Fairfield University JOURNAL OF RECORD

Maintained by the Secretary of the General Faculty, Susan Rakowitz

Updated through Summer 2017

Available online at: <u>http://www.faculty.fairfield.edu/gfs</u>

Fairfield University JOURNAL OF RECORD

NOTE: All entries are cross-referenced to the date and method of approval using the following abbreviations:

AC: Approval by vote of the Academic Council

<u>CR</u>: Committee report entered in Journal of Record after appearing twice on Council's agenda without discussion (Note: this procedure was discontinued as of 4/25/88, and all committee reports were thereafter approved by a Council vote indicated by the symbol <u>AC</u>)

<u>GF</u>: Approval by vote of the General Faculty

The Secretary of the General Faculty makes an effort to group entries in appropriate categories, but an electronic search is recommended.

Available online at <u>http://www.faculty.fairfield.edu/gfs</u>

Table of Contents

1.	The Mission Statement of the University and related items	4
2.	Items Related to the Journal of Record	6
3.	The Core Curriculum and related items	8
4.	Items related to Governance, the General Faculty, and General Faculty Meetings	12
5.	Items related to the Academic Council	13
6.	Items related to Committees of the General Faculty	15
7.	Items related to Teaching: Curricula, Grading, Credit, etc	
8.	Items related to Teaching: Approved degrees, majors, minors, etc	
9.	Items related to Appointment, Promotion, Tenure, and Retirement	
10.	Items related to the Faculty Secretary	
11.	Items related to Faculty Rights and Benefits	

Appendices

1.	Guidelines And Procedures For Submission of New Program Proposals	
2.	Guidelines for the Routing and Approval of Proposed Course/Program Revisions in the	
	Undergraduate Divisions	47
3.	Guidelines for the Routing and Approval of Graduate Course/Program Revisions	49
4.	Guidelines for Review of Programs	51
5.	Timetable and Guidelines for Tenure and Promotion	
6.	Guidelines for Sabbatical Proposals	61
7.	Pre-Tenure Research Leave Program - Application Guidelines	64
8.	Summer Research Stipend - Application Guidelines	67
9.	Research Grants - Application Guidelines	
10.	Guidelines for Senior Summer Fellowship	73
11.	Guiding Principles and Procedural Guidelines for Faculty Compensation	76
12.	Guidelines for Faculty Annual Merit Review and Self-Evaluation	
13.	Merit Appeal Process	
14.	Application for Emeritus Status and clarifications	
15.	Process for mediation of disputes concerning violations of academic freedom of faculty	
16.	Policies for Part-Time Students	
17.	Fairfield University Intellectual Property Policy	91
18.	Fairfield University Non-Discrimination and Harassment Policy	
19.	Four Year Honors Program	105
20.	Internship Programs	112
21.	Student Academic Grievance Procedure	114
22.	University Admissions and Tuition Policy	118
23.	Fairfield University Computer Systems Acceptable Use Policy	120
24.	$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}$	
25.	University Council Constitution	129
26.	Fairfield University Institutional Review Board	131
27.	Guidelines for Faculty Recruitment	133

1. The Mission Statement of the University and related items

The Mission Statement:

Fairfield University, founded by the Society of Jesus, is a coeducational institution of higher learning whose primary objectives are to develop the creative intellectual potential of its students and to foster in them ethical and religious values and a sense of social responsibility. Jesuit Education, which began in 1547, is committed today to the service of faith, of which the promotion of justice is an absolute requirement.

Fairfield is Catholic in both tradition and spirit. It celebrates the God-given dignity of every human person. As a Catholic university it welcomes those of all beliefs and traditions who share its concerns for scholarship, justice, truth, and freedom, and it values the diversity which their membership brings to the university community.

Fairfield educates its students through a variety of scholarly and professional disciplines. All of its schools share a liberal and humanistic perspective and a commitment to excellence. Fairfield encourages a respect for all the disciplines ~ their similarities, their differences, and their interrelationships. In particular, in its undergraduate schools it provides all students with a broadly based general education curriculum with a special emphasis on the traditional humanities as a complement to the more specialized preparation in disciplines and professions provided by the major programs. Fairfield is also committed to the needs of society for liberally educated professionals. It meets the needs of its students to assume positions in this society through its undergraduate and graduate professional schools and programs.

A Fairfield education is a liberal education, characterized by its breadth and depth. It offers opportunities for individual and common reflection, and it provides training in such essential human skills as analysis, synthesis, and communication. The liberally educated person is able to assimilate and organize facts, to evaluate knowledge, to identify issues, to use appropriate methods of reasoning, and to convey conclusions persuasively in written and spoken word. Equally essential to liberal education is the development of the aesthetic dimension of human nature, the power to imagine, to intuit, to create, and to appreciate. In its fullest sense liberal education initiates students at a mature level into their culture, its past, its present, and its future.

Fairfield recognizes that learning is a life-long process and sees the education which it provides as a foundation upon which its students may continue to build within their chosen areas of scholarly study or professional development. It also seeks to foster in its students a continuing intellectual curiosity and a desire for self-education which will extend to the broad range of areas to which they have been introduced in their studies. As a community of scholars, Fairfield gladly joins in the broader task of expanding human knowledge and deepening human understanding, and to this end it encourages and supports the scholarly research and artistic production of its faculty and students.

Fairfield has a further obligation to the wider community of which it is a part, to share with its neighbors its resources and its special expertise for the betterment of the community as a whole. Faculty and students are encouraged to participate in the larger community through service and academic activities. But most of all, Fairfield serves the wider community by educating its students to be socially aware and morally responsible persons.

Fairfield University values each of its students as an individual with unique abilities and potentials, and it respects the personal and academic freedom of its members. At the same time it seeks to develop a greater sense of community within itself, a sense that all of its members belong to and are involved in the University, sharing common goals and a common commitment to truth and justice, and manifesting in their lives the common concern for others which is the obligation of all educated, mature human beings.

AC: 04/25/1988

Mission Statement:

The faculty, working collegially with the administration and all relevant parties, will have an essential role in composing and/or revising any future Mission Statement of the University. The text of such a new or revised Mission Statement will be considered for approval by the faculty through its structures of shared governance.

AC: 02/25/1985 AC: 03/07/2011

2. Items Related to the Journal of Record

Journal of Record:

The *Journal of Record* contains policies that have been approved by the Academic Council of the General Faculty and subsequently approved by the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs. It is the approval of both the faculty and the administration that makes such agreements policy and therefore qualifies them for inclusion in the *Journal of Record*. These policies remain in place and can only be changed by the mutual agreement of the Academic Council or the General Faculty and the administration.

AC:03/07/2011

Entry into the Journal of Record:

Committees shall report policy recommendations* to the Secretary of the General Faculty, and the Executive Secretary of the Academic Council. The Academic Council will discuss and vote on the recommendation in a timely manner. Members of faculty committees need to be mindful that recommendations for inclusion in the Journal of Record must be expressed in the language of policy. The language of motions intended for inclusion in the Journal of Record should not be framed as exhortation, or advice, or as an expression of hopeful outcome. If, after the Academic Council has approved a motion for inclusion in the Journal of Record, the Secretary of the General Faculty determines that the language is not expressed appropriately in the form of policy, the Secretary should return the matter to the Academic Council for review and possible revision. The Faculty Secretary, in consultation with the Executive Committee, may propose a revised text in the form of policy for the Council's consideration.

Any policy approved by the Academic Council will be forwarded to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs who shall respond within fifteen calendar days to the Academic Council with either approval or objection. The disposition of the matter shall be reported to the General Faculty through the minutes of the Academic Council. The General Faculty, as in all matters, may address the recommendation in the General Faculty Meeting which immediately follows the Academic Council decision, and may overrule the Council. Once approved the policy will be entered in the Journal of Record by the Secretary of the General Faculty.

*Committees will not report specific non-policy decisions such as recommendations for promotion or sabbatical.

AC: 03/18/1985 amended AC: 04/25/1988 amended AC: 04/04/2011

Only committee policy recommendations, subsequently approved by the Academic Council or the General Faculty, are to be included in the <u>Journal of Record</u>. Any details pertinent to the substance of the policy recommendation should be articulated as part of the recommendation

itself. Supporting materials to the policy should be considered illustrative and not be included in the <u>Journal of Record</u>.

AC: 05/16/1988

Annual Reconciliation of University documents with the Journal of Record:

Policies in University documents (The Undergraduate Catalog, the Graduate Catalogs, The Student Handbook, The Benefit Plans Overview, etc.) must be consistent with policies in the *Journal of Record*. To insure consistency with the *Journal of Record*, drafts of University documents will be shared with the Secretary of the General Faculty in a timely manner prior to publication and any revisions needed to make the documents consistent with the *Journal of Record* will be incorporated prior to publication.

AC: 04/04/2011

The Core Curriculum:

The goal of a Fairfield education is to develop - in each student - the whole person: an intellectual being who can think clearly, accurately, dispassionately; a social being who cares about others and takes one's place in the world with them; a physical being who knows the laws, limitations, and beauty of the natural world; a spiritual being who seeks to make one's life express the truths of religion and philosophy.

Because Fairfield believes that a liberal education can achieve this goal, the General Faculty has developed a <u>general education core curriculum</u> which all undergraduates must take to acquire a broad background in all academic areas. No matter what the student's major or field of specialization, during the years at Fairfield he or she will take from two to five courses in each of five areas.

Within the framework of these five areas, each student has a number of options so that fulfilling the requirement can become a stimulating and enjoyable experience while providing the breadth of knowledge necessary for further studies, and for life as a well-educated human being.

Options within the Core Curriculum:

Area I: Mathematics and Natural Sciences

(1) 2 semesters of mathematics. At least one semester must include a course containing some calculus (MA 10, 19, 21, 25, or 171). A sophomore or upper division course may be used with the approval of the department.

(2) 2 semesters of a natural science. Any two courses in any of the natural sciences fulfill this requirement.

Area II: History and Social Sciences

(1) 2 semesters of history. Hi 30 and one intermediate level course. Also available as an option in this area is CL 115-116 (Greek and Roman Civilization).

(2) 2 semesters in one or two of the social sciences.

Area III: Philosophy and Religious Studies

(1) 2 semesters of philosophy. PH 101 is required.

(2) 2 semesters of religious studies. RS 10 is required.

(3) 1 additional course in either philosophy, religious studies, or applied ethics.

Area IV: English and Fine Arts

(1) 3 semesters of English. EN 11-12 are required. The third course may be selected from any of the English literature offerings which have a number designation of 200 or over. Writing courses (EN/W) do not fulfill the core literature requirement. Also available as options in this area are courses offering classical literature in translation. (See listings under Greek and Roman Studies.)

(2) 2 semesters of fine arts. One semester must be in the area of art history, music history, theater history, or film history.

Area V: Modern and Classical Languages

(1) 2 semesters (at least at the intermediate level) of any language listed among the offerings of the Modern Languages Department or the Greek and Roman Studies Program.

CR: 11/02/1987 amended AC: 04/10/2006 amended AC: 03/07/2011 amended AC: 03/05/2012

Nursing Core Requirement:

Nursing students must complete the core curriculum that is required of all Fairfield undergraduates with one exception. Nursing students enroll in either the two semesters of foreign language or the two semesters of fine arts.

AC: 12/04/1989

Dolan School of Business Core Requirement:

For students in the Charles F. Dolan School of Business, Area V of the core requirements is two semesters of the same language at any level.

AC: 04/02/2012

Core Courses for Undergraduate Students with Minor in Education:

Educational Psychology (ED 241) may serve as one of the two core courses in the Behavioral and Social Sciences for students seeking to complete the undergraduate education minor. AC: 04/10/2001

Restrictions on Courses in Area III of the Core:

It would be understood with regard to Area III of the core curriculum as described above that no course could be accepted for core credit unless:

a. In III (1), it was specifically approved by the Philosophy Department.

b. In III (2), it was specifically approved by the Religious Studies Department.

c. In III (3), it was approved either by the Religious Studies Department or by the Philosophy Department.

CR: 11/02/1987

Undergraduate Curriculum:

To the extent possible and appropriate, departments and schools offering courses in the core should provide as many options as possible, consistent with fulfilling their academic responsibilities within the core program. It is the function of the individual department or school to determine how this can best be done, subject to ratification by the general faculty. Any revisions in this approach (e.g., change in distribution between requirements and options) must be submitted to the UCC for its recommendations and subsequent submission to the general faculty for final approval.

Some departments or schools may require their majors to select specific options within the core offerings, which are more valuable to their particular program.

GF: 03/19/1970 amended CR: 04/20/1987 amended AC: 03/06/2011

American Diversity Requirement:

1. All undergraduate students beginning with the incoming 1995 class (class of 1999) will be required to take one course, which focuses on diversity and pluralism in American society.

2. Students will choose their course from a list of previously approved courses.

3. This new requirement will not increase the size of the present core, but a course taken as part of a student's major, or as an elective my be double counted to fulfill this requirement.

GF: 02/03/1995 AC: 03/07/2011

Criteria and Guidelines for Listing as an American Diversity Course:

I. Criteria

In order to help students develop a critical consciousness of self and society, all undergraduates are required to take one course that gives significant treatment to aspects of diversity and pluralism in U.S. society. Such courses will explore, in a systematic manner, connections among race-ethnicity, class, and gender, and will examine issues of privilege and difference in U.S. society. Additional aspects of diversity may be considered provided that their intersection with race, class, and gender are examined.

II. Guidelines

The reviewing committee must be receptive to the unique approach of each instructor and the manner in which he/she involves diversity principles in his/her courses/course sections.

Although diversity components are encouraged in all courses/course sections, introductory courses, by their general nature, will normally not fulfill this requirement, but are not precluded from being approved.

Depending on their subject area or disciplinary field, the courses might include:

A. An interdisciplinary theoretical approach to the material;

B. Study of the various and possibly conflicting ways difference has been understood and represented;

C. Use of primary sources of a personal and experiential nature, such as memoirs and autobiography, which give voice to a multiplicity of perspectives and points of view.

GF: 11/17/1995 AC: 11/16/2015

World Diversity Requirement:

Students at Fairfield will take one course that focuses on a non-Western culture or society, exclusive of Europe, and the United States, and their literary, artistic, musical, religious, philosophical, political, economic, or scientific traditions. Though courses primarily emphasizing North American and European topics will NOT count toward this requirement, courses focusing on Native American, Russian, and pre-Columbian or Latin American cultures CAN meet the requirement. Core language courses do not meet this requirement while literature and culture courses may satisfy this requirement. Moreover, such a course will NOT emphasize international relations or business relations vis-à-vis Europe or the United States. A study abroad experience may satisfy this requirement if it meets with the spirit and letter of this proposed mission statement. A similar mechanism as was used for the USA diversity requirement.

It was determined that this requirement would apply first to the class entering in September 1999, i.e. the class of 2003.

GF: 04/17/1998

4. Items related to Governance, the General Faculty, and General Faculty Meetings

Observers at General Faculty Meetings:

Individual members or representatives of specific groups from the University community may be admitted to meetings of the General Faculty by presenting a request for admittance to the Chairman of the meeting and upon approval of the General Faculty by a majority vote of those present and voting.

GF: 10/20/1970

General Faculty Minutes:

That minutes of the General Faculty be available upon demand, to any member of the University Community five working days after the meeting.

GF: 10/20/1970 amended AC:04/22/1985

General Faculty Support of Handbook Amendments:

General Faculty support of a proposed Handbook amendment should be reported to the faculty Committee for Conference with the Trustees, which meets with the Trustees through the Board's Academic Affairs Committee.

AC: 03/18/1985

Communications to the Academic Council:

That the gist of all communications to the Academic Council be published in the Council minutes.

AC: 01/22/1968

Academic Council and the Interpretation of Governance Documents:

When there is a conflict among faculty, or within a school, academic department, or curriculum area about the proper meaning of the Faculty Handbook or a school's Governance Document, the relevant parties should seek a resolution by petitioning the Academic Council for its interpretation of the disputed text.

> AC: 03/14/1973 AC: 02/25/1985 AC: 03/07/2011

Academic Council Summer Meetings:

The Academic Council provides the faculty, through its elected representatives, with the opportunity to make recommendations and decisions concerning the welfare of the University. The regular academic year should normally provide ample opportunity for the exercise of this right and duty, but there may be unusual circumstances arising during the summer months, which would demand the professional judgment of the faculty. In such case(s), the following procedures will be followed:

a. Before the last scheduled faculty meeting, the Academic Council will select dates for two provisional meetings.

b. The Chairperson and Executive Secretary of the Academic Council will decide whether meetings will occur on the provisional dates; this would be done one week prior to that date and the Council will be so informed by the Executive Secretary.

c. Any member of the Council who will be unable to attend a summer meeting will inform the Faculty Secretary, who will arrange for the election of a summer replacement.

d. In order to compensate for possible low attendance and for the difficulty in faculty members' circulating petitions appealing a decision of the Academic Council to the General Faculty (Handbook I.B.4), a two-thirds vote of those present shall be necessary for all decisions at such summer meetings.

AC: 11/29/1977 amended AC: 04/22/1985

AC Calendar Review Subcommittee:

Each September, the Academic Council will elect a 2-person Calendar Review Subcommittee from its elected membership to review all Fairfield academic calendars before their publication

and make any necessary recommendations for changes to the Academic Council and the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.

AC: 10/07/2013

Selection of Honorary Degree Candidates:

Moved to form a permanent, annually elected, three-person Academic Council subcommittee to consider and advise on the matter of selecting honorary degree candidates.

AC: 10/11/1979

Pursuant to the Handbook's mandate (section 1.B.3.c) that the Academic Council is "to consider and advise on the granting of all honorary degrees," and because the process of choosing candidates for honorary degrees has been in accord with the openness appropriate to the University, the Academic Council takes its mandate seriously and gives the following recommendations to the faculty members on the Honorary Degree Committee:

- 1. To be mindful of the importance and significance of honorary degrees, and to feel themselves under no compulsion to recommend candidates for such degrees if only candidates of insufficient stature are available.
- 2. To make certain that the achievements of those recommended for honorary degrees are in accord with the values represented by the Mission Statement of the University.
- 3. To recommend, among others, candidates who have or have had some previous relationship with the University, that relationship to be broadly construed.
- 4. To take care that there can be no inference that candidates have been recommended solely because of a connection with fund-raising or public relations.

AC: 10/03/1988

Student Representatives at Academic Council Meetings:

That the Academic Council invite a representative from Student Government to attend meetings of the Academic Council and to contribute to Council discussions when invited to do so.

AC: 03/01/1984

Conference with the Board of Trustees:

That the Academic Council make note of the times the Board of Trustees meets and insure proper preparation for discussion of faculty views at meetings between the Committee for Conference with the Board of Trustees and the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees.

AC: 12/13/1984

Academic Council and the Trustees:

The Academic Council will, on a regular basis, share a summary of matters discussed at Council meetings with the Chair of the Committee on Conference with the Board of Trustees AC: 11/07/1994 General Faculty Elections of Handbook Committee Members:

Elections conducted by the General Faculty to determine membership on Handbook Committees will be conducted using approval voting, in which each voter receives a ballot and marks any and all candidates whom they approve for the position. The total number of approvals received by each candidate is counted, and the candidate with the most approvals is declared the winner.

In the case of several vacancies on the same committee, the winners are chosen by taking the appropriate number of candidates with the most votes. When vacancies are allotted by categories (e.g. for school, division, or rank), the candidate with the most votes in the required category is declared the winner, even if that person did not receive the most votes overall.

In the case of a tie, a runoff election is held, consisting only of those candidates who tied. In all runoff elections, voters may vote for only one candidate on the ballot. If a runoff election leads to a tie among all remaining candidates, then the General Faculty will decide the election by some other method to be approved on a case-by-case basis.

Some committee positions must be elected by majority vote. "Majority" in this context means that the winner must be approved on more than 50% of the ballots. In the case where no candidate receives a majority approval, a runoff election is held including only those candidates who received approvals in the first round. If necessary, further runoffs will be held, each eliminating the lowest vote getter from the previous round. If a tie in any runoff round renders this elimination impossible, the General Faculty will decide the election by some other method to be approved on a case-by-case basis.

AC: 12/5/2016

Faculty Committee Organizational Meeting:

In September, the Secretary of the General Faculty will send each outgoing *Handbook* committee chair an updated committee roster and instruct the outgoing chair to call an organizational meeting of the committee, and to see to the election of a new chair.

AC: 01/20/1977 Amended AC: 04/04/2011

<u>Election of Committee Chairpersons</u>: Chairpersons of faculty committees will be elected at the first meeting of committees at the start of the academic year.

AC: 09/23/1985

Use of Proxies in Faculty Committees:

That proxies not be allowed at meetings of faculty committees.

AC: 02/13/1984

Vacancies on Faculty Committees:

That when a vacancy occurs on any committee, panel or other body within the University upon which a faculty member serves on behalf of the faculty, the Faculty Secretary shall publicize the existence of the vacancy, seeking to learn all faculty members who are willing to serve on the committee, panel, etc., and to forward this list to the faculty body responsible for the election.

<u>Committee Membership and Sabbaticals</u>: That a faculty member on sabbatical remain a member of a committee in which he/she serves, provided he/she is able and willing to do so.

AC: 11/28/1983

GF: 05/09/1974

<u>Number of Committees on Which a Faculty Member May Serve</u>: That the Academic Council interpret section I.C.a.5 of the Handbook to allow its members to serve on two committees in addition to the Academic Council.

AC: 02/25/1976

Committee Elections and Willingness to Serve:

That no faculty member who is absent from the election meeting can be nominated for a committee unless he has indicated in writing that he is both willing and eligible to serve on the committee for which he is nominated.

AC: 02/25/1976

Reelection to Committees and Partial Terms:

A person who has served a full term on a committee can be reelected to a partial term.

AC: 09/14/1992

<u>Guidelines for Annual Committee Reports</u>: Annual committee reports are to include the following items:

- 1. name of committee chairperson
- 2. committee membership
- 3. number of meetings attended by each committee member

16

- 4. dates of meetings
- 5. list of principal topics considered by the committee
- 6. list of the decisions taken by the committee
- 7. anticipated effects of these decisions
- 8. unfinished business
- 9. future agenda items

AC: 02/28/1985 amended CR: 02/09/1987

Summer, 2017

Journal of Record

Procedures for Removal of Committee Members for Nonfeasance:

- 1. if a committee member misses three consecutive meetings, the committee chairperson should contact the member to find out whether the absences where allowable under section I.C.b.1.vi of the Faculty Handbook;
- 2. if the chairperson judges that the absences were not allowable, he/she should report this judgment to the Committee on Committees;
- 3. upon receipt of the chairperson's report the Committee on Committees will review the facts and take appropriate action.

AC: 02/25/1985

Observers at Committee/Council Meetings:

The Academic Council and all faculty committees which invite observers to their meetings should vote annually whether to renew their invitations.

AC: 03/01/1984

Policy on Open Meetings:

That the Academic Council affirm the principles of making meetings of deliberative bodies and councils as open as possible to all members of the University Community, to the extent that logistics and time and space allow.

AC: 04/09/1984

Purview of the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee:

The Academic Council approves of these items (viz. policy on withdrawals from courses, policy on audits, an examination of the norms for Dean's list, Honors, etc. and the possibility of determining them on a more equitable basis than QPA) as part of the jurisdiction of the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee.

AC: 11/18/1970 Amended AC: 04/10/2001

Deans at Undergraduate Curriculum Committee Meetings:

The Dean of the school initially decides whether an issue on the agenda is in his/her interest and attends such meetings as these issues are discussed.

The Chair of the Committee has the power to rule any member of the committee, Dean or otherwise, out of order on any specific discussion.

The Academic Council would arbitrate disagreements should they arise.

