 : 5, 4, 1, 1]

true power index is

5 : 50%

4 : 50%

1 : 0%

1 : 0%

2 ways to do it, each is
a different definition of power

The Shapley - Shubik Power Index

A way to measure the true power
of each voter.

The measure of power is: who can cast
"the deciding vote"

In $[6: 3, 2, 1, 1, 1]$

Imagine they vote in order, like BACDE.
all voting yes.

Whose vote actually makes it to the quota?

BACDE: 2, 3, ①, 1, 1

↑
C is the pivotal voter
i- BACDE.

BACDE is one of many possible voting permutations

Another perm:

CEBAD: 1, 1, 2, ③, 1
B is pivotal.

Def The Shapley-Shubik (SS) power index of
a voter is the fraction of the time that
this voter is pivotal among all permutations.

Ex $[16: 12, 10, 5]$

All perms of ABC

<u>perms</u>	<u>weights</u>	<u>pivotal</u>
A B C	12 (10) 5	B
A C B	12 (5) 10	C
B A C	10 (12) 5	A
B C A	10 5 (12)	A
C A B	5 (12) 10	A
C B A	5 10 (12)	A

A : $\frac{4}{6}$	=	66.6... %
B : $\frac{1}{6}$	=	16.6... %
C : $\frac{1}{6}$	=	16.6... %

↑
each one is

$\frac{\# \text{ of times this one is pivotal}}{\text{total \# of perms}}$

A B C
[12 : 8, 7, 1]

<u>perms</u>	<u>weights</u>	<u>pivotal</u>	
A B C	8 (7) 1	B	A: $\frac{3}{6}$
A C B	8 1 (7)	B	B: $\frac{3}{6}$
B A C	7 (8) 1	A	C: $\frac{0}{6}$
B C A	7 1 (8)	A	
C A B	1 8 (7)	B	
C B A	1 7 (8)	A	

[12 : 7, 6, 5]

<u>perms</u>	<u>weights</u>	<u>pivot</u>	
A B C	7 6 5	B	A: $\frac{4}{6}$
A C B	7 5 6	C	B: $\frac{1}{6}$
B A C	6 7 5	A	C: $\frac{1}{6}$
B C A	6 5 7	A	
C A B	5 7 6	A	
C B A	5 6 7	A	

For more than 3, there's many more perms!

If we had A B C D : How many perms?

— — — — —
 each blank gets one letter

first blank has 4 options,

next has 3,

next has 2,

last has 1 option.

Total # of options is $\underbrace{4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1}_{= 24}$

called "4 factorial"
 written $4! = 24$

$$3! = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 6$$

Generally, for N different things,
there are $N!$ different permutations.

↑
this is very big
when N is large

$10!$ is 3.6 million

A strange & beautiful formula ~ 1700

Stirling's formula For large N :

$$N! \approx \sqrt{2\pi N} \frac{N^N}{e^N}$$