AC: 12/07/1992

Deans' Representatives on Undergraduate Curriculum Committee:

The Deans of all undergraduate schools are allowed to send a representative to meetings of the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee which they will not be able to attend.

CR: 11/03/1987

Guidelines for UCC Advisory Committees:

The UCC Advisory Committees (e.g., Core Sciences Review Committee, World Diversity Committee, US Diversity Committee) analyze courses to determine that they meet the criteria for core requirements. In carrying out its oversight role, the UCC directs these committees to provide minutes of their meetings that include:

- a. Information on when it met and who attended,
- b. The title, catalog number and catalog description of any course under consideration,
- c. The committee's recommendation on whether the course meets the specifications for core credit in the area under the committee's purview,
- d. Enough of a discussion of the course so that the UCC understands the basis for the recommendation. Please frame such a discussion around the criteria approved for your committee.

1. If a subcommittee recommends approval of a course for core credit, the subcommittee will inform the UCC of its action and supply the material listed above in 1a-d.

2. If a subcommittee recommends rejection, it will notify the UCC of its decision. It also will directly notify the applicant, detail its rationale (by supplying the material listed above in 1a-d), and inform the applicant of three available options:

- i. revise the application and resubmit; or
- ii. drop the effort to gain core approval; or
- iii. appeal the negative recommendation to the full UCC. Any appeal must include the material listed in 1a-d (above) and respond to any shortcomings listed by the subcommittee.

AC: 04/03/2006 AC: 04/04/2011

Core Credit Approval for a Course Taught Outside a Core Area:

A department or faculty member may seek core credit approval for a course taught in a discipline outside a particular core area of the Core Curriculum. This procedure applies only to courses currently unapproved for core credit.

In order to have a course considered for core credit in the natural sciences^{*} or in any core area outside of the offering department, a department or faculty member must submit a *Core Credit Application*, consisting of (1) a course syllabus and (2) a *Core Course Review Form*, available from the UCC, to the respective Core Reviewing Unit and the chair of the UCC by October 1 for fall applications and February 15 for spring applications. The *Core Course Review Form* should describe in detail how the proposed course fulfills the learning objectives for the respective core area, available from the UCC.

The Core Reviewing Unit will review the application and submit to the UCC its Core Course Recommendation Form and minutes of the relevant meeting of the Core Reviewing Unit in which it describes why the course should or should not be granted core credit. Deadlines for this step are November 25 for fall applications and April 25 for spring applications. The UCC reviews the *Core Credit Application* and the *Core Course Recommendation Form* and makes a decision by voting to either Accept or Reject the recommendation in the *Core Course Recommendation Form*. In the event of a negative outcome, the Core Reviewing Unit will work with the department or faculty member toward proposing a new course for a successful outcome whenever possible.

Core Areas	Core Reviewing Unit	
Classical studies and Modern Languages (Area V)	Either Classical Studies Program or	
	Modern Languages Department	
English (Area IV)	English Department	
History (Area II)	History Department	
Mathematics (Area I)	Mathematics Department	
Philosophy (Area III)	Philosophy Department	
Religious Studies (Area III)	Religious Studies Department	
Visual and Performing Arts (Area IV)	Visual and Performing Arts Department	
Natural Science (Area I)	Core Science Course Review Committee*	
Social Science (Area II)	Social Science Core Reviewing UCC	
	Subcommittee**	

*<u>Core Science Course Review Committee</u>: The Core Science Course Review Committee (CSCRC), using guidelines available from the UCC, makes recommendations to the UCC regarding which natural science courses should be designated for natural science core credit. Courses designated for science majors automatically earn natural science core credit. Science courses for non-science majors, science courses offered outside of the natural science departments, and science courses offered through study abroad programs, are all reviewed by the CSCRC. The CSCRC consists of one member from each of the natural science departments (Biology, Chemistry, and Physics), along with one faculty member from outside of the natural sciences.

**The Social Science Core Reviewing UCC Subcommittee:

For courses from departments or interdisciplinary programs not in the Social and Behavioral Sciences (not Communication, Economics, Politics, Psychology, or Sociology/Anthropology) that are put forward for core Social and Behavioral Sciences credit:

- a. The course must satisfy the social science student learning outcomes (available from the UCC).
- b. An ad hoc committee composed of the chairs of the Social and Behavioral Sciences departments (or their representatives) will vet the course with their department colleagues. After review of the content and skills reflected in the syllabus, each department will have one vote for or against including the course for Social and Behavioral Sciences core credit. Courses that get at least 3 positive votes from the Social and Behavioral Sciences departments will be designated as core Social and Behavioral Sciences courses. This review process shall be completed within two weeks.

AC: 02/26/2012 Revised AC: 04/27/2015

Purview of the Committee on Admissions and Scholarships:

That the area of concern of the Admissions and Scholarships Committee specifically includes all aspects of admission, financial aid, including athletic scholarships, review of scholarships, and grants-in-aid.

AC: 12/15/1971

Technology Decisions and Educational Technologies Committee:

Before any major technology decision affecting academics is made by the administration, the Educational Technologies Committee should be consulted and given time to review the decision and receive faculty input. The only exception to this policy would be if delay of the decision would place the university at increased legal exposure.

AC: 03/03/2014

Subcommittees of the Faculty Salary Committee:

Health Care Committee

Membership:

Two members of the Faculty Salary Committee (FSC) and two members of the faculty appointed by the FSC and up to three administrators appointed by the President.

Purpose:

The purpose of this committee is to address on an ongoing basis the growth in the total cost of health care, which is of concern to both the faculty and the administration. Using all relevant and reasonably available data, including data on projected as well as actual health care costs in the aggregate, changing demographics, employee usage patterns and changes in stop-loss insurance cost, and with the help of the University's consultant and other consultants as mutually agreed to and needed, the Committee is charged to:

- 1. Consider and make recommendations to the FSC and administration on ways to make plan participants more economically efficient users of health care;
- 2. Consider and make recommendations to the FSC and the administration on ways to reduce the increases to the cost of health care, and to monitor developments regarding the health care excise tax and make any recommendations deemed necessary and appropriate.
- 3. In any year when cost-shares of health care premiums increase at any rate, consider and make recommendations to the FSC and the administration as to the appropriateness of said increases.

The Committee will not request and the administration will not provide any data which directly or indirectly reveals personally identifiable information or for which there is a reasonable basis to believe it can be used to identify an individual, or any data that is otherwise restricted by law. Notwithstanding this, if personally identifiable information is revealed, such information will be kept confidential.

Trigger Committee

Background:

Fairfield University is currently self-insured. On an annual basis, health care costs may change based on numerous factors including changing demographics, employee usage patterns, and changes in stop-loss insurance costs.

Membership:

Two members of the Faculty Salary Committee (FSC) and two members of the faculty appointed by the FSC.

Purpose:

In any year when health insurance premiums are proposed to increase by 6% or more, this committee is convened. The committee reviews all relevant data in order to determine if the increase is justified. The figure of 6% is significant to the faculty due to historical data and the calculation of offsets based upon it, but does not represent a specific contractual commitment on the part of the administration. The administration does not subscribe to or make any representation as to the significance of this percentage. The Trigger Committee will work collegially with the Health Care Committee.

Specific Duties:

- 1. To receive from the administration in a timely manner data, assumptions, trends, and other information that underlie the annual health care contribution rate calculations;
- 2. To understand through reasonable inquiry and discussion with the administration how the rates have been calculated;
- 3. To ensure that the rates have been developed accurately and are consistent with prior years;
- 4. To report their findings to the faculty through the Faculty Salary Committee before the rates take effect.

GF: 05/27/2014

Defining Non-Tenure Track Faculty for the Election to the Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty:

Those eligible to stand for election and/or to vote in the election of "three non-tenure track faculty members...by the non-tenure track faculty in an election overseen by the Secretary of the General Faculty each spring" shall be anyone who meets the Handbook definition of "Lecturer," "Adjunct Professor," "Visiting Instructor," "Visiting Professor," or "Professor of the Practice."

AC: 12/7/2015

Academic Calendar:

That first semester begin the first week of September (e.g. Sept. 3) and end before Christmas (e.g. Dec. 19), with all exams and requirements completed by then.

AC: 03/23/1970

That the vacation period between Fall and Spring semesters should be approximately one month long (e.g. Dec. 20 - Jan. 17).

AC: 03/23/1970

That there should be a minimum of three reading days between the ending of classes and the end of final exams each semester.

AC: 03/23/1970 amended GF: 04/03/1987

Policy on Course Syllabi:

That in all classes there be provided a course syllabus setting out the course outline, readings and grading policy including the number of tests, method of evaluation, and weights of each evaluation.

AC: 09/14/1987

Unpublished Course Materials

If students are required to purchase unpublished course materials generated by the professor, then such materials can only be sold through the university bookstore and only for the cost of printing and publication, with no profit margin for the professor.

AC: 05/08/2013

Canceling classes in inclement weather:

In the event of inclement weather, when the University remains open, faculty members should make every reasonable effort to meet their regularly scheduled classes. The final judgment on what is reasonable effort, and therefore whether to hold class, resides with the individual faculty member. Faculty members should try to notify their students of a decision to cancel class in a timely manner.

> AC: 09/12/1994 Replaced AC: 04/16/2012

<u>Class Attendance:</u>

All students are expected to attend every regularly scheduled class session. The impact of attendance on grading is specified in the syllabus for each course. Unexcused absences may be reported to the appropriate academic dean. Faculty members should have a policy for dealing with student absence on the syllabus for each course. If a student will miss a class due to an illness/injury, the professor should be notified according to the policy on the syllabus. If a

student will miss an exam, quiz or in class presentation due to illness/injury or another type of emergency, the professor should be contacted beforehand. A faculty member may request that the student provide verification of the absence from a health care provider. It is the purview of the faculty member to determine when or if a student absence will be excused.

CR: 11/02/1987 Replaced AC: 04/16/2012

Final Exam Policy:

- 1. Each instructor should be given a wide latitude, so as to provide for a degree of creativity and flexibility in how the students will be tested. The form of evaluation should be in keeping with the goals and purposes of the course.
- 2. In every case the form of the final, end-of-semester comprehensive evaluation (such as written examination, take-home, oral exam, paper, or a combination of these) must appear on the syllabus at the beginning of the semester.
- 3. No form of final evaluation is to be due prior to the date assigned by the Registrar for that course's final examination.
- 4. The final comprehensive evaluation should reflect integration of course materials discussed during the semester.

AC: 12/02/1985 amended AC: 05/15/1989 amended AC: 05/01/2000 amended AC: 04/08/2013

As a general rule, exceptions should not be made to the final examination policy. However, whenever the Deans do make exceptions, the Faculty should be informed by a published list of those exceptions.

CR: 11/02/1987 AC: 03/07/2011

Weighting of Course Components for Grading Purposes

There is no single formula for the weighting of course components, but in all classes, students should receive feedback on their work at multiple points during the semester. In classes for which the final assessment is an in-class examination, that exam should not count for more than 1/3 of the course grade.

AC: 04/08/2013

<u>Number of Final Exams on a Single Day</u>: Students are not required to take more than two exams in any final exam day.

CR: 11/02/1987

Retention of Final Examinations:

That final examinations (blue books, etc.) and term papers or other written assignments used by the professor for determining the final course grade be retained by the professor until the end of the following term, so as to be available for student inspection.

AC: 02/03/1984

Completion of "Incompletes":

An Incomplete is issued when, due to an emergency situation such as a documented illness, a student arranges with the course instructor to complete some of the course requirements after the term ends. All course work must be completed within 30 days after the beginning of the next regular semester. Any requests to extend the 30-day time period for completing an Incomplete require approval by the appropriate Dean.

CR: 03/28/1988 amended AC: 05/17/2000 amended AC: 11/21/2011

Student Evaluation of Teaching:

Every faculty member in every class shall administer the IDEA teaching evaluation form. IDEA evaluations will be done online, with individual faculty members given a unique URL for each course section to distribute to their students. All faculty have the option of using the long form or the short form. For individuals who do not specify which form they wish to use in a class, tenured Full Professors and part-time faculty will default to the short form and all other faculty members will default to the long form.

The On Campus Coordinator for IDEA (OCC) shall be a non-faculty employee appointed by the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs who reports to the SVPAA. The OCC is responsible for coordinating the administration of the IDEA teaching evaluations, for receiving all data from IDEA, for overseeing the storage of the data and for distribution of Diagnostic Reports to individual faculty members. The OCC is the only person authorized to receive data from IDEA. Individual teaching evaluation data belong to the individual faculty member. The OCC will have access to the data but may not release individual faculty data to anyone except the individual faculty member without the faculty member's written permission. Aggregate data can be made available to faculty and administrators provided that data for individual faculty members cannot be identified. Each fall, the Faculty Development and Evaluation Committee shall appoint the FDEC chair and an elected FDEC member from a different school to be liaisons to the OCC for that year.

GF: 03/17/1981 AC: 05/25/2010 AC: 05/02/2011 AC: 04/28/2014 Revised AC: 03/02/2015 Fairfield University Student Association (FUSA) Questions on Teaching Evaluation Form: Every faculty member in every class shall include the following five items as the first five additional items in the extra questions section of the IDEA form:

(1) The instructor taught the course material in an interesting manner.

(2) The instructor created an environment where students felt comfortable in asking questions and expressing themselves.

(3) The course syllabus and directions for assignments were clear and accurate.

(4) The workload for this course was manageable.

(5) I would recommend this course and instructor to other students.

Students shall be asked to rate each of these five statements on a scale of 1-5 (5 being the most accurate).

For a given class, if a faculty member doesn't want the data from these five additional items sent to FUSA, the faculty member must inform the On Campus Coordinator (OCC) in writing before the end of the term.

AC: 5/25/2010

Student Course Load:

The normal course load for a matriculated student is between 14 and 18 credit hours. To maintain full-time status, a matriculated student must be registered for a minimum of 12 credit hours each semester.

AC: 04/22/1968 amended CR: 05/20/1987

Admission to Fully Enrolled Courses:

The Schedule of Courses shall include a statement informing students that they may directly appeal to a professor for admission to an otherwise closed course.

CR: 11/02/1987 AC: 04/04/2011

Electives in the Undergraduate Curricula:

All students in B.A. programs must have a minimum of eight free electives; students in B.S. programs must have a minimum of four free electives, except in the School of Nursing where two are required. These electives may be chosen in any area of study, presuming prerequisites are met, and cannot be determined or required by any Department or School.

CR: 11/02/1987

Honor Code:

Fairfield University's primary purpose is the pursuit of academic excellence. This is possible only in an atmosphere where discovery and communication of knowledge are marked by scrupulous, unqualified honesty. Therefore, it is expected that all students taking classes at the University adhere to the following Honor Code: "I understand that any violation of academic integrity wounds the entire community and undermines the trust upon which the discovery and communication of knowledge depends. Therefore, as a member of the Fairfield University community, I hereby pledge to uphold and maintain these standards of academic honesty and integrity."

AC: 03/09/2009

Academic Honesty:

All members of the Fairfield University community share responsibility for establishing and maintaining appropriate standards of academic honesty and integrity. As such, faculty members have an obligation to set high standards of honesty and integrity through personal example and the learning communities they create. Such integrity is fundamental to, and an inherent part of, a Jesuit education, in which teaching and learning are based on mutual respect. It is further expected that students will follow these standards and encourage others to do so.

Students are sometimes unsure of what constitutes academic dishonesty. In all academic work, students are expected to submit materials that are their own and are to include attribution for any ideas or language that are not their own. Examples of dishonest conduct include, but are not limited to:

- Falsification of academic records or grades, including but not limited to any act of falsifying information on an official academic document, grade report, class registration document or transcript.
- Cheating, such as copying examination answers from materials such as crib notes or another student's paper.
- Collusion, such as working with another person or persons when independent work is prescribed.
- Inappropriate use of notes.
- Falsification or fabrication of an assigned project, data, results, or sources.
- Giving, receiving, offering, or soliciting information in examinations.
- Using previously prepared materials in examinations, tests, or quizzes.
- Destruction or alteration of another student's work.
- Submitting the same paper or report for assignments in more than one course without the prior written permission of each instructor.
- Appropriating information, ideas, or the language of other people or writers and submitting it as one's own to satisfy the requirements of a course commonly known as plagiarism. Plagiarism constitutes theft and deceit. Assignments (compositions, term papers, computer programs, etc.) acquired either in part or in whole from commercial sources, publications, students, or other sources and submitted as one's own original work will be considered plagiarism.

• Unauthorized recording, sale, or use of lectures and other instructional materials.

In the event of such dishonesty, professors are to award a grade of zero for the project, paper, or examination in question, and may record an F for the course itself. When appropriate, expulsion may be recommended. A notation of the event is made in the student's file in the academic dean's office. The student will receive a copy.

AC: 03/09/2009

Academic Honesty Board:

The existing Academic Honesty Board is to be eliminated as of the end of the 2009-2010 academic year because its work will now fall under the Student Academic Grievance Board. AC: 03/01/2010

Student Academic Grievance Board:

The purpose of the Student Academic Grievance Board is to provide a pool of faculty from which faculty representatives are drawn to serve on a Grievance Committee, when a Grievance Committee is formed as described in the Student Academic Grievance Procedure. The Student Academic Grievance Board consists of nine tenured faculty members, each having at least three years of full time service at Fairfield University. New members are appointed each fall by the Committee on Committees. The term of service is three years; faculty may be reappointed upon completion of a term.

> AC: 03/01/2010 amended AC: 03/05/2012

Student Conduct Board:

Beginning in fall 2010, the faculty panel currently referred to as the Student Conduct Board will be renamed the Faculty Panel for Student Conduct Boards.

AC: 05/03/2010

Faculty Panel for Student Conduct Boards:

The purpose of the Faculty Panel for Student Conduct Boards is to provide a pool of faculty from which faculty representatives are drawn to serve on Student Conduct Boards, when such boards are convened as described in the Student Handbook. The Faculty Panel for Student Conduct Boards consists of nine faculty members, each with at least four years full time service at Fairfield University. New members are appointed each fall by the Committee on Committees. The term of service is three years; faculty may be reappointed upon completion of a term.

AC: 05/03/2010 Amended AC: 12/6/2010 amended AC: 03/05/2012

Definition of Academic Grades:

A ~ Outstanding achievement

- B Superior level of achievement
- C ~ Acceptable level of achievement with course material
- D ~ Minimal achievement, but passing
- F ~ unacceptable level of achievement; course must be repeated to obtain credit

A "plus" (+) may be added to grades of B or C to indicate work performed at the top of that range.

A "minus" (-) may be added to grades A, B, or C to indicate work performed below that range.

Quality points and numerical equivalents for these grades are as follows:

	Grade	Numerical
	Points	Equivalent
А	4.00	93-100
A-	3.67	90-92
B+	3.33	87-89
В	3.00	83-86
B-	2.67	80-82
C+	2.33	77-79
С	2.00	73-76
C-	1.67	70-72
D	1.00	60-69
F	0.00	0-59

CR: 11/02/1987 AC: 02/07/2011

Pass/Fail Option

Pass/Fail Option:

Some courses (e.g., many internships) do not lend themselves to the multiple distinctions made by traditional letter grades. In these cases, a Pass/Fail grade may be used, provided the following criteria are met:

- All students in the course receive a grade of either Pass or Fail.
- The requirements for a Pass grade have been specified in the course syllabus.
- The decision to designate a course Pass/Fail has been approved by the department within which the course is taught and by the curriculum committee of that school.

Furthermore,

- A Pass/Fail course counts as a regular course toward graduation, determining full-time status, etc.
- The grade in a Pass/Fail course is not included in calculating a student's grade point average but appears in all cases on the student's transcript.

AC: 03/02/2015

Repeat Course Policy

When a student repeats a course that was failed, the new grade will be recorded. Grade point values will be averaged into the cumulative average, and the credits will count toward the degree. The original grade will remain on the transcript and be calculated into the cumulative average. When a student repeats a course for which the student has previously obtained a passing grade, the new course and grade will be recorded on the transcript with the notation, repeat course. The original grade and the repeated grade will be averaged into the GPA. The credit for the repeat course will not count toward the degree. The original grade will remain on the transcript.

AC: 03/18/2013

Grade Change:

A change in a student's grade for a course may only be made by the instructor of record for the course, or by the SVPAA acting on the recommendation of a Grievance Committee or department committee that has been charged as part of the Student Academic Grievance Procedure [See Appendix] with reviewing an academic grievance appeal.

AC: 03/01/2010

Academic Probation:

Academic records will be formally reviewed at the end of the fall, spring, and summer terms. Students who do not meet the stated requirements will be placed on Academic Probation. The purpose of academic probation is to alert the student and the institution to the problems associated with the student's academic performance and to recommend or implement strategies for improvement. The continuation of poor academic performance will result in the dismissal of the student. Faculty advisors are notified of all advisees placed on academic probation.

A student placed on academic probation will remain on academic probation until the overall GPA is at or above the requirements specified below. A student will be removed from academic probation when the overall GPA is equal to or greater than the requisite GPA according to credits earned.

A student on academic probation is ineligible to participate in extracurricular or co-curricular activities. A student on academic probation may petition the Academic Vice President for the right to participate in extra- or co-curricular activities. The appeal must contain a valid and compelling reason why restriction of extra- or co-curricular activities is inappropriate, and must demonstrate effectively that the activity will contribute an improvement in academic performance.

First semester, first-year students with a GPA below 1.90 will not be placed on academic probation for their second semester, but they will lose their rights to participate in extracurricular or co-curricular activities.

Students with 29 or fewer credits earned by the end of the second semester, or the first year at Fairfield, will be placed on academic probation if the overall GPA is below 1.90.

Students with 30-59 credits earned will be placed on academic probation if the overall GPA is below 1.90.

Students with 60 or more credits earned will be placed on academic probation if the overall GPA is below 2.00.

AC: 02/01/1993 amended AC: 05/22/1995 amended AC: 04/03/2000 amended AC: 10/01/2007 Revised AC: 04/27/2015

Academic Dismissal:

Students meeting any of the following conditions will be dismissed from the University:

- A student who, regardless of academic standing, has received the grade of F in three or more 3- or 4-credit courses during the preceding 12 month period inclusive of all grades earned.
- A student with 29 or fewer credits earned, who regardless of incompletes, while on academic probation proceeds to earn a semester GPA below 1.90.
- A student with 30-59 credits earned, who regardless of incompletes, while on academic probation, proceeds to earn a semester GPA below 1.90
- A student with 60 or more credits earned, who regardless of incompletes, while on academic probation, proceeds to earn a semester GPA below 2.00

Students who have been dismissed from the University for reason of academic failure are expected to remain away for at least a full semester (fall or spring) before seeking readmission. Such individuals lose all entitlement to institutionally funded financial aid.

AC: 10/01/2007 Revised AC: 04/27/2015

Withdrawal Policy:

Students who wish to withdraw from a course after the initial add/drop period may do so through the end of the tenth week of a traditional semester provided that (a) the student's academic dean, in consultation with the course instructor, finds withdrawal to be in the student's best interest (note that a student must maintain 12 credit hours for full-time status). After the tenth week in the semester, course withdrawal will only be granted in highly unusual circumstances, such as documented health emergency. Withdrawal after the tenth week will not be permitted simply to prevent receipt of a grade that might not meet the student's satisfaction. Students who wish to withdraw from a winter/summer intercession course or ASAP course may do so by the mid-point of the course. Students who have violated the academic honor code may not be eligible for withdrawal. In all approved cases, the University Registrar will record a grade of a W (withdrawal) on the student's permanent record. To initiate a request to withdraw from a course, a student must complete a Course Withdrawal Form and meet with an authorized representative of their academic dean's office or the Office

of Academic Support and Retention. A "W" may not be granted after final grades have been submitted except in very rare cases, during which an instructor must file a change of grade form.

AC: 05/19/2010 Revised AC: 04/27/2015 Revised AC: 02/01/2016

Course and Credit Requirement for Graduation:

The course and credit requirement for graduation is a minimum of 120 credits and at least 38 three or four credit courses.

[This new graduation requirement is in effect with the class of 2006.]

AC: 12/03/2001

Grade Point Average Required for Graduation:

A Grade Point Average (GPA) of 2.0 overall and in one's major is required for graduation. CR: 11/02/1987 AC: 02/07/2011

Grades in a Student's Major:

An overall average of 2.0 GPA is required in those courses used to fulfill the minimum major requirement (understanding this average to be exclusive of a first introductory course).

CR: 11/02/1987 AC: 02/07/2011

Counting Courses in Multiple Programs:

Any course meeting the requirements of more than one program (i.e. major, minor, and/or the core) should be given credit in these programs, unless the University's undergraduate catalog specifies otherwise. Multiple counting of courses does not affect the units of credit associated with such courses (i.e., three credits are worth three credits toward graduation). AC: 11/01/1993

Privacy of Academic Records:

Students maintain certain rights of privacy with respect to the contents of their academic records. These rights include the right to control the disclosure of information contained in their academic records, the right to access and inspect the contents of the records, the right to seek amendment of contents believed to be inaccurate, and the right to file a complaint for alleged violation of these rights. There are recognized exceptions to the rights described above, including but not limited to the right of faculty to discuss a student's academic progress with school officials with a legitimate educational interest. The University's policies and procedures should respect these rights to the extent that the University's actions are in compliance with state and federal laws. A complete description of student privacy rights with respect to educational records is set forth annually by Fairfield University in its Family Educational Rights and Privacy (FERPA) Annual Notice.

Student grades and graded papers may not be posted or left in a manner, which would allow others to identify the evaluation of an individual student. Social security numbers may not be posted.

It is the responsibility of supervisors of secretaries and other employees who may have contact with student grades to inform them of the student's right to privacy of academic records.

AC: 10/04/1993 AC: 04/04/2011

First-Year Midterm Estimates:

In order to identify and intervene with first-year students who are struggling in a course or several courses, it will be required that all faculty submit midterm estimates in the fall and spring semesters for their first-year students in danger of receiving a C –, D, or F to the Office of Academic Support and Retention. The student, along with the faculty advisor and the appropriate Dean or Director, will be notified. AC: 11/01/1993 AC: 10/03/1994 AC: 10/07/2013 Revised AC: 04/27/2015

Participation in a Declared Major during Freshman Year:

In cases where it is not current policy, freshmen are to be allowed to take courses in their declared majors.

CR: 11/02/1987

Change of Major:

To change from one major to another in one's school requires completion of a 'change of major' form. The form must be signed by the Chairperson/Coordinator of the major in which the student is currently enrolled, the Chairperson/Coordinator of the major which the student desires and an authorized representative of the academic dean's office or the Office of Academic Support and Retention. The form is then forwarded to the University Registrar.

AC: 12/04/1989 Revised AC: 04/27/2015

<u>Individually Designed Majors:</u> The proposal for individually designed majors is approved.

AC: 02/12/2001

Policies on Minors:

In addition to carrying a major, a student may exercise the option of selecting a minor outside the area of specialization. A minor is a cluster of related courses drawn from one or more curriculum areas, usually in the range of 15 to 18 credits. Minors are described under individual curriculum areas.

In order to select a minor, a student must submit a request and gain approval by the Chair or program director of the prospective minor no later than the Spring registration period of the student's Junior year. The completion of the minor must be approved by the chairperson or coordinator of the minor area during the Fall registration period of the student's Senior year, and is subject to course availability.

Courses in the University's core curriculum may be used without limit in fulfilling the requirements for a minor.

All curriculum areas that offer a minor indicate so in the catalogue.

All curriculum areas offer specific guidelines such as how many courses are required and what level courses are applicable (introductory vs. upper division).

The certification of completion (of a minor program) should be conveyed to the University Registration at this time. Ultimate ratification of completion will be by the Registrar.

CR: 03/14/1988 amended AC: 10/17/1988 amended AC: 12/07/2009 AC: 04/04/2011

Independent Studies:

The Undergraduate Curriculum Committee recognizes that it can be a valuable educational experience when a conscientious student pursues in depth a personal interest, and is led to a new level of knowledge under the tutelage of a dedicated faculty member.

To ensure the academic quality of such guided study, the Committee has established the following set of requirements:

- 1. Students may pursue independent study projects
 - a. during their junior and/or senior years, or, in the case of part-time students, after having completed 45 credits; and
 - b. when their academic record indicates, in the judgment of the responsible faculty member and curriculum area personnel, that independent study can be brought to a successful conclusion; and
 - c. if a student undertakes more than one independent study project, the total credit hours for all projects may not exceed 9 credit hours towards the undergraduate degree.
- 2. Students should apply to the professor under whose direction they wish to study no later than the normal registration time of the preceding semester. The professor's decision to accept a student for independent study will be based on such criteria as the student's academic maturity and performance record, the professor's specialty within the discipline, and his or her teaching load.
- 3. The responsible faculty member, with the concurrence of the head of the curriculum area involved, should determine the number of credit hours appropriate for the independent study project suggested. In the event the faculty member and the head of the curriculum

area are the same individual, a second faculty member from this curriculum area should be involved in the decision. For projects of less than a semester's equivalent course work, one or two credit hours may be assigned; for projects of a semester's equivalent course work, three credit hours, or, with a laboratory component, four credit hours may be assigned.

4. The "Independent Study Application Form" must be completed and filed with the Registrar before the project may begin.

There should be a universal number employed to indicate work done within a curriculum area on an independent study basis: e.g. 399 (RS 399; FA 399; . . .)

AC: 03/23/1970 Amended CR: 12/01/1986 Amended CR: 02/01/1988 Updated AC: 02/07/2011 Updated AC: 03/02/2015

<u>Tutorials</u>:

In rare circumstances, students may be permitted to enroll in a course listed in the University catalog on a tutorial basis with the approval of the Area Coordinator/Chair and the faculty member offering the tutorial.

CR: 03/28/1988 Replaced AC: 04/16/2012

Assignment of Course Credit in FU Study Abroad Programs:

All Study Abroad programs that award Fairfield University grades for courses must assign credit for those courses according to the following policy.

- a. The Office of International Programs (working with the program director, if a Fairfield academic program is closely linked to the study abroad site) will sift through the catalog and compile an initial list of courses that correspond to offerings in our current departments and schools.
- b. The Office of International Programs will send the corresponding courses to the relevant dean, department chair or program director. The dean/chair/director, in consultation with the department/advisory board, will decide whether a study abroad course 1) should qualify for Fairfield credit; 2) meets departmental/program requirements for the major or minor; and 3) meets core requirements in the department's or school's area.
- c. Fairfield students also would have the opportunity to seek Fairfield credit for study abroad courses that do not correspond to Fairfield departments and schools. Students would seek approval from the Director of the Office of International Programs to use such courses as electives. Students also could try to have such elective courses count toward core requirements; such petitions would be heard by the SVPAA's office since core issues go beyond the jurisdiction of a single department or dean.

d. The process set forth in a., b., and c. shall be initiated by the Office of International Programs and repeated every three years.

AC: 03/11/2002 Adapted AC: 03/03/2003 Updated AC: 03/02/2015

Transfer Credit:

All transfer credit must be approved by an undergraduate student's academic dean or an authorized representative of the Office of Academic Support and Retention. Transfer credit will only be reviewed by an academic dean upon the receipt of an official transcript. Only grades of "C" (2.0 quality points and a numerical equivalency of no lower than 73) or higher will be considered for transfer. After matriculation at Fairfield University, any courses taken at another institution must be pre-approved by the academic dean prior to registration. Upon completion, with a grade of "C" or higher, only credit hours, not grades, will transfer. Every student is required to complete a minimum of 60 Fairfield University credits for the Bachelor's Degree.

AC: 05/22/1995 Revised AC: 04/27/2015

Policy for Advanced Placement:

Departmental, Advanced Placement, and CLEP exams may be used to exempt a student from certain required courses in the core or in the student's major. The use of particular exams and the matching of exams with courses shall be at the discretion of the appropriate department. Justification must be provided to the Curriculum Committee by any department declining to use exemption exams. For each course exempted through advanced placement or departmental testing, a student's requirements for graduation will be reduced by one course. The acceptable mark for CLEP exams is at least the 50th percentile. The acceptable mark for Advanced Placement Tests is 4 or 5. Students who score at least a grade of 4 on an Advanced Placement Test will be awarded graduation credit by the University.

Fairfield undergraduates are limited to no more than a combined 15 credit hours toward graduation for CLEP exams (College Level Examination Program), the Excelsior program in Nursing, high school Advanced Placement exams and any other programs in which students take an exam in lieu of an entire semester's coursework.

CR: 11/02/1987 AC: 03/04/1991 amended AC: 12/07/1992 amended AC: 03/11/2002

College Courses in High School:

For students who pursue college courses while in high school, upon receipt of an official college transcript, the course work will be evaluated by the appropriate dean/director in consultation with the appropriate curriculum area, provided the following criteria are met:

- The course(s) must have been completed in a college environment and must have been taught by a college professor
- The course(s)/credits were not used to satisfy high school graduation requirements
- A final grade of "C" or better was earned.

That dean/director will determine the appropriateness of the transfer credit for the student's program and decide whether it has met Fairfield's curriculum standards. A maximum of 15 credits of approved coursework will be awarded transfer credit. The grades will not be transferred.

AC: 11/02/1992 AC: 04/28/2014

Credit for R.O.T.C. Courses:

Fairfield University students may be given academic credit for R.O.T.C. courses taken at other institutions (e.g. University of Bridgeport), <u>with the condition</u> that these credits be over and above what is required for graduation from Fairfield.

CR: 11/02/1987

Released Time for Students:

A student participating in a university sponsored event has the right to be excused without penalty or grade jeopardy from exams, student presentations, attendance and other classroom events during that time, provided the student makes up the required work in the fashion mutually agreed upon by the professor and the student.

Students participating in such university sponsored events will be allowed to make up any major exams, tests or quizzes which they miss in a course, when they are involved in a scheduled event, provided that participating students, or faculty moderator, inform all their professors in writing at the beginning of the semester, or as soon thereafter as possible, once scheduling is confirmed.

University sponsored events covered by this policy are defined as follows:

1. Athletics:

a. all varsity sporting events; to include post-season tournaments.b. all club sporting events.

2. Others:

a. Concerts, plays or other group performances where the absence of a member would detract from the overall performance.

Not included in this policy are clubs formed on a departmental basis such as Biology Club, Psychology Club, etc.

AC: 03/23/1987

Course-Related Field Trips:

That course-related field trips not be given the status for missing course requirements. The word "status" refers to the kinds of situations acknowledged under the present policy [on

"Released Time for Students"] as serious enough to allow students the opportunity to make up any major exams which they miss in a course due to their being away from campus for a scheduled event.

CR: 09/14/1987

Release time for athletes during exam period:

It is the policy of the Athletics Department not to require team practices or schedule contests during final exam periods, including Reading Days. Because the University has obligations as a member of leagues, conferences and associations, exceptions are sometimes unavoidable. If exceptions to this general policy are made, they should be approved in advance by the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and reported by his/her office each semester to the Academic Council.

AC: 12/09/2002 AC: 05/05/2003 AC: 04/04/2011

Sunset Policy for removing courses from the catalog, and timetable for re-approval: If a course has not been taught for four consecutive calendar years, it will be removed from the catalog(s) in which it has been listed unless an individual department or school requests a waiver in writing from the appropriate curriculum committee of the appropriate school(s) and the department or school announces that the course will be offered within the next calendar year.

A course that has been removed from the catalog may be listed in the catalog for an upcoming academic year without undergoing any curricular review provided that the course will be offered during that academic year and the course has not been out of the catalog for more than two years.

A course that has not appeared in the catalog for more than two consecutive years cannot be reinstated without the usual application procedures to the appropriate committee of the appropriate school.

This policy does not apply to courses such as Special Topics, Internships, Independent Research and Independent Studies. The responsibility for adherence to these policies resides with the appropriate deans. Chairs will be notified by the appropriate dean when a course has appeared in the catalog but not been offered for three consecutive years.

> AC: 04/11/1994 amended AC: 05/25/2010

<u>Teaching Load</u>:

A normal teaching load for tenured and tenure-track faculty is nine hours per week. Full-time faculty members teaching beyond the normal or contracted teaching load shall be compensated as detailed in the Memo of Understanding.

AC: 02/12/1973 Revised AC: 02/07/2011, AC: 05/08/2013, AC: 03/02/2015

Journal of Record

Summer, 2017

8. Items related to Teaching: Approved degrees, majors, minors, etc.

Approval of programs included in the Journal of Record:

Upon their approval, all new schools, programs, majors and minors shall be included in the *Journal of Record*.

AC: 04/25/1988

Amended AC: 03/06/2006 and AC: 04/04/2011

College of Arts and Sciences: American Studies, Master of Arts (AC: 05/15/1996) Anthropology, minor (AC: 11/21/2011) Arts Administration Concentration in Visual and Performing Arts (AC: 04/29/2013) Art History and Visual Culture, formerly Art History (AC: 11/14/2016) Behavioral Neuroscience, concentration for Psychology majors (AC: 03/14/2016) Behavioral Neuroscience, minor (AC: 12/02/2013) Biochemistry, major (AC: 04/28/2008) Biochemistry, minor (AC: 02/05/1996) Black Studies: Africa and the Diaspora, minor (AC: 05/22/1995) Catholic Studies, interdisciplinary minor (AC: 03/06/2006) Classical Music Performance, minor (AC: 05/01/1995) Communication Arts, major (AC: 04/25/1988) Communication, Master of Arts (AC: 04/07/2008) Computer Science, major and minor (AC: 04/25/1988) Computer Science program moved to School of Engineering (AC: 04/07/2014) Creative Writing, Master of Fine Arts (AC: 12/03/2007) Digital Journalism, major and minor (AC: 03/30/2015) Economics, B.S. program (AC: 03/06/1995) Environmental Studies, Bachelor of Arts (AC: 05/13/2014) Environmental Studies, minor (AC 05/08/2013; formerly Program on the Environment AC: 10/6/2008; formerly Environmental Studies AC: 03/06/1995) Film and Television, minor (AC: 12/02/1996) Film, Television and Media Arts, major (AC: 12/03/2012; formerly New Media: Film, Television and Radio, AC: 10/04/2004) Graphic Design, minor (AC: 04/04/2016) Health Studies, minor (AC: 03/02/2015) Humanitarian Action, minor (AC: 11/2/2015) International Studies, major (AC: 02/01/1993) International Studies, revised major (AC: 02/04/2008) International Studies, revised minor (AC: 02/04/2008) Irish Studies, minor (AC: 05/17/2000) Italian Studies, minor (AC: 05/13/1998) Jazz Performance, minor (AC: 05/01/1995) Judaic Studies, minor (AC: 04/01/1996) Latin American/Caribbean Studies, minor revised (AC: 03/06/1995)

- Liberal Studies, Bachelor (AC: 09/08/2014; UCC: 1982 as Bachelor Degree in General Studies in University College; name changed to Bachelor Degree in Professional Studies AC: 05/17/2000; moved to CAS 03/23/2012)
- Liberal Studies, Masters (AC: 02/04/2013)
- Mathematics, Master of Science (11/02/1998)
- Public Administration, Masters (AC: 9/10/2012)
- Public Relations, major and minor (AC: 03/30/2015)
- Russian, Eastern European, and Central Asian Studies, minor (AC: 11/16/2015; formerly Russian and Eastern European Studies, minor AC: 04/03/1995; AC: 05/01/1995) Sociology and Anthropology major (AC: 10/07/2013)
- Sociology and Anthropology, major (AC: 10/07/2013)
- Studies in Peace and Justice, minor (CR: 02/09/1987; renamed AC: 05/17/2000)
- Women, Gender and Sexuality Studies, minor (AC: 05/14/2012; formerly Women's Studies, AC: 02/01/1993)

Dolan School of Business:

- Accounting, minor (AC: 05/17/2000)
- Accounting, Master of Science (AC: 11/01/2004)
- Accounting Information Systems, minor (AC: 04/10/2006)
- Business Administration, Masters (MBA program) (AC: 02/14/1994)
- Business Analytics, Master of Science (AC: 10/06/2014)
- Business Analytics, minor (AC: 11/7/2016)
- Business Law, Regulations and Ethics, minor (AC: 05/17/2000)
- Economics, BS (AC: 03/06/2017)
- Entrepreneurship, minor (AC: 12/06/2010)
- Finance, minor (AC: 05/17/2000)
- Information Systems, minor (AC: 05/17/2000)
- International Business, revised major (AC: 02/04/2008)
- Management, minor (AC: 05/17/2000)
- Management Information Systems, major (AC: 04/25/1988)
- Marketing, minor (AC: 05/17/2000)
- Sports Leadership and Management, minor (AC: 2/1/2016)
- Egan School of Nursing:
- Nurse Anesthesia track for Master of Science (AC: 12/05/2005) Nursing, Master of Science (AC: 05/03/1993) Clinical Nurse Leader track for Master of Science (03/05/2007) Doctor of Nursing Practice (AC: 10/13/2009) Healthcare Informatics, Certificate (AC: 04/03/2017) Informatics Nursing, Concentration in MS (AC: 04/03/2017) Nurse-Midwifery, DNP (AC: 04/18/2016)

<u>Graduate School of Education and Allied Professions:</u> Masters in Childhood Education with Initial Certification (Certification in Elementary or Early Childhood Education; AC: 11/21/2011) Educational Studies, minor (AC: 05/19/2010) Elementary Education, concentration (AC: 03/01/1993) Family Studies; Non-clinical Masters Degree (AC: 05/25/2010) Industrial/Organizational Psychology, five-year BA/MA (AC: 03/18/2013) Leadership in English Acquisition Pedagogy, track in Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages, MA and in Bilingual Education, MA (AC: 05/01/2017)
Literacy, Certificate of Advanced Studies (AC: 04/07/2008)
Remedial Reading and Remedial Language Arts, MA and SYC (AC: 02/06/2017)
Secondary Education with Initial 7-12 Certification, revised masters (AC: 02/04/2008)
Teacher Education program; Five-year integrated Bachelors-Masters (AC: 05/19/2010)

School of Engineering:

Bioengineering, Bachelor of Science (AC: 04/07/2014) Electrical and Computer Engineering, five-year BS/MS (AC: 03/18/2013) Computer Science program moved to School of Engineering (AC: 04/07/2014) Computer Science, BS, name changed from Software Engineering (AC: 04/03/2017) Electrical and Computer Engineering, Master of Science (AC: 04/07/2003) Engineering, Evening School established (GF: 12/02/1993) Engineering, undergraduate five-year program (GF: 04/30/1999) Engineering, undergraduate four-year program (AC: 02/04/2002) Engineering, minor (AC: 04/02/2007) Management of Technology, Master of Science (AC: 11/10/1997) Management of Technology, five-year BS/MS (AC: 11/16/15; 04/03/2017) Mechanical Engineering, five-year BS/MS (AC: 02/07/2005) Mechanical Engineering, five-year BS/MS (AC: 02/07/2004) Software Engineering, five-year BS/MS program (AC: 02/05/2007; 04/03/2017) Software Engineering, Master of Science (AC: 05/13/1998)

Programs that have been eliminated:

3/2 Engineering Program (AC: 05/13/2014)

Associate of Arts degree (AC: 12/06/2010; approved as Associate Degree in General Studies AC: 02/03/1986)

Associate degree in Engineering, SOE (closed by May, 2014; AC: 03/18/2013)

Environmental Science, minor (Approved AC 04/07/1997; eliminated AC 10/06/2008) Healthcare Management track in SON (AC 9/10/2012)

Marine Science, minor (Approved AC 05/01/1995; eliminated AC 10/06/2008)

Neuroscience, B.S. program (Approved AC 03/06/1995; eliminated AC 04/08/2002)

Organizational Communication, Corporate Cohort Program for Master of Arts (approved AC 04/10/2006, eliminated AC: 05/14/2012)

Psychology, BA (eliminated AC: 05/01/2017)

Radio track in New Media: Film, Television and Radio (AC: 12/03/2012)

Reading and Language Development, C.A.S. (approved AC: 02/17/2015, eliminated AC: 02/16/2017)

Religious Education in GSEAP (eliminated AC 12/02/1991)

Taxation, Master of Science (approved AC: 11/01/2004, eliminated AC: 05/09/2011)

University College (GF: 03/02/2012; formerly School of Continuing Education)

9. Items related to Appointment, Promotion, Tenure, and Retirement

Role of Curriculum Area in Faculty Appointment Procedures:

- All appointments to curriculum areas must be made according to the guidelines found in the Faculty Handbook (II.A.i.a) and the procedures published in the governance document of the School in question;
- The assignment to teach a course in a curriculum area constitutes a *de facto* appointment that is subject to the above principles.

AC: 03/18/1975; amended AC: 02/02/1987

Consideration of prior service for promotion and tenure:

Evaluation for promotion or tenure should be based on a faculty member's performance in the academic career starting with his/her initial appointment at the rank of full-time instructor or higher at an institution of higher learning. While promotion and tenure are based on performance in the academic career, the committee shall not recommend tenure or promotion unless it has reasonable confidence that such performance will continue at Fairfield University. AC: 11/05/1991; Replaced AC: 04/30/2012

Professor of the Practice:

The professor of the practice would be appointed based on the procedures and qualifications set forth in the Faculty Handbook (II.A.1). These positions normally would require a 4-4 teaching load and university service, though not the peer-reviewed scholarship expected of faculty in tenure-track or tenured positions. Professors of the practice would be members of the General Faculty and so have full voting privileges within departments, schools, and at meetings of the General Faculty. They would be eligible to serve on any standing committee of the General Faculty, with the exceptions of the Committee on Rank and Tenure and the Research Committee. They would be expected to perform all of the "Faculty Duties" listed in the Faculty Handbook (II.C.1). Professors of the practice would be eligible for merit pay, but not for promotion in rank. In any school, professors of the practice would normally constitute no more than 10% of the full-time faculty. The appointment of any professor of the practice will never diminish the number or the growth of tenured faculty lines in departments, curriculum areas, programs, schools, or in the University as a whole.

AC: 03/09/2009

Privileges for Retired Faculty:

Full-time faculty who retire with at least fifteen (15) years of service to the University are entitled to receive the following privileges on the same terms as full-time active faculty: access to all University academic and recreational facilities; access to university e-mail; attendance at university educational, cultural, and athletic events, including academic convocations and processions; campus parking; the receipt of University publications; and tuition remission at Fairfield University for the retiree and his or her spouse.

AC: 04/21/1986: amended AC: 05/07/1986 amended AC: 09/08/1997: amended AC: 04/04/2011 Committee Records and the Faculty Secretary:

That the Secretary of the Faculty should keep a complete record of all annual reports from all standing committees and should notify the appropriate chairs to submit an annual report prior to the last scheduled meeting of the General Faculty.

AC: 03/15/1976 amended AC: 11/02/1992

Reminders to Committee Chairpersons:

The Secretary of the General Faculty shall remind the chairs of Faculty Committees that they must report all pertinent recommendations promptly to the Academic Council.

AC: 04/05/1993 AC: 03/07/2011

Recognition of Twenty-five Years of Service:

The Secretary of the General Faculty is requested to include on the agenda of the last meeting of the General Faculty a moment to recognize those faculty who are celebrating their 25th anniversary of service to our University.

AC: 05/01/1995

Faculty Services:

The Council affirms the interpretation in all of Chapter III of the Faculty Handbook that "provides" implies without charge to the faculty and the University may not charge faculty for use of office space, parking, mail boxes, tickets to University sponsored events, use of the Faculty Dining Room, academic gowns, or interlibrary loan.

> AC: 03/01/1993 amended AC: 03/07/2011

Policy on Institutional Support for Leaves for Extraordinary Faculty Research: Whenever possible, but within the limits of its resources, Fairfield University will offer financial and institutional support to any faculty member, tenured or tenure-track, who is awarded a major fellowship (American Council of Learned Societies, Fulbright, National Endowment for the Humanities, National Science Foundation, etc.). The university will contribute the difference between the monies of such a fellowship and a faculty member's annual salary, as well as the faculty member's full annual benefits package, so that he or she may take advantage of a full year's leave for research without financial loss. This leave time and institutional support will have no direct bearing on the faculty member's cycle of eligibility for sabbatical leave. Whenever possible, faculty members are expected to make a reasonable effort to link an application for such a fellowship to the time of their sabbatical leave.

AC: 12/02/1991

Policy on Release Time for Extraordinary Faculty Research:

Fairfield University will negotiate a reduced teaching load with any faculty member, tenured or tenure-track, who is awarded a major research grant from a peer-reviewed funding agency (NSF, NIH, NOAA, DOE, etc.), whenever that grant is of sufficient complexity and involves enough faculty responsibilities to justify release time. Release time must be concurrent with the funded period of research. This release time will have no direct bearing on the faculty member's cycle of eligibility for sabbatical leave. In applying for such grants, faculty are expected to consider the importance of requesting salary recovery funds from the granting agency. Whenever possible, faculty members are expected to make a reasonable effort to link the period of funded research to the time of their sabbatical leave if multiple-year funding is available.

AC: 12/02/1991

Faculty Admission to University Courses:

- I. Tuition is remitted for full-time faculty when they wish to take courses sponsored in whole or part by the University. In some cases, tuition remission is governed by conditions, as follows:
 - 1. For most courses offered by the University, the only condition is the completion of the proper tuition remission and registration forms.

- 2. For courses in which there are limitations on enrollment based on physical requirements (e.g., limited number of lab stations), faculty may enroll on a space-available basis after regular full-time and part-time students.
- 3. For courses offered under a "contract" fee structure, faculty participation is contingent upon funding from some source; faculty will then have access to the course on an equal basis with anyone else.
- II. Fees others than tuition are the responsibility of the faculty member.
- III. Funding for "contract" courses (I.3 above) is to come from University sources. AC: 05/16/1988

University Admissions and Tuition Policy: See Appendix 23.

Illness/Disability Policy:

The University will provide full salary and benefits for up to six (6) months of absence due to disabling illness, injury, pregnancy, childbirth or related conditions. Any faculty member who anticipates an extended disability absence will inform his/her Dean as soon as possible indicating the anticipated commencement and, whenever possible, the anticipated duration of the period of absence. The University may require medical certification in cases of recurring absences, or for absences lasting longer than a month.

The period of recovery due to a normal childbirth is presumed to be six weeks. The University may require medical certification for absences in excess of six weeks.

The University's total Disability Plan provides benefits for serious and long-term illness/injury after six months, subject to the terms of the Plan. Faculty members are expected to apply for and avail themselves of the Plan where appropriate.

Work-related injuries are covered by Worker's Compensation.

AC: 09/09/1991

Faculty Maternity Policy:

Faculty members whose maternity disability leave occurs at a time during the semester that would interfere significantly with their teaching (normally considered to be a period of absence of three or more weeks) shall be released by the appropriate Dean from teaching responsibilities for the semester. During that time, full pay and benefits will be continued. Faculty will be expected to work on projects and to fulfill other responsibilities congruent with their role at the expiration of their maternity leave.

AC: 04/27/1992

Academic Freedom and Computer Networks:

Freedom of inquiry and expression by faculty and students are fundamental to the operation of a university. The faculty recognizes global computer networks as having joined the print and broadcast media for such inquiry and expression.

While academic freedom caries with it a responsibility, expression should not be subject to prior censorship and restrictions should be reserved for grievous violations of the law or academic standards. Such restrictions should be enforced only after a clearly defined due process procedure and based on clearly stated criteria. Within the academic sector the final judgment should rest with a body dominated by faculty who enjoy the protection of tenure.

Academic departments have primary control of and responsibility for their laboratory and computing equipment.

AC: 02/05/1996 AC: 03/07/2011

Privacy and Faculty Office Space:

Fairfield University recognizes the privacy of faculty offices. University personnel will not enter faculty offices without permission except for normal cleaning, maintenance and emergency or as noted below.

Should there be a need to access academic records during a period when a faculty member is incapacitated or otherwise unable to grant permission, the Department Chair or Dean will contact a member of the faculty's immediate family and ask that she/he accompany them while retrieving such records.

In the case of the death of a faculty member, the Department Chair or Dean will contact the immediate family and arrange for suitable time, within two months, to empty the office of personal effects. The family will be offered the option of having a second colleague of their choosing present. The University will arrange for the packing and shipping of personal belongings in the office. Should a member of the family not be available within the two months, the Department Chair or Dean will arrange for emptying the office and shipping of personal belongings.

AC: 09/12/1994 AC: 04/04/2011

Appendix 1: Guidelines And Procedures For Submission of New Program Proposals

The Academic Council, with the consultation of representatives from the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee, the Educational Planning Committee, and the Graduate School of Education, has prepared the following guidelines to aid faculty in the development of proposals for new schools, degree programs, majors, concentrations, and minors.

Routing Procedure: All new programs must follow the routing procedures published in the Journal of Record.

At each step along this route the minutes or letter of support of the body should be attached to the proposal so that the next committee understands the thinking and action of previous committees.

GUIDELINES. All new programs should prepare their proposals in the following format, doing their best to answer the following questions:

1. Description, overview, and summary of proposed new program, major, minor, or concentration.

2. Need. Explain why the new program is needed at Fairfield University, e.g. student driven, faculty driven, special interest.

3. Rationale. Discuss the reasons for the program, rationale how it meets the mission of Fairfield, and its particular place in the curriculum.

4. Objectives. What does the program seek to accomplish?

5. Impact. what program(s) if any, will this replace? How will it impact current programs in your discipline or other disciplines? How will it impact the core curriculum? How will it overlap other programs?

6. Program Detail. List approved courses required or recommended, discuss course sequence and reasons for including courses. Include other programmatic detail not specifically course-related, such as internships, special university events, etc. Any new courses proposed must be fully detailed, including description, rationale, draft syllabus.

7. Administrative Structure and Governance. What faculty grouping has control over curriculum and course development? What individuals have administrative authority? What is the proposed "chain of command"?

8. Resources. List personnel, space, resources; Library ~ both in terms of resources AVAILABLE and resources NEEDED. Prepare a proposed draft yearly budget. Also consider OPPORTUNITY COST. What other programs would the university, or your curricular area, have to sacrifice or delay in order to implement this program?

9. Projections for the Future. What are your anticipated plans for this program down the line: two years? five years? When and how will you evaluate its effectiveness?

Appendix 2: Guidelines for the Routing and Approval of Proposed Course/Program Revisions in the Undergraduate Divisions

The general principles for the following guidelines are the following:

The person making a proposal (or one person from among those making a proposal) shall act as a "manager" for the proposal, who will:

- see that the proposal moves forward from committee to committee;
- provide each committee chair in a timely fashion with copies of the proposal and appropriate documentation (including relevant excerpts of minutes of committees that have already approved the proposal);
- be present at committee meetings as needed to answer questions.
- Faculty review (at more than one level of responsibility) is required for <u>all</u> course/program revisions.
- EPC review is required if <u>new resources</u> are required.
- Moreover, UCC review is required for:
 - a. all new programs, or changes in programs involving <u>interdisciplinary</u> or <u>inter-school</u> relationships; and
 - b. all changes having impact upon the present <u>Core Curriculum.</u>
- Academic Council review is required of all EPC and UCC recommendations on curriculum policy.

1. <u>New Courses - Within the Same School</u>

Included here are any course or program changes internal to a department or school, including new <u>interdisciplinary courses</u>.

- 1. Curriculum Area Chair to
- 2. School Curriculum Committee or Faculty of School to
- 3. Dean
- 2. <u>New Inter-School Courses</u>
 - 1. Curriculum Area Chair(s) to
 - 2. School Curriculum Committee(s)or Faculty of School(s) to
 - 3. Dean(s) to
 - 4. UCC

3. Formation or Dissolution of Degree Programs, Majors, Concentrations, and Minors

- 1. Curriculum Area Chair(s), where appropriate, to
- 2. School Curriculum Committee(s)or Faculty of School(s) to
- 3. Dean(s) to

UCC to
 EPC to
 Academic Council

4. <u>Formation or Dissolution of Schools</u>

UCC to
 EPC to
 Academic Council to
 General Faculty

5. <u>Courses/Programs seeking Grant Support, or Involving Other Universities, or Having Impact Outside F.U.</u>

The routing to be followed is the same as for "New Inter-School Courses," except that the Senior Vice-President for Academic Affairs must also review the proposal.

6. <u>Changes in Core Requirements</u>

Included here also are those situations where groups of students are to be excused from some part of the Core requirements, and those situations where courses are offered by one curriculum area but receive Core credit in another curriculum area.

[Note: Individual student exceptions to the Core requirements would continue to be made upon the advice of the Faculty Advisor to the Dean of the appropriate School.]

- 1. Curriculum Area Chair or faculty member to
- 2. Core Reviewing Unit to

3. UCC

7. <u>Changes in School or University Degree Requirements</u>:

1. School Curriculum Committee(s) or Faculty of School(s) to

- 2. Dean(s) to
- 3. UCC

CR: 9/14/87 corrected AC: 2/1/88 amended AC: 12/04/2000 Formatting corrected by GFS: 7/2006 amended: 02/26/2012 amended AC: 05/14/2012

Appendix 3: Guidelines for the Routing and Approval of Graduate Course/Program Revisions

The general principles for the following guidelines are the following:

The person making a proposal (or one person from among those making a proposal) shall act as a "manager" for the proposal, who will:

- see that the proposal moves forward from committee to committee;
- provide each committee chair in a timely fashion with copies of the proposal and appropriate documentation (including relevant excerpts of minutes of committees that have already approved the proposal);
- be present at committee meetings as needed to answer questions.
- Faculty review (at more than one level of responsibility) is required for <u>all</u> course/program revisions.
- EPC review is required if <u>new resources</u> are required.
- Academic Council review is required of all EPC recommendations on curriculum policy.
- 1. <u>New Courses Within the Same School</u>
 - 1. Curriculum Area Chair to
 - 2. School Curriculum Committee or Faculty of School to
 - 3. Dean

2. <u>New Inter-School Courses</u>

- 1. Curriculum Area Chair to
- 2. School Curriculum Committee(s) or Faculty of School(s) to
- 3. Dean(s)

3. Formation or Dissolution of Degree Programs, Majors, Concentrations, and Minors

- 1. Curriculum Area(s), where appropriate to
- 2. School Curriculum Committee(s) or Faculty of School(s) to
- 3. Dean(s) to
- 4. EPC to
- 5. Academic Council

4. <u>Formation or Dissolution of Schools</u>

- 1. EPC to
- 2. Academic Council to
- 3. General Faculty

5. <u>Courses/Programs seeking Grant Support, or Involving Other Universities, or Having</u> <u>Impact Outside F.U.</u>

The routing to be followed is the same as for "New InterSchool Courses," except that the Provost and the Academic Vice-President must also review the proposal.

6. <u>Changes in Degree Requirements</u>:

1. Curriculum Area Chair(s) to

2. School Curriculum Committee(s) or Faculty of School(s) to

3. Dean(s)

AC: 4/25/88 Amended, AC: 12/4/2000 Formatting corrected by GFS: 7/2006

Appendix 4: Guidelines for Review of Programs

When new programs¹ are approved, the approval usually calls for a review of the program after a stated number of years. The following guidelines are based on the procedures for the approval of new programs published in the *Journal of Record*. Their purpose is to provide a structure for the faculty teaching in the program to carry out the review, and to inform relevant committees of its results.

In submitting your report for review, please also include a copy of the original proposal for reference purposes.

- 1. Have there been any significant changes in the program? If so, what were they? Why were they made?
- 2. Need. How many students have graduated with an official major and/or minor from this program and how many are currently officially enrolled? (Please provide documentation.)
- 3. Objectives.
 - a. What are the objectives being measured?
 - b. How are you measuring the outcomes of those objectives?
 - c. How are you meeting those objectives?
 - d. How does your program help to fulfill the University's mission?

4. Impact. What impact has your program had on your students and on the University? Has the program had an impact on other programs in your discipline or other disciplines? If so, has this impact been favorable or unfavorable?

5. Administrative Structure and Governance. Have there been any changes in the administrative structure and governance of the program? If so, what have they been, and why were they made?

6. Resources. What are your current resources, and how are they being used? Has the program had any problem in obtaining necessary resources (personnel, space, financing, etc.)? If so, what effect has this had on the program? Please attach a budget sheet that describes your use of funds.

Routing procedure sequence for review of programs:

- 1) School curriculum committee
- 2) UCC (if applicable)
- 3) EPC
- 4) Academic Council

¹ "Program" is used here to refer to majors, minors, concentrations and programs

AC 4/4/2005

Appendix 5: Timetable and Guidelines for Tenure and Promotion

FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON RANK AND TENURE GUIDELINES FOR APPLICATIONS

Introduction

The *Faculty Handbook* defines the basic requirements upon which the Committee on Rank and Tenure formulates its recommendations. In preparing a dossier and supporting documentation, a candidate's principal obligation is to present coherently and document substantially his or her case for promotion and/or tenure. The dossier should be organized in such a way that readers are helped to understand and interpret the data presented in support of one's teaching, service, scholarly or creative work, and other professional activities and accomplishments. These guidelines are meant to assist faculty in organizing and developing a dossier which meets the Handbook objectives. Not all of the recommendations contained in these guidelines are pertinent to each application. Candidates will judge which materials are appropriate for them.

The Committee on Rank and Tenure requests that the completed dossier and supporting documents be submitted to the head of the candidate's curriculum area by the date noted on the appended timetable. The University considers the materials contained in the applicant's Rank and Tenure file to be confidential. The Rank and Tenure file consists of the applicant's dossier, the applicant's supporting documentation and the letters.

OUTLINE AND GUIDELINES FOR APPLICANT'S DOSSIER

I. Table of Contents

Include not only the contents of the dossier, but the contents of the appendices and other supporting documents as well.

II. Background Data

- A. Name
- B. Application for tenure or promotion
- C. Education (chronological)
- D. Academic appointments/promotions (chronological)
- E. Other background experience related to current position

Include information on experience related to current position, such as clinical appointments, consultantships, positions in museums, the theater, and the like.

III. Curriculum Vitae

IV. Detailed Statement of Case for Promotion or Tenure

This statement should give focus to the strengths of the application, specifically indicating how the application satisfies the requirements in the Faculty Handbook for promotion or tenure.

V. Teaching Accomplishments Since Initial Promotion or Appointment to Present Rank

A. Courses taught at Fairfield University

Identify and describe courses developed or substantially redesigned, including new or existing courses designed for community engagement, and other substantial teaching activities that are counted as part of your assigned course load.

B. Teaching evaluation

- i. Peer review The applicant is encouraged to request colleagues with firsthand experience of his/her teaching ability to submit written reports based on these observations. Colleagues may wish to address differences between their perceptions of candidate's teaching and student perceptions if the student perceptions are known to the colleague.
- ii. Student Evaluation Summary If student evaluations are submitted as supporting materials, a summary of the student rating must appear in this section of the application. Sufficient information about the evaluation instrument (especially a department or personal form) and results must be provided to enable the committee to make an informed decision.
- iii. Other As appropriate, include community partner evaluations and community-based peer or student evaluations.
- iv. Teaching Grants, Awards, or Citations
- C. Description of involvement in curriculum development and enhancement

The candidate may include information about innovations in teaching and integrative approaches that bring together teaching, scholarship, and community engagement.

D. Student advising

E. Student supervision

Include activities such as independent studies, theses, academic student organizations, student teacher/clinical supervision, field trips, community-engaged projects/research, and the like.

- F. Participation in courses/seminars of other faculty
- G. Other community outreach teaching not counted as part of your teaching load.

VI. Professional Accomplishments Since Initial Promotion or Appointment to Present Rank

A. A list of publications

The *Faculty Handbook* emphasizes the importance of peer review. For each category in this section, explain the review process. Include both what was reviewed (a complete paper? an abstract for a paper? a draft of a book?) as well as who reviewed the work (double-blind referees? an editor? the conference organizers? community partners?). If possible, describe how competitive was the selection process.

The *Faculty Handbook* requires evidence that the faculty member contributes to the advancement of the scholarly and professional community by engaging in scholarly research or creative activities. Therefore, in addition to the refereed publications, monographs, and other creative works that typically comprise tenure and promotion dossiers, dossiers may include such items as policy reports, patents and licensing documentation, etc. There is an expectation that this scholarship— much like "traditional" scholarship—be a part of a rigorous, coherent body of work aimed at extending knowledge, engaging and informing others, and transforming the community.

In addition to publications that have appeared in print, include in this section accepted publications not in print with a letter of verification from the editor stating that the publication is accepted unconditionally, or accepted pending relatively straightforward revisions. If, in a previous application, a publication has been listed as accepted but not in print, that fact should be noted in this section.

If a publication has multiple authors, explain your contribution to the publication. For community engaged scholarship, demonstrate how work was conducted in partnership with the community and characterized by mutuality, reciprocity, sustainability, and shared goals.

1. Books and chapters of books

Include published reviews or publisher reviews and/or letters of evaluation.

2. Professional refereed journal papers

- 3. Products of community-engaged scholarship
- 4. Professional refereed conference proceeding papers
- 5. Professional non-refereed journal papers
- 6. Other publications and public documents
- 7. Book reviews and short notes

B. Accomplishments other than publications

In fields where publications are not the primary expression of professional achievement use this section to explain those activities. These may include art exhibits, performances, movies or plays written or directed, community-engaged scholarship, and so on.

The *Faculty Handbook* emphasizes the importance of peer review. In each case, explain the review process, including what was reviewed and who did the review. If possible, describe how competitive was the selection process as well as how the review process worked.

C. Sponsored research (grants)

Please also list applications for grants. The *Faculty Handbook* emphasizes the importance of peer review. In each case, explain the review process, including what was reviewed, and who did the review. If possible, describe how competitive was the selection process as well as how the review process worked.

D. Professional presentations

Include information such as the date of the presentation, location, to whom, and the topic.

Note whether presentations were to international, national, regional, or local groups, as well as indicating the prestige of the groups addressed.

Indicate whether each address was invited, submitted and refereed, or submitted and non-refereed. Explain what was reviewed (a complete paper? an abstract?) as well as how the review process worked.

E. Professional honors and/or awards

F. Professional contributions/service

Describe contributions to scholarly associations such as official positions, editorship of journals and review/referee work and committee work.

G. Consultantships

H. Presentations on media or to a community and non-professional groups

Present all relevant data.

VII. University and/or Community Service Since Initial Promotion or Appointment to Present Rank

A. University Committees

For Standing and Ad Hoc committees, list dates of service, name of committee(s) and position(s) held

B. School or Departmental Committees

List dates of service, name committee(s) and position(s) held

C. Community Engagement

Describe the candidate's application of knowledge, skills, and expertise to pressing social, moral, and civic issues and problems, by forming and maintaining sustainable working relationships (characterized by mutual benefits and shared goals) with community partners.

D. Other Service to University

For example, organizing art exhibits, lecture series, faculty seminars, and the like.

E. Service to Student Organizations

F. Service Extending beyond the University

Efforts that relate to one's academic/professional expertise and are undertaken as a representative of the university; for example: providing consultation services (without remuneration); participation in major committees of a professional society or discipline, etc.

NOTE: Please use Areas V., VI., and VII. as models for Areas VIII., IX., and X.

- VIII. Teaching Accomplishments Prior to Promotion or Appointment to Present Rank
- IX. Professional Accomplishments Prior to Promotion or Appointment to Present Rank
- X. University and/or Community Service Prior to Promotion or Appointment to Present Rank

APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

- 1. <u>Student Evaluations</u> Candidates may submit student evaluations covering semesters of the period under review. The Committee will accept those evaluations at the will of the candidate. A single copy of computer printouts, essay responses, or other substantiating data may be submitted.
- 2. <u>Publications</u> Candidates should submit copies of all publications, evidence of artistic accomplishments, reviews of books and papers, etc.
- 3. <u>Syllabi, tests, or other course materials</u> sufficient to indicate currentness of courses
- 4. <u>Letters</u> Applicants will propose a list of five possible external reviewers, accompanied by (1) a short explanation of the applicant's relationship (if any) with the possible external reviewer, and (2) the contact information for each possible external reviewer. The Dean, in consultation with the head of the curriculum area will select at least two external reviewers from the list provided by the applicant and one additional reviewer who may or may not be chosen from the list provided by the applicant. Applicants may also submit a list of non-reviewers who shall not be selected by the Dean and the head of the curriculum area as external reviewers. The Dean will contact the external reviewers. Once it has been confirmed that each external reviewer agrees to write a letter, the Dean will then send the external reviewers applicant's materials supporting professional activities and accomplishments, along with the following materials:
 - a. Background information on Fairfield University.

b. A brief description of the Rank and Tenure Committee as a university committee with membership representing the College of Arts and Sciences, School of Nursing, Business School, and the Graduate School of Education and Allied Professions.

- c. Copy of the official Timetable and Guidelines for Tenure and Promotion.
- d. Copy of the appropriate pages in the Faculty Handbook (pp. 20-25)
- e. Specific instructions to assess the professional activities and accomplishments of the applicant with qualitative comments.

This procedure does not preclude or prohibit the applicant from soliciting additional outside letters.

5. <u>Letters</u> - The chairs or heads of the curriculum areas shall include in the supporting documents annual or other reviews by themselves and by the Dean, if such documents are available.

TIMETABLE FOR APPLICATION FOR PROMOTION AND TENURE

- 1. BY MAY 7: The Rank and Tenure Committee will hold an informal meeting with interested faculty to discuss the guidelines and the application process for tenure and promotion. The meeting will be held on a day when no classes are scheduled.
- 2. BY MAY 30: The applicant must notify the Dean and the head of the curriculum area (normally the department chair) of his/her intent to apply for promotion or tenure. Applicants will propose a list of five possible external reviewers, accompanied by (1) a short explanation of the applicant's relationship (if any) with the possible external reviewer, and (2) the contact information for each possible external reviewer. The Dean, in consultation with the head of the curriculum area, will select at least two external reviewers from the list provided by the applicant.
- 3. By JULY 15: The Dean will ascertain the willingness of the external reviewers to provide a letter for the applicant and notify the applicant.
- 4. By AUGUST 1: The applicant will provide the Dean with materials supporting professional activities and accomplishments to be sent to the external reviewers.
- 5. By AUGUST 15: The Dean will formally contact the external reviewers to solicit letters on behalf of the applicant and send the applicants' materials supporting professional activities and accomplishments, along with the following materials:
 - A. Background information on Fairfield University.
 - B. A brief description of the Rank and Tenure Committee as a university committee with membership representing the College of Arts and Sciences, School of Nursing, School of Business, and the Graduate School of Education and Allied Professions.
 - C. Copy of the official Timetable and Guidelines for Tenure and Promotion.
 - D. Copy of the appropriate pages in the Faculty Handbook.
 - E. Specific instructions to assess the professional activities and accomplishments of the applicant with qualitative comments.
- 6. BY SEPTEMBER 15: The applicant will provide the appropriate faculty (normally within the department) with one copy of the dossier and supporting documentation. Dossiers and notebooks (3 ring binders) will not be returned, therefore, please put any original journal articles or papers, etc., with the supplementary materials.
- 7. BY OCTOBER 15: Outside letters should be addressed to and received by the Dean. The Dean shall provide copies to the head of the curriculum area. The applicant should check with the Dean to verify that the letters have been received.

- 8. BY NOVEMBER 8: The appropriate faculty, normally those with rank at or above the rank sought by the applicant, should send nine (9) copies of their letter assessing the dossier directly to the office of the Dean.
- 9. BY NOVEMBER 15: The head of the curriculum area should forward nine (9) copies of his/her letter, the applicant's dossier and supporting documentation to the Dean's office.
- 10. BY DECEMBER 1: The applicant should supply an electronic copy of only the dossier in PDF format directly to the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.
- 11. BY DECEMBER 15:
 - a. The Dean will attach his/her letter and forward the applicant's file, including the dossier, faculty letters, outside letters and supporting documentation to the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.
 - b. The Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs will have a copy of the Rank and Tenure file available for each member of the Committee. This file will then be closed, except for information not reasonably obtainable at that time. The Committee will not consider incomplete applications.
- 12. BY FEBRUARY 15: A Committee recommendation for or against promotion or tenure will be forwarded to the applicant. Applicants may discuss reasons for the negative recommendation with the Academic Vice President.
- 13. BY MARCH 1: Appeals must be filed by the applicant in the Office of the Academic Vice President with rationale clearly stated. Additional information or clarification shall be submitted at this time. The Applicant will supply the Dean and appropriate faculty with this material for comment. The Dean and the appropriate faculty will provide these comments to the Office of the Academic Vice President by March 10.
- 14. BY APRIL 1: The Committee recommendation for or against the appeal will be forwarded to the applicant. The Committee recommendations for all applicants will be presented to the President. The Academic Vice President will make his/her recommendation to the President and provide the Committee with a copy.
- 15. BY APRIL 15: The applicant will be notified of the final decision.
- 16. An applicant may request written reasons for denial of promotion or tenure from the Administration in accord with the Faculty Handbook. That request should be presented to the Academic Vice President.

IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW POLICIES ON RANK AND TENURE

1. All procedural changes, such as the composition of the committee and the sequences of actions and appeals, will become effective September 1, 1993.

2. All faculty hired after September 1, 1992 will be subject to the new criteria.

3. All faculty hired on or before September 1, 1992 will have the following options:

a. Tenured faculty applying for promotion in 1993-94 or 1994-95 may indicate a wish to be judged according to the old criteria (Faculty Handbook, 8th edition), by notifying their Chair/Coordinator, Dean and the Academic Vice President by September 15 of the year in which they will initiate the application. After September 1, 1995, all promotion decisions for tenured faculty will be based on the new criteria.

b. Untenured faculty applying for tenure and/or promotion may indicate a wish to be judged according to the old criteria (Faculty Handbook, 8th edition), by notifying their Chair/Coordinator, Dean and the Academic Vice President by September 15 of the year in which they will initiate the process. This option will remain available to untenured faculty until such times as they receive tenure or are issued a terminal contract.

AC: 10/1/84 amended AC: 10/6/86 amended AC: 5/15/89 amended AC: 5/2/94 amended AC: 12/11/95 amended AC: 5/15/96 amended AC: 5/15/96 amended AC: 5/17/00 amended AC: 5/17/00 amended AC: 5/6/2002 amended AC: 5/6/2002 amended AC: 3/6/2006 amended AC: 5/25/2010 amended AC: 5/25/2010 amended AC: 4/8/2013 amended AC: 11/14/2016

FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE GUIDELINES FOR SABBATICAL

INTRODUCTION

The Faculty Research Committee seeks to recognize the difference between persons, backgrounds, disciplines, orientations, and the possibilities of novel projects in its consideration of proposed sabbatical projects. In evaluating proposals, the committee may consult with appropriately knowledgeable persons inside and outside the university. The committee provides these general norms to assist faculty in drafting their sabbatical proposals and to guide the committee in evaluating the merits of proposed projects. In preparing an application and supporting documentations, an applicant's principal obligation is to present a clear and coherent case. A sabbatical is not automatic; the applicant has the obligation to make the case.

PURPOSE

Sabbatical leaves are awarded with financial support to increase the usefulness to the University of individuals as teachers and as scholars, and to contribute to their long-term effectiveness as members of the academic profession (HB II.B.2.a). The sabbatical leave affords the faculty member a release from normal teaching and service duties to pursue scholarly or creative activities that will benefit the individual and the university. Such activities may include intensive research and/or writing in one's discipline, retraining in a different field or methodology related to the person's professional and/or teaching area, training to improve teaching methods, and developing programs that would be of benefit to the university. The scholarly or creative products of the leave will be disseminated in an appropriate peer-reviewed venue.

DEADLINE FOR APPLICATION

The deadlines are generally around November 1 (for the applicant), November 7 (for the Department Chair's letter of recommendation), and November 15 (for the Dean's letter of recommendation). The official deadline is published each year by the Research Committee. Applications must be submitted on or before the deadline. Late applications will NOT be reviewed.

ELIGIBILITY

- The applicant must meet the university and departmental criteria for sabbatical leave release as stated in the latest edition of the *Faculty Handbook* or amendments thereto (II.B.2.a). In particular, applications for either or both semesters of an academic year must be submitted in November of the previous academic year.
- Projects that emphasize scholarly research should have value not only to the individual, but should ensure an advance in knowledge in the field, and should have potential for scholarly publications.
- Projects that emphasize artistic creativity such as painting, sculpture, musical composition, writing of poetry, drama, or fiction, or similar endeavors, should have a reasonably direct relation to the person's discipline and be subject to evaluative norms of the profession.

- Projects that emphasize professional development should clearly show promise of improved performance in one's teaching and/or professional responsibilities.
- Projects that emphasize community engaged scholarship may be considered, where such projects would be of exceptional value to the individual's professional responsibilities, or to the university, or to the broader community.

PROCEDURE

Check the Faculty Handbook for eligibility.

Consult with department chairperson for planning and evaluation of the proposed project so that the completed application is submitted to the Research Committee by the deadline.

Applicants must submit their completed proposal in the manner indicated on the general faculty secretary's website.

The Research Committee reviews the application and makes recommendations to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.

PROPOSAL

The proposal should provide the Research Committee with the information necessary for evaluation. It is important that the applicant provide complete and specific information about the project itself, its importance to the applicant's professional life, and its value to the university. The proposal should use language that clearly communicates to a committee of people not in that person's discipline the subject matter, the plan, and the methods involved. The following information in clearly labeled sections and paginated contiguously for the whole document is required:

- 1. Name, department, rank, and date of application
- 2. Date of initial appointment and date of tenure
- 3. Date(s) of prior sabbatical(s) and prior external and internal research support of the past 10 years (Append copies of the most recent prior sabbatical, pre-tenure, senior summer fellowship, research grant, and summer research stipend reports)
- 4. First semester eligible for sabbatical and period of proposed sabbatical
- 5. Title of project
- 6. Primary focus of project: research, pedagogical development, or professional development.
- 7. Short project summary of no more than 250 words
- 8. Benefit of the project to the university
- 9. Detailed description of proposed project. The tasks to be performed should be described, and the expected results should be outlined in detail. The importance of the project should be discussed and its relevance to the investigator's long-term research plans explained. Indicate resources necessary for completion, any related work already done, a detailed timeline for completion, expected final product(s), and dissemination plan (e.g., in an appropriate peer-reviewed venue).
- 10. Relevant bibliography

- 11. Comments
- 12. For projects involving research with human subjects or animals, Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval or Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval is not needed at the time of this proposal but it is expected to be obtained before commencement of the project. Indicate here if IRB or IACUC approval is required. A copy of the IRB or IACUC approval letter should be submitted to the Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison, CNS 300.
- 13. Curriculum Vitae (The curriculum vitae should reflect applicant's record of teaching, research, and service).

REVIEW

If the applicant has not followed the guidelines approved by the faculty, or does not follow the timetable specified in the *Faculty Handbook*, the application will not be further considered.

The proposed project should demonstrate a reasonable expectation of completion or substantial progress, with access to necessary resources.

REPORTING REQUIREMENT

A final report is due six months following the end of the sabbatical leave. This report should discuss your accomplishments and the immediate outcomes and products of your sabbatical. This should be related back to the original goals and plans in your proposal, explicitly noting any necessary modifications in their implementation. In addition, you should note any intended future outcomes and products that will likely stem from your sabbatical project.

The final report should be sent to Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison (CNS 300), and to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, the applicant's Dean, and the applicant's Chair.

FAIRFIELD UNIVERISTY FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION GUIDELINES FOR SABBATICAL

The letters must:

- Explain to the Faculty Research Committee the significance of the applicant's research and its relevance to the purposes of the department/program area
- Document the applicant's previous analytical or creative research activity
- Mention the contributions the applicant is likely to make to the department/program area through scholarly publications and teaching, and
- Support the applicant's reasonable expectations that the proposed work will be completed as stated in the proposal.
- Indicate how the department will cover the applicant's teaching while on sabbatical

The Department Chair's letter must be able to support the applicant's candidacy in language that is comprehensible to the Faculty Research Committee members who come from various disciplines.

AC: 05/15/1989 Amended AC: 11/03/2003, 03/01/2004, 09/11/2006, 04/30/2007, 04/08/2013

FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE GUIDELINES FOR PRE-TENURE RESEARCH LEAVE

INTRODUCTION

The Faculty Research Committee invites applications from untenured, tenure-track faculty, for Pre-Tenure Research Leaves. The leave will be for one semester of the third or fourth year, at full pay. The award may not be used for work connected to the completion of doctoral studies. The semester will count toward the normal probationary period for tenure. The leave must be completed before the academic year in which the faculty member applies for tenure.

PURPOSE

The pre-tenure research leave affords the untenured faculty member a release from normal teaching and service duties in order to pursue activities that will be beneficial to the faculty member's long term plans for research and scholarly activity, including, but not restricted to, intensive research, writing in one's field or artistic creativity.

DEADLINE FOR APPLICATION

The deadlines are generally around November 1 (for the applicant), November 7 (for the Department Chair's letter of recommendation), and November 15 (for the Dean's letter of recommendation). The official deadline is published each year by the Research Committee. Applications must be submitted on or before the deadline. Late applications will NOT be reviewed.

ELIGIBILITY

- 1. All untenured, tenure-track faculty in their second or third consecutive year of full-time teaching at Fairfield University are eligible to apply for the research leave.
- 2. Projects that emphasize scholarly research should have value not only to the individual, but should ensure an advance in knowledge in the field, and should have potential for scholarly publication in an appropriate peer reviewed venue.
- 3. Projects that emphasize artistic creativity such as painting, sculpture, musical composition or performance, writing of poetry, drama, or fiction, or similar endeavors, should have a direct relation to the person's discipline and be subject to evaluative norms of the profession.

PROCEDURE

Check the Faculty Handbook for eligibility.

Consult with department chairperson for planning and evaluation of the proposed project so that the completed application is submitted to the Research Committee by the deadline. Applications for this award must be made by the deadline printed above of the second or third year of full-time teaching at Fairfield, for leave during either the fall or spring semester of the third or fourth year.

Applicants must submit their completed proposal in the manner indicated on the general faculty secretary's website.

The Research Committee reviews the application and makes recommendations to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.

PROPOSAL

The proposal should provide the Research Committee with the information necessary for evaluation. It is important that the applicant provide complete and specific information about the project itself, its importance to the applicant's professional life, and its value to the university. The proposal should use language that clearly communicates to a committee of people not in that person's discipline the subject matter, the plan, and the methods involved. The following information in clearly labeled sections and paginated contiguously for the whole document is required:

- 1. Name, department, rank, and date of application
- 4. Date of initial appointment
- 5. Date(s) of prior external and internal research support of the past five years (Append copies of the most recent prior research grant and summer research stipend reports)
- 6. Period of proposed research leave, and preferred semester
- 7. Title of project
- 8. Primary focus of project: research, pedagogical development, or professional development.
- 9. Short project summary of no more than 250 words
- 10. Benefit of the project to the university
- **11.** Detailed description of proposed project. The tasks to be performed should be described, and the expected results should be outlined in detail. The importance of the project should be discussed and its relevance to the investigator's long-term research plans explained. Indicate resources necessary for completion, any related work already done, a detailed timeline for completion, expected final product(s), and dissemination plan (e.g., in an appropriate peer reviewed venue).
- 12. Relevant bibliography
- 13. Comments
- 14. For projects involving research with human subjects or animals, Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval or Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval is not needed at the time of this proposal but it is expected to be obtained before commencement of the project. Indicate here if IRB or IACUC approval is required. A copy of the IRB or IACUC approval letter should be submitted to the Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison, CNS 300.
- 15. Curriculum Vitae (The curriculum vitae should be no more than five pages and it should reflect applicant's record of teaching, research, and service).

REVIEW

If the applicant has not followed the guidelines approved by the faculty, or does not follow the timetable specified in the *Faculty Handbook*, the application will not be further considered.

If, after first review, the application is deemed to have merit, but is judged by the committee to be deficient in some area, the applicant may be asked to submit additional data for reconsideration.

The proposed project should demonstrate a reasonable expectation of completion or substantial progress, with access to necessary resources.

In the event that there are more qualified applicants than it is possible to allow pre-tenure leaves in any particular year, preference will be given to those applying in their third year for a leave in their fourth year.

Ten semesters of active service at Fairfield University must elapse after completion of a pre-tenure research leave before the faculty member is eligible for their first sabbatical leave.

REPORTING REQUIREMENT

A final report is due six months following the end of the pre-tenure research leave. This report should discuss your accomplishments and the immediate outcomes and products of your pre-tenure research leave. This should be related back to the original goals and plans in your proposal, explicitly noting any necessary modifications in their implementation. In addition, you should note any intended future outcomes and products that will likely stem from your research leave.

The final report should be sent to Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison (CNS 300), and to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, the applicant's Dean, and the applicant's Chair.

FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION GUIDELINES FOR PRE-TENURE RESEARCH LEAVE

The letter must:

- 2. Explain to the Faculty Research Committee the significance of the applicant's research and its relevance to the purposes of the department/program area
- 3. Document the applicant's previous analytical or creative research activity
- 4. Mention the contributions the applicant is likely to make to the department/program area through scholarly publications and teaching, and
- 5. Support the applicant's reasonable expectations that the proposed work will be completed as stated in the proposal

The Department Chair's letter must be able to support the applicant's candidacy in language that is comprehensible to the Faculty Research Committee members who come from various disciplines.

AC: 11/13/1995 AC: 11/03/2003 AC: 03/06/2006 AC: 09/11/2006 AC: 04/30/2007 AC: 04/08/2013

FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE GUIDELINES FOR SUMMER RESEARCH STIPENDS

INTRODUCTION

The Faculty Research Committee invites applications from tenured and tenure-track faculty from all academic disciplines for a Summer Research Stipend. The amount of each grant is equivalent to what is currently paid to faculty with a terminal degree teaching an overload course and the program will have funds available for no fewer than ten grants.

PURPOSE

The purpose of the Summer Research Stipends Program is to support tenure-track or tenured faculty members during the summer for a concentrated period of at least 8 weeks of research and writing.

DEADLINE FOR APPLICATION

The deadline is generally around January 20. The official deadline is published each year by the Research Committee. Applications must be submitted on or before the deadline. Late applications will NOT be reviewed.

ELIGIBILITY

- All tenure-track or tenured faculty are eligible for the summer research stipends.
- Awards will be made on the following conditions:
 - professors approved for Summer Research Stipends must teach no more than one summer session course or engage in more than the normal (academic year) one-day-per-week consultative activities during the period from May 30 to August 30;
 - professors approved for Summer Research Stipends cannot have duplicate funding that pays for time for the same or a similar project;
- Applications from faculty falling into the following four categories will be considered only if there is not a sufficient number of high quality applications: faculty members (1) who held summer research stipends during the previous summer's period, (2) who were approved for a sabbatical leave for the two academic years contiguous with that summer, (3) who were approved for a pretenure research leave for the two academic years contiguous with that summer, (4) who were approved for a senior summer fellowship during the previous summer's period, (5) who received the Robert Wall Award for the two academic years contiguous with that summer.
- Members of the Faculty Research Committee are not eligible to apply during their term in order to avoid conflict of interest.

PROCEDURE

Applicants must submit their completed proposal in the manner indicated on the website for the general faculty secretary.

The Research Committee reviews the application and makes recommendations to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.

PROPOSAL

The proposal should provide the Research Committee with the information necessary for evaluation. It is important that the applicant provide complete and specific information about the project itself, its importance to the applicant's professional life, and its value to the university. The proposal should use language that clearly communicates to a committee of people not in that person's discipline the subject matter, the plan, and the methods involved. The following information in clearly labeled sections and paginated contiguously for the whole document is required:

- 1. Name, department, rank, and date of application
- 2. Date of initial appointment
- 3. Date(s) of prior external and internal research support in the past 10 years (Append copies of the most recent prior sabbatical, pre-tenure, senior summer fellowship, research grant, and summer research stipend reports)
- 4. Title of project
- 5. Primary focus of project: research, pedagogical development, or professional development
- 6. Short project summary of no more than 250 words
- 7. Benefit of the project to the university
- 8. Detailed description of proposed project. The tasks to be performed should be described, and the expected results should be outlined in detail. The importance of the project should be discussed and its relevance to the investigator's long-term research plans explained. Indicate resources necessary for completion, any related work already done, a detailed timeline for completion, expected final product(s), and dissemination plan (e.g., in an appropriate peer reviewed venue.)
- 9. Relevant bibliography
- 10. Comments
- 11. For projects involving research with human subjects or animals, Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval or Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval is not needed at the time of this proposal but it is expected to be obtained before commencement of the project. Indicate here if IRB or IACUC approval is required. A copy of the IRB or IACUC approval letter should be submitted to the Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison, CNS 300.
- 12. Curriculum Vitae (The curriculum vitae should be no more than five pages and it should reflect applicant's record of teaching, research, and service).

REVIEW

If the applicant has not followed the guidelines approved by the faculty, or does not follow the timetable specified in the *Faculty Handbook*, the application will not be further considered.

The proposed project should demonstrate a reasonable expectation of completion or substantial progress, with access to necessary resources.

Applications are reviewed by the Faculty Research Committee. When the Committee members do not deem themselves qualified to judge the merit of a proposal, consultation with other educators may be undertaken. When ranking two or more proposals of equal merit, the Faculty Research Committee will privilege the applicant who has not received previous funding. Decisions of the Research Committee shall be final.

REPORTING REQUIREMENT

Final report is due March 1, following receipt of the award.

This report should discuss your accomplishments and the immediate outcomes and products of your summer research stipend. This should be related back to the original goals and plans in your proposal, explicitly noting any necessary modifications in their implementation. In addition, you should note any intended future outcomes and products that will likely stem from your project.

The final report should be sent to Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison (CNS 300), and to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, the applicant's Dean, and the applicant's Chair.

AC: 02/12/2001 AC: 11/03/2003 AC: 09/11/2006 AC: 04/30/2007 AC: 04/28/2008 AC: 04/08/2013 AC: 04/03/2017

FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE GUIDELINES FOR RESEARCH GRANT

INTRODUCTION

The Faculty Research Committee invites applications from tenure-track and tenured faculty in all academic disciplines for research grants. The maximum grant amount is \$1,000 and the program will have funds available for no fewer than ten grants.

PURPOSE

The purpose of the Research Grants is to encourage and assist research and scholarly work of all tenuretrack or tenured faculty.

DEADLINE FOR APPLICATION

The deadline is generally around March 1. The official deadline is published each year by the Research Committee. Applications must be submitted on or before the deadline. Late applications will NOT be reviewed.

ELIGIBILITY

- Proposals in all academic areas will be considered.
- Special consideration will be given to work in areas where the researcher has not received previous financial support and in disciplines where outside support is limited.
- Where possible, results from initial work supported by University funds should be used as a basis for seeking outside support of future work, in cooperation with the Grants Office.
- All materials acquired with grant funds become the property of the University.
- Members of the Faculty Research Committee are not eligible to apply during their term in order to avoid conflict of interest.

PROCEDURE

Applicants must submit their completed proposal in the manner indicated on the website for the general faculty secretary.

The Research Committee reviews the application and makes recommendations to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.

PROPOSAL

The proposal should provide the Research Committee with the information necessary for evaluation. The proposal should use language that clearly communicates to a committee of people not in that person's discipline so that they can understand and evaluate the proposal. The following information in clearly labeled sections and paginated contiguously for the whole document is required:

1. Name, department, rank, and date of application

- 2. Date of initial appointment
- 3. Title of project
- 4. Purpose and significance of identified area needing funding: research or professional development to assist research and scholarly work
- 5. Plan to accomplish above stated purpose
- 6. Related work by applicant
- 7. Relevant bibliography
- 8. Date(s) of prior external and internal research support of the past 10 years (Append copies of the most recent prior sabbatical, pre-tenure, senior summer fellowship, research grant, and summer research stipend reports)
- 9. Detailed, itemized budget
- 10. Comments
- 11. For projects involving research with human subjects or animals, Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval or Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval is not needed at the time of this proposal but it is expected to be obtained before commencement of the project. Indicate here if IRB or IACUC approval is required. A copy of the IRB or IACUC approval letter should be submitted to the Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison, CNS 300.
- 13. Curriculum Vitae (the curriculum vitae should be no more than five pages and it should reflect the applicant's record of teaching, research, and service).

REVIEW

Applications are reviewed by the Faculty Research Committee. When the Committee members do not deem themselves qualified to judge the merit of a proposal, consultation with other educators may be undertaken.

REPORTING REQUIREMENT

Funds can be encumbered for a period of eighteen months after the grant date. A final report is due at the completion of the project, or after a maximum of two years, whichever is sooner. The final report should discuss your accomplishments and the immediate outcomes and products of your project. This should be related back to the original goals and plans in your proposal, explicitly noting any necessary modifications in their implementation. In addition, you should note any intended future outcomes and products that will likely stem from the project. A financial report itemizing spending should accompany the final report.

The final report should be sent to Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison (CNS 300), and to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, the applicant's Dean, and the applicant's Chair.

GUIDELINES CONCERNING RESEARCH GRANTS

- A. Assistance will normally be given on the basis of the merit of the proposal and funds available to assist in defraying costs of the following:
 - 1. Services, equipment, travel, or supplies considered necessary or beneficial for the pursuit of investigations. Examples include:
 - Microfilm or other reproduction of source materials
 - Reasonable travel to libraries or other sources of data (New York City and New Haven are not included)
 - Equipment and/or supplies, and software
 - Data collection or other appropriate work by students or technical assistants where payment is a necessity (this does not include payment to such assistants for their research or creative efforts)
 - Data processing
 - 2. Preparation of an application for outside support of a project when secretarial help, etc., are not available through normal University channels
- B. Normally, the Research Committee will not consider support in the following areas, although the University may wish to support them (or already does) through other means and channels (inquiries should be made to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs for action or referral where alternate procedure is not clear):
 - Research and/or manuscript preparation which is directed toward an advanced degree
 - Attendance or presentations at conventions, conferences, group meetings, etc.
 - Classroom notes (However, after use has made it clear that these notes have begun to take the form of a text, which may be of interest to a publisher, consideration can be given. The problem of royalties in such cases is a complicating factor that may have to be considered.)
 - Actual publication costs in a scholarly journal or for a book
 - Research previously conducted

AC: 10/04/1993 AC: 11/03/2003 AC: 09/11/2006 AC: 04/30/2007 AC: 04/08/2013 AC: 11/14/2016 AC: 04/03/2017

FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE GUIDELINES FOR SENIOR SUMMER FELLOWSHIP

INTRODUCTION

The Faculty Research Committee invites applications from all academic disciplines for a Senior Summer Fellowship from tenured faculty who hold the rank of either associate or full professor. One award of \$7,000 will be made per year.

PURPOSE

The purpose of the Senior Summer Fellowship is to allow faculty to pursue advanced work and make a significant contribution to thought and knowledge in his or her respective discipline.

The Fellowship supports creative projects and research activities that can be completed during the summer of the award. The project/activities may be part of a larger research agenda.

It is usually expected that the Fellowship will result in juried performances or peer reviewed publications.

DEADLINE FOR APPLICATION

The deadline is generally around November 1. The official deadline is published each year by the Research Committee. Applications must be submitted on or before the deadline. Late applications will NOT be reviewed.

ELIGIBILITY

- The applicant must be a tenured associate or full professor.
- The applicant must be recommended by the Department Chair. Department Chairs who wish to apply should seek a letter of recommendation from a senior colleague.
- The applicant must devote two consecutive and uninterrupted months to full-time research or artistic work, and may not hold other major external fellowships or other internal research grants during the summer of the award.
- Recipients are not eligible to teach during the summer of the award.
- Members of the Faculty Research Committee are not eligible to apply during their term in order to avoid conflict of interest.

Faculty members approved for sabbatical leave or who receive the Robert Wall Award will not be eligible for a Senior Summer Fellowship for either summer contiguous to the academic year of the sabbatical leave.

PROCEDURE

Applicants must submit their completed proposal in the manner indicated on the website for the general faculty secretary.

The Research Committee reviews the application and makes recommendations to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.

PROPOSAL

The proposal should provide the Research Committee with the information necessary for evaluation. The proposal should use language that clearly communicates to a committee of people not in that person's discipline so that they can understand and evaluate the proposal. The following information in clearly labeled sections and paginated contiguously for the whole document is required:

- 1. Name, department, rank, and date of application
- 3. Date of initial appointment and date of tenure
- 4. Date(s) of prior external and internal research support of the past 10 years (Append copies of the most recent prior sabbatical, pre-tenure, senior summer fellowship, research grant, and summer research stipend reports)
- 5. Title of project
- 6. Dates for undertaking the work
- 7. Primary focus of project: research, pedagogical development, or professional development
- 8. Short project summary of no more than 250 words
- 9. Detailed description of proposed project. The tasks to be performed should be described, and the expected results should be outlined in detail. The importance of the project should be discussed and its relevance to the investigator's long-term research plans explained. Indicate resources necessary for completion, any related work already done, a detailed timeline for completion, expected final product(s), and dissemination plan (e.g., in an appropriate peer reviewed venue.)
- 10. Relevant bibliography
- 11. Comments
- 12. For projects involving research with human subjects or animals, Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval or Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval is not needed at the time of this proposal but it is expected to be obtained before commencement of the project. Indicate here if IRB or IACUC approval is required. A copy of the IRB or IACUC approval letter should be submitted to the Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison, CNS 300.
- 13. Curriculum Vitae (The curriculum vitae should reflect applicant's record of teaching, research, and service.
- 14. Department/Program Chair's letter of support (must be part of the application.)

REVIEW

Journal of Record

Applications are reviewed by the Faculty Research Committee. When the Committee members do not deem themselves qualified to judge the merit of a proposal, consultation with other educators may be undertaken. When ranking two or more proposals of equal merit, the Faculty Research Committee will privilege the applicant who has not received previous funding. Decisions of the Research Committee shall be final.

REPORTING REQUIREMENT

Final report is due March 1 following the summer of the award.

The final report should discuss your accomplishments and the immediate outcomes and products of your project. This should be related back to the original goals and plans in your proposal, explicitly noting any necessary modifications in their implementation. In addition, you should note any intended future outcomes and products that will likely stem from the project.

The final report should be sent to Faculty Research Committee Administrative Liaison (CNS 300), and to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, the applicant's Dean, and the applicant's Chair.

FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION GUIDELINES FOR SENIOR SUMMER FELLOWSHIP

The letter must:

- Explain to the Faculty Research Committee the significance of the applicant's research and its relevance to the purposes of the department/program area
- Document the applicant's previous analytical or creative research activity
- Mention the contributions the applicant is likely to make to the department/program area through scholarly publications and teaching, and
- Support the applicant's reasonable expectations that the proposed work will be completed as stated in the proposal

The Department Chair's letter must be able to support the applicant's candidacy in language that is comprehensible to the Faculty Research Committee members who come from various disciplines.

AC: 09/11/2006 AC: 04/30/2007 AC: 04/28/2008 AC: 04/08/2013

Appendix 11: Guiding Principles and Procedural Guidelines for Faculty Compensation

Guiding Principles for Faculty Compensation at Fairfield University Universal Principles for Merit Plans

The committee expects that plans will conform to these principles for use by 2005 and that a committee with faculty representation will review the plans and report to the Academic Vice President. Before 2005, applications for merit will be reviewed according to the school's current merit plan.

- 1. There must be consistency between the plans and the mission, goals and objectives of the university.
 - a. All plans must make effective teaching a criterion for determining sustained merit. Each plan must state clearly that effective teaching and mentoring are fundamental promises we make to our students. Plans must include submission and evaluation of evidence of teaching effectiveness.
 - b. The University, schools, and departments should be encouraged to develop increasingly useful instruments for evaluation of effective teaching.

2. The merit review process should be distinct from the rank and tenure review process.

- a. Whereas rank and tenure evaluations necessarily insist on strong contributions in all areas, annual reviews may recognize and reward distinct strengths and contributions.
- b. Whereas the *Faculty Handbook* does not spell out the particular importance of various forms of teaching, research, and especially service in the various schools, the merit plans may well seek to do so and encourage faculty members to make contributions in these areas.
- c. The standards and criteria for tenure and promotion in the *Faculty Handbook* and the standards and criteria stated in the various school plans must correlate.
- d. All plans need to incorporate criteria addressing the three areas of teaching, scholarship, and service into their plans.
- e. Annual merit reviews must be dependent on submission of an annual report addressing each school's criteria for merit.

3. Each plan should have a three level system of merit: 1) no merit, 2) sustained merit, and 3) additional merit.

- a. In all plans, sustained merit must be a prerequisite for being considered for additional merit.
- b. Those who have earned sustained merit will receive a fixed percentage of their salary or of the mean of the rank whichever is higher. This percent will be consistent across the university to be added to their base salary.

- c. The concept of sustained merit is an appropriate minimum for every faculty member who is meeting the explicit written criteria for all faculty in that department or school or college. This should be a minimum standard and be a common percentage across all schools.
- d. Appropriate distribution of funds in the system should be discussed among the AVP, deans, and the Faculty Salary Committee.
- e. For merit plans to be effective, the committee considers that sustained merit should reasonably allow faculty members to retain or increase buying power over the years. In time periods where increases cannot exceed cost of living, serious consideration should be given to judging only for sustained merit.
- f. Plans should have at least two levels of application review. Deans and faculty should work collaboratively to determine an appropriate procedure to present merit recommendations to the Dean.
- 4. Criteria for each level of merit should be developed by faculty, as charged by the Board of Trustees, in terms that are concrete and observable to insure that the process is as clear and transparent as possible.
 - a. Plans must avoid arbitrary distribution of awards.
 - b. Qualifying criteria for merit may differ in details for different schools.
 - c. Chairs and deans should acknowledge that individual faculty members have the potential to make distinct contributions.
 - d. In addition to considering teaching and scholarship, schools should consider various necessary activities such as community outreach, internship supervision, adjunct supervision, professional accreditation and assessment as part of the possible criteria for merit review.
 - e. The plans should take a holistic view of their areas and encourage each faculty member to contribute to the larger goals of the department, school and university. For example, a faculty member might be particularly strong in mentoring and advising. That faculty member might be encouraged to take on a greater number of advisees as a way of making a significant contribution to the goals of the department. In this way, merit reviews might help chairs and deans allocate the needed workload of the department or school as well as building on the strengths of each faculty member.
- 5. All school plans should be seen as works in progress, "living documents" that should be evaluated periodically and revised by faculty in accordance with these principles.
 - a. Deans and faculty should be open to new ideas and share them with colleagues.
 - b. Best practices from other schools might be examined.
 - c. One-year, two-year or even three-year "moving window" evaluations are all reasonable topics for discussion and debate within the schools.
 - d. Plans should be reviewed by a committee composed of representatives from faculty and administration to insure conformity to these principles, before the 2005 implementation date.
- 6. The plans should consider the formative and planning possibilities of merit reviews.

Journal of Record

- a. Although plans should certainly include a review of the accomplishments of the previous time period, the most effective merit plans will set individual and collective goals and objectives for the future which can then be evaluated by the department chair and/or dean in consultation with the faculty member.
- b. By stressing a formative as well as evaluative methodology, merit plans will minimize punitive evaluations of work not done, and increase opportunities to explore and encourage faculty contributions related to the expertise and interests of the individual faculty member.
- 7. Appropriate feedback is an important component of a merit review and should be provided by the department chair or dean.
- 8. There should be a fair and appropriate process for appeals. (i.e. Appeals Committee with faculty representation, Ombudsperson, etc.)

Respectfully submitted by the Academic Council Ad-Hoc Committee on Guiding Principles and Procedural Guidelines for Faculty Compensation.

Members: Margaret Deignan, Joe Dennin, Paula Gill Lopez (Chair), Phil Greiner, Orin Grossman, Walt Hlawitschka, Timothy Law Snyder, Kraig Steffen, Maggie Wills

> AC: 06/30/2004 GF: 09/17/2004

Appendix 12: Guidelines for Faculty Annual Merit Review and Self-Evaluation

Faculty members will take part in annual merit evaluation and self-assessment by writing three short essays, one each on teaching, scholarly and/or creative activities, and service. The essays should inspire reflection on the year's achievements and suggest areas for improvement. Schools or curriculum areas may request that evidence be appended to the essays, e.g., teaching evaluations, new pedagogical materials or reprints of published work. The essays and appended materials will constitute the application for merit pay increases. In addition, faculty members will receive qualitative feedback on their performance from their chairs, program area directors, or a duly constituted committee.

This document describes the process and provides guidelines for writing the essays. There will be two potential levels of merit: "standard" and "additional." Whether merit is actually awarded in a given year will depend on budget considerations, but the yearly assessment should be done regardless of the status of the budget.

Below you will find an overview of what might constitute standard and additional merit in the three categories of teaching, scholarship/creative activity, and service, and additional detail on how to submit the assessments.

Standard Merit

Standard merit is a threshold that the great majority of faculty should be able to achieve annually. Because Fairfield University recognizes that **effective teaching is critical to our mission and a fundamental promise that we make to our students**, each faculty member must make a case for teaching effectiveness. In addition to demonstrating professional and quality engagement with teaching, the standard merit threshold requires a positive professional contribution in scholarly/creative activity or service. The evaluation period for standard merit is the calendar year.

Additional Merit

Additional merit is determined by the standards of the curriculum area according to the quality, impact, prestige, reach, difficulty, and/or rarity of the accomplishments. The lists below reflect some examples that distinguish between standard and additional merit. These are not checklists but guides. The emphasis should be on the positive, professional contribution the faculty member has made through the activity. The evaluation period for additional merit includes all calendar years since additional merit was funded by the salary pool.

The lists below indicate the types of achievements and activities appropriate to each level of merit. As stated above, Standard Merit requires sufficient achievements or activities in teaching and one other area. To earn Additional Merit, the faculty member must demonstrate achievements at the Additional level in two areas and the Standard level in the third area.

The Application: Essays on Teaching, Scholarly/Creative Activity, and Service

There is a single application for both levels of merit. It will comprise three short essays (or annotated lists) in the areas of teaching, scholarly/creative activity, and service (though only achievements in teaching and one other area are required for the standard merit threshold). Schools or curriculum areas may request appended information that supports the essays. If the faculty member has no activities or achievements to discuss regarding either service or scholarly/creative pursuits, that essay should be devoted to plans for that area. Candidates should have flexibility in making their case, and the arguments should be primarily qualitative because they are meant to inspire reflection. Each essay should be focused and concise, no more than 250 words or one double-spaced page. Each essay should discuss important highlights in that particular area and not be a detailed list of every activity. In years when there is additional merit, the faculty member will specify the level of merit for which he or she is applying.

Below are some guidelines for what could be included in the three essays. The examples listed are not intended to be exhaustive or used as checklists; rather, they are illustrations of typical or common activities in the three areas. Within each area, activities that qualify a faculty member for a higher level include qualification for any lower level.

Note that the relative importance of the three areas within the review is reflected by their ordering. That is, consistent with the norms of the profession and the mission of the university, teaching is the most important thing we do, followed by scholarly/creative accomplishments, and then by service. However, individuals may emphasize different areas at different points in their professional lives.

The structures above reflect campus-wide values for teaching, scholarship and service in order to achieve standard merit. However, schools and curriculum areas differ in their disciplinary approaches to pedagogy and scholarship, accreditation requirements, and even service needs based on the size of the school. These differences may have an impact on the determination of merit through the addition of items in the bulleted examples below and through the merit review process itself.

Examples of Activities in Support of Merit

Teaching:

Teaching includes curriculum design and review, classroom instruction, quality advising, clinical/practicum supervision, close work with students outside the classroom, assessment of learning outcomes, and work that contributes to the improvement of teaching at the university. To qualify for standard merit in teaching, the faculty member must fulfill the relevant duties specified in the *Handbook* and provide evidence of active engagement in quality teaching. These duties include: preparing, administering and grading exams; directing,

grading and discussing papers and projects; submitting grades in a timely manner; maintaining office hours; and beginning and ending classes on time (*Handbook*, sections C.1.a, b, c, and d).

Besides meeting these basic professional responsibilities, the faculty member must make the case for being actively engaged in quality teaching. The member should have teaching evaluations that support the case for teaching effectiveness, and the lists below contain some of the additional standard ways to demonstrate teaching effectiveness. The essay and supporting materials are not limited to these activities, and **should emphasize how the activity contributes in a positive way to teaching in the department or program and at the university.** Finally, where teaching evaluations are relatively weak, the essay should include explanations and plans for addressing any weaknesses.

Teaching effectiveness and contributions in the area of teaching should comprise the most significant part of any annual review.

Typical activities that, done well, might demonstrate achievement of Standard Merit:

- Consistently strong teaching evaluations (benchmarked by discipline, course level, and other considerations).
- Developing a new course or substantially revamping an existing course to meet program or university goals.
- Teaching a course that is significantly more labor intensive than a typical course in the curriculum area.
- Supervising an intensive student learning experience outside the traditional classroom (e.g., independent research, clinical/practicum supervision).
- Serving as the director of a master's thesis or project.
- Incorporating ideas from the Center for Academic Excellence or other pedagogical workshops into teaching.
- Above average student advisement load.
- Other activities that contribute significantly to effective teaching.

Activities that, done well, might demonstrate the minimum level of achievement for Additional Merit:

- Consistently very strong teaching evaluations (benchmarked by discipline, course level, and other considerations).
- Participating in peer review with colleagues in other departments or significant mentoring of others' teaching.
- Innovative advising and/or unusually heavy advising load.
- Directing student research teams.
- Teaching that contributes to institutional initiatives (e.g., team teaching, interdisciplinary teaching, cluster course teaching, service-learning, or teaching in conjunction with a residential learning community).

- Developing and/or maintaining clinical or other placement sites.
- Contributing substantially to a program self-study, or academic assessment or accreditation activity in a curriculum area.
- Other comparable achievements that contribute significantly to effective teaching.

Scholarly/Creative Activity:

It is the responsibility of all professional scholars to participate in their academic communities, through innovation, application, and dissemination of scholarly work. The *Handbook* specifies forms of participation in the scholarly and professional community, namely: "Involvement in scholarly research or other professionally recognized creative activities; active participation in professional societies and educational organizations; and keeping abreast of current developments in one's field" (*Handbook*, sections C.1.h, j, and k). The lists below contain some of the standard ways to demonstrate this active participation. Again, the essay is not limited to the activities listed below and should **emphasize how each activity makes a positive professional contribution and enhances the university.**

Evidence of and commentary on scholarly and creative contributions to one's field should comprise a significant portion of any annual review.

Typical activities that, done well, might demonstrate achievement of Standard Merit:

- Contributing in peer reviewed publications or creative works relevant to one's discipline or field.
- Presenting at a professional conference or meeting.
- Serving on a panel, roundtable, or special session at a professional meeting.
- Serving as a reviewer for a scholarly journal or professional society.
- Participating regularly in an ongoing scholarly or professional seminar.
- Serving as a reviewer of a tenure application at another institution.
- Communicating academic findings or contributing one's academic expertise to public dialogue through publishing, presenting, media commentary, or task force participation.
- Maintaining clinical licensure or certification relevant to one's professional program.
- Other significant activities that demonstrate contributions to the candidate's discipline or field.

Activities that, done well, might demonstrate the minimum level of achievement for Additional Merit:

- Publishing a peer-reviewed article in a mid- to top-level journal, chapter, or equivalent in exhibit or performance
- Leading a scholarly or professional workshop or seminar.

- Organizing a significant panel or program for a professional meeting or for a public forum for which one's academic expertise is needed.
- Giving a notable invited address or similarly notable exhibit.
- Making a scholarly contribution to the professional organization.
- Serving on the editorial board of a peer-review journal or publication series.
- Procuring external funding for one's research.
- Preparing and submitting a well-reviewed, but unfunded, major external grant proposal.
- Other comparable achievements that demonstrate scholarly/creative contributions to one's field.

Service:

Service to the institution, at the level of departments, schools, or the university, is a vital aspect of our professional responsibility. The *Handbook* specifies basic forms of service to the institution namely, "Attendance at and participation in general faculty and curriculum area meetings; attendance at commencement, convocations and other functions at which the Academic Vice President may request attendance; and service on, and cooperation with, University and curriculum area committees" (*Handbook*, sections C.1.e, f, and g). Besides fulfilling these basic obligations, faculty members who want to qualify for merit in this area must demonstrate active participation in shared governance and promoting the well-being of the institution.

The lists below contain some of the standard ways to demonstrate active membership in the life of the university and/or the profession. Again, the essay is not limited to these activities and should **emphasize how the activity makes a positive contribution to the institution and/or the profession.**

Typical activities that, done well, might demonstrate achievement of Standard Merit:

- Actively serving on university, school, or department committees.
- Service to a professional organization.
- Organizing campus events.
- Ongoing volunteer community service that fits the mission of the university.
- Actively participating in recruitment, admission, and retention of students.
- Other activities that contribute significantly to the university or the profession.

Activities that, done well, might demonstrate the minimum level of achievement for Additional Merit:

- Chairing a department or directing a program.
- Serving the department, school, university and/or the profession in a significant way through participation on committees.

- Holding and fulfilling the responsibilities of a formal office in a professional association.
- Contributing substantially to the non-academic elements of an accreditation activity.
- Significant participation in the admissions process (e.g., reviewing applications, interviewing applicants, and contributing to the admission decision).
- Participating on a major university or school task force or equivalent.
- Other comparable achievements that demonstrate service to the institution and/or profession.
- Support for any activity in the form of a course release, a university or school stipend, or other university funding for the work should be disclosed in the essays. Significant remuneration for an activity may be considered by the curriculum area head or merit committee to reduce the impact of the activity in the merit review.

Application Process Guidelines:

The lists are not intended to be checklists but rather used as guides for faculty members to contemplate and present their significant accomplishments for the year. Schools and departments may expand upon items in the various lists and/or add to the lists those items appropriate to their disciplines and should maintain and distribute the revised list. However, because these lists reflect the activities that the institution as a whole values, schools or departments may not remove any items, although some items may receive greater or lesser emphasis consistent with disciplinary distinctions or programmatic and curricular goals.

In applications, the emphasis should be on the quality of the work and how it reflects the faculty member's productive engagement with his or her department, school, university or profession.

Because they are already extensively reviewed each year and they should be focused on longerterm, rather than annual, goals, untenured, tenure-track faculty members automatically qualify for standard merit as long as their continuing (tenure track) contracts are renewed. In years when additional merit is available, they may apply for it. In addition, the merit assessments for untenured, tenure-track faculty should recognize that they do not have as many opportunities for leadership in service as tenured faculty do. Faculty members who are promoted in rank during the course of an academic year, automatically qualify for standard merit and may apply for additional merit.

By a specified due date each faculty member will submit her or his application to the head of the appropriate curriculum area or a committee within the area or school. The head or committee will make a recommendation to the appropriate dean as to what level of merit the candidate qualifies for. After the dean makes a final decision, the head or committee will communicate this decision to the faculty member. Individuals (whether the head of a curriculum area or on a committee charged with making merit recommendations) may not make recommendations regarding their own merit application.

The annual review process should be summative for the purposes of awarding merit, but also must be formative. Each faculty member should receive feedback from the appropriate administrator (department chair and/or dean) indicating areas in which the faculty member can improve as well as areas in which he/she is doing well. This feedback should include constructive ideas for how this improvement might be accomplished and consideration of the support that is available to enable those improvements.

The role of the Deans and the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs will be to ensure that the results of the merit process in each curriculum area are appropriate and have a reasonable degree of consistency across curriculum areas. At the same time, the assessment of these results must be cognizant of distinctions in disciplinary approaches and programmatic and curricular goals.

An appeals process will be developed by the joint Salary Committee (FSC and administrative team) in collaboration with the Deans and SVPAA prior to the first implementation of this plan.

Distribution of Funds

If the increase in the salary pool is at or below the increase in the cost of living (CPI-U), the entire increase in the pool will go to Standard Merit. If the increase in the salary pool is above the increase in the cost of living, then the percent going to Standard Merit will be cost of living plus one quarter of the remainder of the increase in the pool. Standard Merit will be distributed to recipients as a percent of salary or of the mean of the rank, whichever is greater. Additional Merit will be distributed in such a way that each faculty member who receives Additional Merit in a given year will receive the same dollar amount.

GF: 10/23/2009 Amended AC: 02/25/2013 Amended: GF 05/16/2017

Appendix 13: Merit Appeal Process

Review process leading to appeal

- 1. Each school constructs a merit plan or plans and processes for evaluation that are consistent with the approved Guidelines for Faculty Annual Merit Review and Self-Evaluation. These plans will include structures for making merit assessments.
- 2. Applications should indicate which level of merit they are applying for, from among the levels being funded in a particular year.
- 3. The body charged with assessing merit (committee or department chair) will review the applications and make recommendations to the Dean. Those recommendations will only refer to levels of merit that are being funded in a particular year. The assessment body will also send their summative evaluation to each faculty member, along with an explanation for their judgment (in 250 words or less).
- 4. The Dean will review the recommendations and make preliminary decisions.
- 5. Deans will forward to the SVPAA a numerical summary of their proposed merit awards. If there are large discrepancies among the schools, the SVPAA may ask one or more Deans to reconsider their recommendations. Such a request would be at the level of the school; the SVPAA would not be reviewing individual applications.
- 6. Deans will finalize their decisions and inform each faculty member, in writing, of the decision and rationale. Faculty members may appeal the Dean's decision.

Appeal process

- A university merit appeals committee will be chaired by the SVPAA, and consist of four voting faculty, no more than one per school, elected to staggered two year terms, and three voting Deans, appointed annually by the SVPAA to one-year terms. A Dean whose decision is being appealed shall not be involved in the deliberations for that appeal, does not vote on that appeal, and is not replaced on the committee for that appeal.
- Each appeal will consist of: a. the initial merit application from the faculty member; b. the plan under which the applicant was assessed; c. the letter from the school/department merit assessment body; d. the decision letter from the Dean; and e. a faculty response (of no more than one page) to the rationale for the negative decision provided by the Dean and/or assessment body.
- The charge of the appeals committee is to judge whether criteria were applied fairly, not whether criteria in an approved plan are appropriate.
- All committee votes will be done by secret ballot.

- For appeals of standard merit decisions, the vote of the seven voting members shall be final.
- For appeals of further merit decisions, the recommendation of the seven voting members shall be forwarded to the SVPAA. If the SVPAA rejects the committee's majority recommendation, he or she must explain the rejection in writing to the committee, the relevant Dean, and the applicant.

Final steps

• Each year, the SVPAA will use the discussions and outcomes of the appeals process as a basis for working with the Deans to ensure consistency in merit assessments across the schools.

AC: 5/25/2010 and 11/1/2010

Appendix 14: Application for Emeritus Status and clarifications

Application for emeritus status:

Requirements for application:

The responsible dean, area coordinator, or chairperson will nominate all individuals who plan to retire from his/her school, area, division, or department. Nominations are made to the Committee on Rank and Tenure through the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.

To be included with the nomination is the following supportive documentation:

- 1. a current curriculum vitae of the nominee
- 2. a letter from the nominator reviewing the nominee's professional accomplishments in teaching, scholarship, and service, and highlighting in which area(s) the candidate's achievement is judged 'laudable.'

Timetable for review of nominations:

- Nominations to be made by the last Friday in February
- Recommendation to President by the third Friday in March
- Appeals filed by the second Monday in April
- Appeals considered by the end of the third week in April
- Recommendations concerning appeals made to President by the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs within 3 days of committee deliberation
- President's decision communicated by first Friday in May

AC: 12/07/1987

Clarifications on Emeritus Status:

- There is no minimum number of years of service required.
- The Rank and Tenure committee is not empowered to recommend to the President a promotion to senior rank at the time of the conferral of the title "emeritus."

AC: 03/28/1988

The title of Emeritus is reserved only to those members of the academic community who, having reached at least the age of 62, leave Fairfield and do not accept a full-time position at any other academic institution.

AC: 04/25/1988 AC: 03/07/2011

Journal of Record

Appendix 15: Process for mediation of disputes concerning violations of academic freedom of faculty

Step One: Any individual or group claiming a violation of academic freedom should report the claim to an appropriate person, who shall be a chair, academic dean or other person designated by the Academic Vice President

Step Two. If the claim is unresolved, the interested parties shall participate in mediation, facilitated by a person skilled in mediation and conflict resolution, to be agreed upon by the parties.

Step Three: If mediation does not resolve the dispute, then the faculty member may proceed according to the Procedures for Due Process in the *Faculty Handbook*.

The person invoking this process may indicate that a timely decision is necessary. In that case Step One shall take place within three days, Step Two within seven days, and Step Three within ten days of the initial reporting of the claim.

AC: 02/06/2006

Appendix 16: Policies for Part-Time Students

1. Each school is entitled to decide whether or not to admit part-time students.

For a school that decides to admit part-time students, part-time *degree-seeking* students must be subject to the same admission criteria and curriculum requirements as their full-time counterparts.

- 2. Part-time *non-degree-seeking* students need not be required to meet regular admission criteria and will be allowed to take courses on a space-available basis. Part-time *non-degree-seeking* students must meet course prerequisites or have permission of the appropriate department chair. Part-time *non-degree-seeking* students may take up to two courses per semester.
- 3. Advising for part-time *degree-seeking* students will be based in the relevant department. Part-time *degree-seeking* students will be assigned a faculty advisor in the same way as full-time students.
- 4. With regard to registration, to the greatest extent possible, part-time students will be treated the same as full-time students.
- 5. Part-time students will continue to have a per-credit tuition rate that is competitive with other part-time programs in Fairfield's market.
- 6. Part-time degree-seeking students may switch to full-time status at any time.

Consultation with the appropriate dean is required for a full-time student to switch to part-time status.

- 7. Rules (and their financial implications) for movement from full-time to part-time status will be clear at the time of matriculation.
- 8. Part-time students are required to complete the same core curriculum as full-time students. The only exception is students in the BLS program who have a modified core as follows:

Humanities: Students will take 12 courses, 36 credits: Classics (optional)
English: 2 courses required: 1 in Composition and 1 in Literature
Visual and Performing Arts: 2 courses required
History: 2 courses required, of which one is in Western Civilization
Modern Language (optional)
Philosophy: 1 course required
Religious Studies: 1 course required
Philosophy, Religious Studies, or Applied Ethics: 1 course required
Social and Behavioral Sciences: Students will take 4 courses, 12 credits, with at least 2 disciplines represented.
Natural Sciences and Mathematics: Students will take 4 courses, 12 credits with at least 1 science and 1 math represented.

AC: 02/06/2012, 02/26/2012, 04/16/2012, 03/02/2015

Appendix 17: Fairfield University Intellectual Property Policy

- Introduction
- Purpose of the intellectual property policy
- Who is covered by the policy
- Definition of standard vs. significant university resources
- Three year formal review of this policy
- Policy
- Responsibilities
- Royalty sharing
- University uses of net royalties
- New venture formation
- Inventions not under University auspices
- When arrangements with outside organizations override this policy
- Governmental rights in certain inventions

Introduction

In the course of academic and scholarly pursuits, important discoveries and inventions can often result. Fairfield University believes that it is an important part of its overall mission to share such discoveries and inventions with the greater community for the benefit of society and the appropriate recognition of its faculty. Therefore, Fairfield University encourages the commercialization of its intellectual property and other intellectual assets so long as such activities are consistent with the University's overall academic mission, values, and principles.

Purpose of the intellectual property policy

Fairfield University has established this intellectual property policy to:

- a. Clearly define the University's policies and procedures for the handling of intellectual property developed by faculty, staff, and students
- b. Describe an organizational infrastructure and set of processes through which Fairfield University intellectual assets can be efficiently assessed for commercial potential and be made available to appropriate commercial partners for the benefit of society and the appropriate recognition of Fairfield University and its faculty.

- c. Establish the rights and obligations of the University and inventors with respect to inventions and discoveries created at the University.
- d. Establish a revenue sharing formula among the deserving parties and define how revenues generated by the commercialization of Fairfield University intellectual property and assets will be distributed among inventors and the University.

Who is covered by this policy

All University employees are covered by the policy. Also covered are non-employees (including students, visitors, volunteers, fellows, and scholars) who are aided by a significant use of University resources.

Definition: Standard versus Significant University Resources: Standard resources are those supplied to all faculty and staff, including office space and computer and services such as the library, photocopying, secretaries, and funding sources available to all faculty (i.e., non-competitive awards such as sabbaticals). "Significant" would be those resources supplied to an individual beyond standard, such as lab space, specialized equipment, competitively awarding University grants, funding not available to all faculty, external grant support services and University resources set up to apply for and maintain patents and/or market inventions.

Policy

1. All rights to and interest in discoveries or inventions, including patents, which result from research or investigations conducted in a Fairfield University facility or involving significant use of Fairfield University resources, shall be the sole property of the University.

Comment: a new biological test developed in a faculty member's lab without external funding would fall under this policy as it is developed using "significant" resources (lab equipment) provided by the University. A patentable business process developed by a faculty member using library resources and their standard office computer would not be covered by this policy and the inventor would be free to seek a patent or to market the invention on his or her own. However, if the inventor sought the services of the University to help patent and/or market the process, then the invention would be covered by this policy.

- 2. All rights and interest in software, although it is copyrightable, shall be the property of the University when it is required for an invention or is part of an invention and has been developed with significant contributions from the University, or developed in the course of carrying out University business.
- 3. This policy shall not supersede or negate any existing written agreements between the University and individual faculty, staff or students regarding the disposition of inventions or other marketable items that were made prior to the adoption of this policy.

- 4. Employees who make or participate in the making of such discoveries or inventions shall promptly disclose their discovery or invention to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs
- 5. If requested, the inventor will promptly complete the invention disclosure form supplied to him/her.
- 6. The inventor will retain ownership of the following:
 - a. All intellectual property developed without significant use of University resources and without corporate, federal or other external support obtained and administered through the University.
 - b. All rights in literary and scholarly intellectual property, such as scholarly books, articles and other publications (including those in electronic form).
 - c. Works of art, literature, film, video and music recordings are owned by the creators despite the use of University resources so long as such works are neither created under the direction or control of the University, nor developed in the performance of a sponsored research or other third party agreement. University sponsored creations are owned by the University unless prior agreement is reached before the project commences.
 - d. All copyright in papers, theses, and dissertations written as a student to earn credit in University courses or otherwise to satisfy University degree requirements.
- 7. Other ownership options:
 - a. Upon mutual agreement, an inventor may assign intellectual property he or she would otherwise own under this Policy to the University to be managed by the University.
 - b. Inventors may contribute their University-owned inventions or discoveries to the public domain, thereby foreclosing the possibility of patenting and/or licensing, provided there is no conflict with the desires of co-inventors, third party or University rights, or applicable laws and regulations.

Prior to the investment of significant University resources (or as soon as is reasonably possible in a project), the inventor(s) may declare their intention to contribute their work to the public domain (open-source in the case of software) without attempting to establish patent or copyright or otherwise commercially develop and market the invention. Whenever possible, inventors should consult with the SVPAA and the Research Committee on such projects. If significant University resources are in use, this does not rule out the contribution of the invention(s) to the public domain, but the University must agree to this practice in advance of any disclosure.

- 8. Rights to inventions arising in the course of government or other externally sponsored research are controlled by the terms of the agreement between the University and the sponsor and/or applicable federal regulations.
- 9. Inventors shall be entitled to share in any royalty income received by the University for their discoveries or inventions in accordance with the University's revenue distribution plan.
- 10. In the event of two or more inventors, all inventors will execute a binding agreement at the time of disclosure that will delineate each inventor's personal share (percentage) of any income.
- 11. Inventors agree to assist and cooperate with the University in obtaining and enforcing patents, including, without limitation, executing and delivering all assignments, documents and instruments reasonably requested by the University in conjunction with obtaining and enforcing patents within the United States or any foreign jurisdiction.
- 12. Nothing in this policy shall limit or restrict the right of the University faculty and students to publish results of their research, subject to reasonable delays to preserve patent or other intellectual property rights. Delays in publication required by the University or third parties in sponsored research agreements, as a general rule, shall not exceed 90 days from initial disclosure of the invention to the University or Sponsor.
- 13. The University has no obligation to pursue or maintain patent protection for disclosed inventions. If the University elects not to pursue a patent application, maintain a patent, or otherwise market the invention, then the University may assign ownership to the inventor(s) while retaining a royalty free license to use the invention for non-commercial purposes.
- 14. The University will consult with the inventor(s) who disclose a discovery or invention before marketing such discovery or invention. If there is strong objection to the way an invention is going to be marketed or licensed, the inventor(s) may ask the Research Committee to review the matter and make a recommendation to the SVPAA, who will make a final decision.

Inventor Responsibilities

- a. The University may call upon inventors for advice and cooperation in order to assist the University's efforts to patent and/or market the invention
- b. Inventors will provide, upon request by the University, assignments or other documents necessary to perfect the University's ownership rights.

- c. Copyright holders are responsible for assigning copyright ownership to the University when required by this policy. Copyright assignments should be processed through the University.
- d. University personnel (including students) who are privileged to be made aware of discoveries and proprietary information owned by third parties are responsible for taking reasonable steps to prevent unauthorized use or inadvertent public disclosure of such discoveries and information. These steps may include requiring those who have access to the discovery or information to sign a non-disclosure agreement. All non-disclosure agreements must be reported to the Research Committee, whose charge is to ensure that the inventors and the University are not placed in a situation of having an ethical obligation to publish or disclose information but be contractually bound not to.
- e. Inventors should abide by all commitments made in license, sponsored research, and other agreements and comply with all laws and regulations related to federally and privately funded research.
- f. The inventor has the responsibility to properly consider, disclose and manage any possible conflicts of interest arising from agreements to commercialize intellectual property. If multiple agreements exist, for example, when a company funds University research and also has a consulting arrangement with the inventor, there may be conflicts created with respect to intellectual property rights. The inventor shall work with the University to resolve such conflicts.

University Responsibilities

- 1. Administer, in a confidential, thoughtful and efficient manner, all intellectual assets disclosed to the University. The University may enlist the services of outside consultant(s) to help achieve this responsibility.
- 2. Interpret and advise on appropriate language in sponsored research agreements regarding intellectual property.
- 3. Arrange the distribution of royalty income earned by the University in accordance with the attached royalty distribution schedule.
- 4. Manage the process for filing and maintaining patent applications
- 5. Determine the rights of the University in any invention, discovery, or copyrightable work covered by this policy.
- 6. Make sure that all required government reporting is performed accurately and promptly.
- 7. If the University decides to participate in the patenting or licensing of an invention, the University will seek to enter into appropriate licensing arrangements to commercialize the invention. The objective of the University is to assure the development of its technology in furtherance of its own educational mission and for the benefit of society in general. Therefore, as a general policy, the University will set the terms of its licenses so as to

further the achievement of this objective. Exclusive licenses will be granted if it appears to the University that this is the most effective way of ensuring development to the point that the public will benefit. Any exclusive license agreement will be drawn as to protect against failure of the licensee to carry out effective development and marketing within a specified time period.

8. Provide a process for resolution of disputes that arise between and among the University, sponsors and inventors regarding intellectual property.

Royalty Sharing

- a. **Definition**. For purposes of this policy, "royalties" shall include running royalties, advances against running royalties, up-front license fees, milestone payments, shares of stock or other securities issued by the licensee or another corporation ("equity"), and any other payments received by the University under a license agreement in consideration for licensing an invention, but shall not include amounts received from a licensee or others in sponsorship of research or under other agreements for other goods, services or rights.
- b. Recovery of Expenses. Before the sharing of royalties between the faculty inventor and the university shall begin, the university will first be reimbursed for extraordinary expenses incurred in the development of the project, including project specific internal grants, matching grants, release time from teaching, and all other out-of-pocket expenses incurred by the University in applying for, obtaining, and defending a patent and in developing and negotiating license agreements during the life of the patent. Expenses for this purpose will include fees paid to outside legal counsel, consulting, and licensing organizations and any other out-of-pocket costs incurred by the University. The fees paid to the external individuals or organizations for such services may be of fixed dollar amount or may be in the form of an agreed-upon fraction of the gross royalty income, if any, or in any other form directly associated with commercialization / licensing of the invention.
- c. **Support for Technology Transfer**. Five percent (5%) of gross Royalties received from licensing agreements shall be used to support the technology transfer resources at Fairfield University.
- d. **Net Royalties**. After recovery of expenses by the University as provided in subparagraph (b) and contributions to supporting technology transfer at Fairfield University as provided in subparagraph (c), the remaining royalties will be designated Net Royalties.
- e. **Distribution of Net Royalties**. The Net Royalties as defined above shall be divided between the Inventor(s) and the University as follows:

Net Revenue	University	Inventor(s)
1 st \$1Million	50	50
Over \$1 Million	60	40

University uses of net royalties.

This Research Committee will advise the SVPAA on the allocation of the University's share of royalties collected as well as on decisions to support or not support the patenting/marketing of inventions.

The home department of the inventor(s) may appeal to the Research Committee for allocation of an appropriate proportion of net royalties back to the home department, based on the impact of the inventor(s)' work in the project upon teaching load and resource allocation in the department. As a rule, the home department (or program) to which the inventor(s) belong should receive some benefit from the royalty stream.

New Venture Formation.

When pursuing new venture formation Fairfield University shall be treated as a full equal founder of the new venture by virtue of the contributions it makes to the formation and establishment of the new venture. Therefore, in terms of the distribution of founders' equity, Fairfield University shall share equally with the faculty founders. In other words, if there are two faculty founders, then each faculty member and Fairfield University shall own a 1/3 stake (pre-money); if there are four faculty founders (or a start up CEO), then the founders and Fairfield University shall each own a 1/5 stake in the new venture.

Any intellectual assets being licensed to the "Newco" by Fairfield University shall be negotiated in an arms-reach manner and shall be treated as independent transactions. While Fairfield University may accept equity as partial payment for any such license, this equity is separate and distinct from the founders equity mentioned above. Once funding is secured, the Newco shall operate independently of Fairfield University. Fairfield University shall strive to place one representative with voting privileges on the board of directors. Ongoing faculty participation with the Newco shall be governed by the Fairfield University conflict of interest and commitment policies.

For purposes of clarification note that this section on New Venture formation defines the relative Founder's share of all acknowledged, full-share university founders. Any license that is executed with the new venture is an independent transaction and will be treated in accordance with this Intellectual Property Policy; including royalty sharing of any revenues generated by the license. Any net proceeds from the University's share in Newco will be distributed according to the royalty sharing agreement in this policy and subject to its restrictions and qualifications.

Inventions Not under University Auspices.

Inventions by University employees usually result from teaching, research, or other intellectual activity involving University facilities or resources. Accordingly, all inventions by University employees must be reported to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs. When the University determines that an invention by a University employee is unrelated to the activities for which the individual is employed and has not involved the use of significant University facilities, then the University will make no claim to such an invention.

An invention made by a faculty member in the course of a paid consulting engagement for a company will be assigned to the faculty member or company (as they agree) only if the consulting work falls within the bounds set by the University for paid outside work and the invention was not made using significant University resources. If a faculty member proposes to use significant University resources in service of a consulting arrangement with an outside entity, then the employee must notify their Dean and the SVPAA as would be appropriate and involve the office of technology transfer in negotiating appropriate sharing of IP rights with the outside entity. All inventions made by Fairfield University faculty members in the course of consulting, and any assignments of rights to such inventions, must be reported promptly to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs. That Office will agree to abide by reasonable confidentiality restrictions for disclosures of inventions and assignments made in the course of consulting.

When Arrangements with Outside Organizations Override This Policy.

Arrangements with outside organizations that propose terms which are exceptions to this Policy must be submitted to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs for review by the University. If approved by the University the terms shall be binding upon all members of the faculty, staff, and employees of the University conducting such research or utilizing such facilities, and will supersede the provisions of the intellectual property policy to the extent that the terms are inconsistent therewith.

Governmental Rights in Certain Inventions.

Current governmental regulations permit educational institutions to retain rights and title to patentable inventions which result from federally funded experimental, developmental and research work. Retention of rights by University is contingent upon fulfilling of a number of obligations on the part of the University and of the Inventor(s) and these obligations must be discharged in order to protect the interests of all parties. Though the University may retain rights and title to such patentable inventions, the federal government retains a royalty free license and places certain other restrictions upon the ultimate disposition of the patents(s). Incumbent upon members of the University community who apply for and receive federal funding to support research or who use federal monies in the conduct of their research is the requirement for written agreement that they will promptly disclose patentable inventions to the University and will execute all instruments necessary to protect the rights of the government and/or the University. Forms for this agreement will be provided to all faculty and will be available for other participants (i.e. collaborators, post-doctoral students, graduate students) from the appropriate departmental chairman.

AC: 03/0702011

Appendix 18: Fairfield University Non-Discrimination and Harassment Policy

I. POLICY STATEMENT

A. Discrimination

Fairfield University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, veteran's status, political ideology, religion, national or ethnic origin, age, or disability in the administration of educational policies or programs, admission and employment policies, scholarship and loan programs, and athletic and other school-administered programs. As a Catholic, Jesuit institution, Fairfield University values and celebrates the diverse backgrounds, cultures, experiences and perspectives of our community. Through the promotion and protection of diversity, the Fairfield University community creates an environment where holistic development, academic excellence and a commitment to the well-being of others can flourish. The University is committed to maintaining a diverse and multicultural community in which the dignity and worth of each of its members is respected. The University strongly condemns any unlawful or wrongful discrimination against the rights of others.

B. Harassment

Fairfield University is committed to a workplace and educational environment that is free of sexual and other unlawful harassment and where the dignity and worth of each of its members is respected. Sexual harassment is a type of discrimination prohibited by federal laws such as Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and by Connecticut law. As a matter of University policy, sexual or other unlawful harassment occurring in the course of any University activity is prohibited. Harassment on the basis of race, color, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, veteran's status, political ideology, religion, national or ethnic origin, age, or disability is a violation of this policy.

C. Scope

These policies on discrimination and harassment apply to all Fairfield University students, student groups, faculty, staff, administrators, independent contractors and all others engaged in University activities. Consistent with Title IX, Fairfield University has designated a Title IX Compliance Coordinator as the individual responsible for Title IX compliance.

D. Relationship with Academic Freedom and Freedom of Expression

Fairfield University is committed to protecting the academic freedom of its faculty and the freedom of expression of all members of the University community. That commitment is reflected in the University's policies on academic freedom and freedom of expression. Academic freedom and freedom of expression include the expression of ideas,

controversial and otherwise, both within and outside the classroom and in keeping with different responsibilities within the workplace on campus. The policies on discrimination and harassment are to be applied in a manner that is balanced against, consistent with, and protective of the rights of academic freedom and freedom of expression of all parties to a complaint and as set forth in University policy.

II. PROHIBITED CONDUCT

A. Discrimination

Fairfield University values, celebrates and supports a diverse living and learning community. Consistent with this and with the law, Fairfield University does not discriminate on the bases of race, color, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, veteran's status, political ideology, religion, national or ethnic origin, age, or disability in the administration of educational policies or programs, admission and employment policies, scholarship and loan programs, and athletic and other school-administered programs. It is a violation of this policy to discriminate or retaliate against any student because he or she has opposed any discriminatory practice at the University, or because the student has filed a complaint, testified, assisted or participated in any process designed to address and/or resolve an allegation of discrimination.

B. Harassment

Fairfield University defines harassment as verbal or physical conduct based on a person's race, color, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, veteran's status, political ideology, religion, national or ethnic origin, age, or disability that is sufficiently severe, pervasive, persistent or patently offensive that it has the purpose or effect of denying or limiting a student's ability to participate in or benefit from the educational program, or that creates an intimidating, hostile or offensive working, educational or living environment. To constitute harassment, the conduct must include something beyond the mere expression of views, words, symbols or thoughts that some person may find offensive. The alleged conduct will be viewed from both a subjective (the complainant's) and an objective ("reasonable person's") viewpoint, and take into consideration all surrounding circumstances. Included within this definition is bias-related harassment, which is language or behaviors that demonstrate bias against persons or groups because of race, color, ethnicity, religion, faith, national origin, political orientation or sexual orientation. Some incidents of bias-related harassment may rise to the level of a hate crime. Hate crimes are defined by state and federal law, and typically involve a crime that is motivated by bias, and results in physical harm to person or property.

C. Sexual Harassment

Sexual harassment is a form of harassment with specific, distinguishing characteristics. Sexual harassment includes but is not limited to unwelcome sexual advances, direct or indirect sexual

demands, requests for sexual favors, sexual comments, gestures, or other physical actions of a sexual nature when:

- 1. Submission of such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of an individual's educational success,
- 2. Submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for educational decisions affecting the individual, or
- 3. Such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's academic performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive educational environment.

Furthermore, the University considers it a violation of an individual's rights to retaliate against a person who has initiated an inquiry or complaint having to do with harassment, and/or to instigate any other person to participate in such activity.

III. PROCEDURES FOR RESOLUTION OF CLAIMS OF DISCRIMINATION OR HARASSMENT

A. Complaints of Discrimination or Harassment against a student, student group or student organization

Students with concerns about possible discriminatory treatment, harassment or sexual harassment by another student, student group or student organization are encouraged to contact the Office of the Dean of Students at. Ext. 4211. The Office of the Dean of Students will review options for addressing the situation. In cases of physical assault or destruction of property, individuals are also encouraged to report such matters to the Department of Public Safety or the Fairfield Police Department. In cases of alleged discrimination, individuals may also contact the Office for Civil Rights of the U.S. Department of Education.

At Fairfield University, there are both informal and formal procedures available to a student to address concerns about discrimination or harassment by students or student groups or organizations. They can be described as follows:

Informal Complaint Procedure:

The informal procedure is voluntary in nature and is designed to achieve a resolution to which both the complainant and the respondent agree. An informal complaint may be made verbally or in writing to the Office of the Dean of Students. A member of the professional staff in that office will offer options to the complainant, including filing a formal complaint. The informal complaint procedure can have several conclusions. The complainant may only wish to discuss the matter with a neutral third party. The complainant may ask that the Dean of Students or designee to act as a mediator to talk to the other party and determine whether an informal resolution can be reached. Additionally, the Dean of Students office will offer the complainant the option of submitting the informal complaint to the Fairfield University Peer Mediation Program for resolution. When reviewing options, the contact person in the Office of the Dean of Students will not draw any formal conclusion as to whether discrimination or harassment has occurred. If a resolution is reached, that will typically bring closure to the matter. If a resolution cannot be reached through these informal processes, the formal complaint procedure may be used as an option by the complainant, respondent or University. Allegations of non-consensual sexual contact or intercourse will not be addressed through mediation but can be addressed through the Formal Complaint Procedure.

Formal Complaint Procedure:

A student may file a formal complaint of discrimination, harassment (including sexual harassment) without having gone through the informal complaint process. A formal complaint of discrimination or harassment by a student against another student or student group/organization should be in writing, and should be submitted to the Office of the Dean of Students. The Discrimination, Harassment & Sexual Assault Board (DHSA Board) is a subset of the Student Conduct Board. Like the Student Conduct Board, the DHSA Board is a fivemember body consisting of two voting students, one voting academic dean or assistant dean, one voting faculty member, and a non-voting chairperson. As a subset of the Student Conduct Board, DHSA Board members draw from the same pool of individuals designated to serve on Student Conduct Boards, except that DHSA Board members are specifically designated and undergo appropriate training to assist in the processing of formal complaints by students against students alleging discrimination, harassment (including sexual harassment) and sexual assault. As a subset of the Student Conduct Board, the DHSA Board follows the same procedures followed by the Student Conduct Board and set forth in the Student Handbook, except for differences in the appeal process, which are described more fully in this policy. In executing its functions, particularly in the area of non-sexual harassment complaints, the DHSA Board is guided by the principles set forth in and relationship between this Discrimination and Harassment Policy and the principles set forth in the Academic Freedom and Freedom of Expression policies. (The DHSA Board is also designated to hear formal complaints brought by students against other students under the University's Sexual Assault Policy).

A student, student group or student organization found responsible for a violation of the University's policies on discrimination and harassment, including sexual harassment, will be subject to appropriate sanctions, including but not limited to counseling, education, restitution, housing re-assignment, no-contact orders, dismissal or expulsion, and in the case of student groups or organizations, sanctions may include the cessation of funding and/or the loss of recognition by Fairfield University. Separate from these sanctions, students may also be exposed to civil and/or criminal action.

Appeals of the DHSA Board's decision will follow the same procedure for appeals of the Student Conduct Board as set forth in the Student Handbook, except that all appeals will be submitted to both the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and the Vice President for

Journal of Record

Administrative and Student Affairs. Depending on the nature of the matter being appealed, the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and the Vice President for Administrative and Student Affairs will determine in their sole discretion whether to rule on the appeal jointly, or whether one of them will handle the appeal exclusively. The decision on an appeal from the DHSA board is final.

B. Complaints of Discrimination or Harassment Against the University, a Member of the Faculty, Staff or Administration

Students with concerns about possible discriminatory treatment, harassment or sexual harassment by the University, a member of the faculty, staff, or administration in connection with a university program, service or activity and/or who feel they have been discriminated against or subjected to discrimination or harassment by a University employee which meets the definitions above and/or violates federal statutes Title VI and/or Title IX are urged to report the matter to the Title IX Compliance Coordinator. In these cases where the discrimination complaint is against the University, a member of the faculty, staff or administration, students may follow the following informal and formal complaint procedures:

Informal Complaint Procedure:

The student may submit a verbal or written complaint to the Title IX Compliance Coordinator. If the reported incident is not of a serious nature or in situations where miscommunication is occurring, the Compliance Coordinator may attempt to resolve the matter through discussion and mediation with the accused's supervisor.

In situations where serious misconduct is reported:

- 1. The Title IX Compliance Coordinator will notify the accused's supervisor.
- 2. The supervisor will meet with the accused and inform him/her of the charges.
- 3. The supervisor may address the matter individually with the accused or may appoint a committee to investigate and determine the appropriate action. Handling will depend upon the nature of the situation and in consideration of any recommendations offered by the Title IX Coordinator.
- 4. The committee will investigate the charges and make a recommendation to the accused's supervisor for sanctions, should evidence of a violation be found.
- 5. The committee will make suggestions for remedies for the complainant if applicable.
- 6. The complainant, the accused, and the Title IX Coordinator will be notified of the disposition in writing within 45 days.
- 7. The supervisor will have final authority regarding an informal complaint.

Journal of Record

Formal Complaint Procedure:

If the student is not satisfied with the above resolution:

- 1. The student may submit a written complaint within 30 days to the Compliance Coordinator stating the nature of the complaint, a description of the incident(s) and any possible witnesses, and the remedy sought.
- 2. The Compliance Coordinator will convene a Resolution Committee consisting of representatives of the University community.
- 3. The Committee will consider the evidence presented, determine the facts, and recommend a decision to the University President within 45 days.
- 4. The University President will have the final authority. Fairfield University prohibits retaliation against a person filing a complaint of harassment or assisting/participating in the investigation of the complaint regardless of the outcome of the initial complaint.

IV. BIAS RESPONSE

There may be instances where acts of bias, which are defined as language or behaviors that demonstrate bias against persons or groups because of race, color, ethnicity, religion, faith, national origin, political orientation, or sexual orientation occur, but the perpetrator(s) cannot be identified and/or the acts of bias do not rise to the level of discrimination or harassment for purposes of Title IX or this policy. In those instances, any member of the University community impacted by the acts of bias are nonetheless encouraged to report the behavior to the Bias Response Team. The Bias Response team serves to advocate for victims of bias, whether individual or group. The Bias Response Team is uniquely situated to assist the University community in situations including, but not necessarily limited to, those in which the perpetrator of the bias cannot be identified and/or when the behavior in question does not constitute discrimination or rise to the level of harassment for Title IX purposes. The Bias Response team is made up of campus partners from academics, student affairs and the student body. The Bias Response team is headed by the vice president for student affairs or her/his designee as well as the Director of Human Resources or her/his designee. Any member of the University community wishing to contact the Bias Response Team may do so by contacting either of those offices.

AC: 5/3/2010, 11/1/2010, 9/10/2012

Appendix 19: Four Year Honors Program

FOUR-YEAR HONORS PROGRAM

I. <u>OBJECTIVES</u>

The aim of this proposal is to provide a workable Honors Program that is modest in its resource requirements, can be put in place with the minimum of forward planning, and is easily modifiable as time goes on.

The overall objective of the Honors Program is to engage talented students in a challenging program of study through a carefully-crafted series of courses and seminars. The emphasis will be on seminars and the intention is that the Program will complement students' studies in both core and major, without having a negative impact upon their freedom to pursue Minors or elective courses. The following particular aims can be identified. The Program wishes to lead the students:

1. to become generally culturally literate, that is, to study the origins of the Western cultural and intellectual tradition and the main lines of its development, in the Humanities, the Arts, the social and natural sciences;

2. to be familiar with the challenges to the idea of "the Western tradition," from certain groups within Western culture, particularly from racial minorities and from feminist theory;

3. to develop a sensitivity to and acquaintance with cultures other than their own;

4. to learn to make connections between disciplines, and to ask the larger questions which transcend the boundaries of any single discipline;

5. to bring the Honors experience to bear upon the field of their chosen major at a high level of accomplishment through the completion of an independent project appropriate to the particular discipline.

II. NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT

Entering Honors students will be selected from among those who apply for consideration, after interviews which will take place during the June Orientation for incoming freshmen. Mechanisms will be instituted which provide both for retiring those individuals from the Program, at any stage, who fail to meet the standards required, and for admitting others to the Program up to the beginning of the Sophomore year. The intention will be to accept an initial group of 25-30 freshmen, and at no point to allow the numbers in any given year to exceed 35.

In the Freshman year, Honors students will be required to take a two-semester course and an accompanying two-semester seminar on "The Western Tradition." The course will focus particularly on the evolution of philosophy, society, science and the arts. The seminar will be an intensive examination of primary sources selected from this tradition. Both course and seminar will be designed to function in tandem, though in all probability they will be taught by different individuals.

In the first semester of Sophomore year, Honors students will participate in a course or seminar which requires them to attend to the challenges to this Western tradition which have arisen from within the culture itself, principally those of racial minorities and of feminist cultural theory.

The second semester of the Sophomore year will be devoted entirely to the study of one non-Western culture, through a seminar or course led by a specialist in that culture.

The Program will continue in the Junior year with a two-semester seminar, during which students will write a substantial paper as part of the credit for the seminar. The seminar will be thematic, deliberately interdisciplinary and cross-cultural. As examples, I propose the development of seminars on the following themes, among others: The Idea of Progress and Its Critics; Genius; Models, Metaphors and Creativity; Chaos.

The Senior year of the Program will be optional <u>at the discretion of the student's Major</u> <u>department</u>. Where instituted, it will require Honors students to complete a substantial piece of work within their chosen Major. This portion of the Program will be administered through the respective departments and curriculum areas.

Throughout the entire Program, Honors students will also be expected to participate in a series of lectures, discussions and cultural events. While no "extra credit" will be given for these, participation in these will be accounted an integral part of the Program. As is currently the case, many of these events will be open to the general student body. The budget of the Honors Program thus enriches the intellectual climate of the entire institution.

III. FOUR-YEAR HONORS PROGRAM

First Year: The Western Tradition

The first year of study will explore selected ideas, issues, and assumptions of Western intellectual history by focusing on developments in philosophy, literature, society, science, and the arts.

* Fall Semester: 2 sections of HR 100 "Ideas That Shaped the West," each a four credit course meeting 4 times a week and team-taught by 2 faculty members. Each section will enroll 24-25 students. Two (2) of the 4 weekly meetings will be devoted to a faculty member's presentation of subject matter to the entire section. The remaining two weekly sessions will

have the section divide in half (12-13 students) to meet in seminar discussion of the material at which a member of the faculty-team will preside.

* Spring Semester: 2 sections of HR 102 "Minds and Bodies," each a four credit course meeting 4 times a week and team-taught by 2 faculty members. Each section will enroll 24-25 students. Two (2) of the 4 weekly meetings will be devoted to a faculty member's presentation of subject matter to the entire section. The remaining two weekly sessions will have the section divide in half (12-13 students) to meet in seminar discussion of the material at which a member of the faculty-team will preside.

Second Year: Beyond the Western Paradigm

The second year of study will examine alternatives to the configuration of knowledge, art, power, and justice in the classical, majority culture of the West <u>either</u> by considering critical voices traditionally marginalized in that culture <u>or</u> by investigating the assumptions of a non-Western culture. The second year will also provide an opportunity for Honors students to pursue their general education in small seminars reserved for Honors students.

* Fall Semester: 1 section of HR 200 "Challenges to the Western Tradition," a 3-credit course taught by 1 faculty member to a student enrollment of 24-25.

2 seminars, each taught as a 3-credit course by 1 faculty member in his or her discipline to a student enrollment of 12-13. Each seminar will seek to cultivate the skills of critical thinking, cogent argumentation, and effective writing.

* Spring Semester: 1 section of HR 201 "Non-Western Culture," a 3-credit course taught by 1 faculty member to a student enrollment of 24-25.

2 seminars, each taught as a 3-credit course by a faculty member in her or his discipline to a student enrollment of 12-13. Each seminar will seek to cultivate the skills of critical thinking, cogent argumentation, and effective writing.

Note: Students enrolled in HR 200 in the fall semester will enroll in one of the springsemester seminars. Students enrolled in one of the fall-semester seminars will enroll in HR 201 in the spring semester.

Third Year: Interdisciplinary Inquiry

The third year of study will stress the value of interdisciplinary approaches to scholarly inquiry by investigating a wide-ranging theme from the perspective of at least 2 disciplines. The third year will also provide an opportunity for Honors students to pursue their general education in small seminars reserved for Honors students.

* Fall Semester: 1 section of HR 300 "Interdisciplinary Inquiry," a 3-credit course taught by 2 faculty members to a student enrollment of 24-25.

2 seminars, each taught as a 3-credit course by 1 faculty member in his or her discipline to a student enrollment of 12-13. Each seminar will seek to cultivate the skills of critical thinking, cogent argumentation, and effective writing.

* Spring Semester: 1 section of HR 300 "Interdisciplinary Inquiry," a 3-credit course taught by 2 faculty members to a student enrollment of 24-25.

2 seminars, each taught as a 3-credit course by 1 faculty member in her or his discipline to a student enrollment of 12-13. Each seminar will seek to cultivate the skills of critical thinking, cogent argumentation, and effective writing.

Note: Students enrolled in HR 300 in the fall semester will enroll in one of the springsemester seminars. Students enrolled in one of the fall semester seminars will enroll in HR 300 in the spring semester.

<u>Excursus on the Second- and Third Year Seminars</u>: Each second- and third-year seminar is open to any second- or third-year Honors student. These seminars function as Honors courses <u>sui generis</u>. They may not be counted toward the fulfillment of core curriculum requirements, or major or minor requirements. Students may not enroll in any seminar devoted to a subject matter in which they have declared a major or a minor. Ideally, students will choose the seminars on the basis of intellectual interest alone. These courses will be 100-level courses, though professors will be encouraged to present the subject matter at a level that takes into account the abilities of the audience. An effort will be made to offer seminars that represent the various curricular areas.

Fourth Year: Senior Project in the Major

The Senior Honors Project provides an opportunity for the student to engage in mature research under the supervision of a faculty mentor. The course carries 3 credits earned in the discipline in which the research is conducted and these credits are counted both toward the completion of major and Honors requirements. In the Humanities, the project should be a writing 25-50 pages in length, or more if appropriate. In creative writing and studio art, the project should take the form of a significant portfolio. In the Natural Sciences, Mathematics, Social Sciences, and in the various areas of Business, the written project should follow the discipline's acceptable format for publication.

The Honors Program in this proposed, revised structure comprises 23 credits. Twenty (20) credits are earned through Honors courses completed in the first three years of the Program, and 3 credits are recognized of an independent study usually undertaken in the student's major during the senior year.

The student would be exempt from 21 core credits. Honors students may choose their core exemptions from no more than 1 course in each of 4 of the following areas or disciplines: natural science, History, social/behavioral science, Philosophy, Religious Studies, and Visual and Performing Arts. (Students in the School of Business may not exempt themselves from the social

and behavioral sciences because their major requires that they take EC 11 and EC 12.) Additionally, Honors students are exempted from the English core (3 courses) due to the strong writing component in Honors courses. Mathematics and Languages are omitted from the selection because their core requirements are full year sequences. The student's second year of Honors course work will satisfy <u>either</u> the diversity requirement <u>or</u> the world cultures requirement depending on the course the student completes.

IV. INTEGRATION OF HONORS PROGRAM WITH CORE & MAJOR

The standard pattern for Fairfield students is:

Core60 creditsMajor30 creditsOther30 credits

The pattern for Honors students (as outlined above) would be:

Core	36 credits
Major	30 credits
Honors	30 credits (of which 6 would be in major)
Other	24 credits

The breakdown of requirements would be as follows:

Math	6
Mod.Lang.	6
R.S.	3
Philos.	3
Hist.	3
Fine Arts	3
Soc. Sci.	6
Nat. Sci.	6
	Mod.Lang. R.S. Philos. Hist. Fine Arts Soc. Sci.

b. Major: thirty credits, but all or part of the six-credit Major Honors might be added on to these thirty credits.

c. Honors:

Western Tradition	12 credits
Challenges to the Western Tradition	3 credits
Non-western Culture	3 credits
Interdisciplinary Seminar	6 credits
Major honors	6 credits

V. EXPLANATORY NOTES

1. As outlined above, this proposal has implications for the core curriculum to be required of Honors students. Specifically, the proposal would make the following modifications:

- Mathematics, Social and Natural Sciences and Modern Language requirements would remain the same.
- Fine Arts, History, Philosophy and Religious Studies core would be reduced to one course in each discipline.
- The core requirement in English would be eliminated, since: a) the single biggest component of the Freshman and Sophomore year program would be literature; b) the seminars throughout the first three years would all be "writing-intensive."

2. <u>The Special Events</u> component of the Honors Program would include lectures, theatre, exhibits, cinema and a regular colloquium in which Honors students from all four years of the program would meet to discuss issues of intellectual and cultural importance.

3. <u>Need for faculty to teach in the Program.</u>

a. The Program is designed to be modest on the need for faculty and for team-teaching.

b. The widest possible variety of faculty will be utilized in the Program.

c. Assuming that there would be 25-30 students per year in the Honors Program, and that seminars would be taught to groups of no more than 15, then the needs would be as follows:

i. Freshman year: 9 hours of faculty time per semester, to include two seminar sections and one lecture course. This could be one person, three different people, or some permutation of two. It need not be the same faculty in both semesters.

ii. Sophomore year: 6 hours of faculty time per semester, to run two seminar sections per semester, or 3 hours if the components are run as courses rather than as seminars. Once again, this could be one person or two, remaining the same or changing on a semester by semester basis.

iii. Junior year: 6-12 hours of faculty time per semester, to run two seminar sections per semester. This is the component of the Program where team-teaching, as on the currently operative model of the Honors Program, might be most valuable. The necessity for this, however, would depend on the particular theme.

iv. Senior year: whatever time the individual departments need, perhaps on an independent study basis, for the major honors project.

v. Much of this faculty time will produce a lightening of the burden of core teaching in the Humanities departments, and so is not a pure "add-on" to teaching needs.

vi. When the full four-year program is in place, total faculty hours required, excluding major honors, will be:

- Fall Semester, 18-21 hours

- Spring Semester, 18-21 hours.

[21-24 if the Junior year seminar is team-taught.]

4. <u>Resources necessary for implementation</u>:

a. While the budget for speakers and special events can remain at the present level through the 1991-92 year, thereafter it will need to be enlarged each year as the Program grows and the number of students and events to be accommodated increase.

b. There needs to be some reconsideration given to the role and responsibilities of the Program Director. The Director will certainly eventually need more secretarial support.

c. Resources will be required to enable the first group of participating faculty to design the necessary new courses, namely:

- The Western Tradition (two-semester lecture course)
- The Western Tradition (two-semester seminar)
- Challenges to the Western Tradition (one-sem. seminar)
- Non-western Culture (one-semester seminar)
- Interdisciplinary Seminar (two semesters)

VI. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

1991-92: one-year Junior/Senior Honors Program continues as usual.

1992-93: Freshman year Program begins, with Junior year Program implemented as a parallel one-year Honors Program for current Juniors or Seniors.

1993-94: Freshman and Sophomore Programs in place. Junior year Program continues for current Juniors/Seniors as a one-year Honors Program.

1994-95: Freshman, Sophomore and Junior Year Programs in place.

1995-96: All four years in place.

VII. POSSIBLE VARIATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

 Both natural and social science core requirements could be replaced by specially designed Honors requirements, or by specially designated Honors courses offered through the respective departments. It seems prudent at the present time to postpone any implementation of this possibility until such time as the basic units of the proposed Program are in place.
 The fourth year of Major Honors could be dispensed with, or made optional. In the latter case, students entering the Honors Program at the end of their Freshman year could then complete the entire three-year Program, running one year behind their classmates.

> AC: 12/9/91 AC: 11/2/98

Appendix 20: Internship Programs

The purpose of the internship program is to provide a student with the opportunity of earning a maximum of six academic credits for experience of direct involvement in the public or private sector whose purpose and service has relevance to the student's academic major. It is expected that the internship will be mutually beneficial to both the student and the organization in which the student is involved. As cooperative efforts, it is expected that the internship experience will allow students an opportunity for practical application and testing of principles and theories they are learning in their major field of study. The internship will not substitute for any other stated course(s) in the student's major field of study.

Student Eligibility:

- 1) The student may normally undertake an internship only after completion of the sophomore year of study.
- The student must be in good academic standing as defined by the individual school (Business, College of Arts and Sciences, Nursing, <u>et al</u>.) in which the student is pursuing his/her major.
- 3) The student must obtain approval to register for an internship from a faculty internship advisor. The final grade in the internship must be determined and officially recorded by the faculty member.

Requirements of the Student:

- 1) Register for the designated internship course in the student's department or school.
- 2) The student must commit him or herself to work a specified number of hours per week, the requirements of which are determined by the student's department or school.
- 3) The student agrees to fulfill the duties and responsibilities of the internship as developed between the faculty advisor and the organization providing the internship.
- 4) During the internship the student must meet periodically with his/her designated faculty internship advisor or internship administrator as required.
- 5) The student must fulfill the academic component of the internship as defined by the student's department or school.

Requirements of the Faculty Internship Advisor or Internship Administrator:

- 1) The faculty internship advisor or internship administrator to whom the intern is assigned accepts the major responsibility for maintaining the quality and relevance of the work involved in the internship.
- 2) The faculty internship advisor or internship administrator will maintain periodic contact with both the student intern and the intern's site supervisor in order to assess progress in fulfilling the goals of the internship.
- 3) The faculty internship advisor or internship administrator is responsible for developing and monitoring the specific requirements of the academic component of the internship as defined by the particular department or school. This includes the final evaluation of the student's performance and issuing of a grade.

Requirements of the Organization:

- 1) The organization must provide the number of hours of program-related work per week as specified by the student's department or school.
- 2) The organization must ensure that work assignments are sufficiently challenging to broaden and extend the student's understanding of the subject area.
- 3) The organization must designate a specific individual within the organization with the responsibility to assign and supervise the work of the intern. The University regards it as ideal when the individual assigned to the student can serve as a role model and resource person.
- 4) The organization must provide a personnel evaluation of the student at the end of the semester, using a form provided by the student's department or school.

Exceptions:

Policy exceptions to this statement require the approval and recommendation of the appropriate departmental chairman and dean, and the final approval of the curriculum committee.

CR: 11/2/87 amended AC: 3/8/98 amended AC: 4/02/2001

Appendix 21: Student Academic Grievance Procedure

Purpose:

Procedures for review of academic grievances protect the rights of students, faculty, and the University by providing mechanisms for equitable problem solving.

Types of Grievances:

A grievance is defined as a complaint of unfair treatment for which a specific remedy is sought. This procedure is concerned solely with academic grievances. It excludes circumstances that may give rise to a complaint for which explicit redress is neither called for nor sought, or for those for which other structures within the university serve as an agency for resolution.

Academic grievances relate to procedural appeals, academic dishonesty appeals, or quality of work appeals.

Procedural appeals are defined as those seeking a remedy in which no issue of the quality of a student's work is involved. For example, a student might contend that the professor failed to follow previously announced mechanisms of evaluation.

Academic dishonesty appeals are defined as those seeking a remedy because of a dispute over whether plagiarism, cheating, or other acts of academic dishonesty occurred. Remedies would include but not be limited to removal of a file letter, change of grade, or submitting new or revised work.

Quality of work appeals are defined as those seeking a remedy, following the completion of a course, because the evaluation of the quality of a student's coursework is alleged to be prejudiced or capricious.

Time Limits:

The procedure herein defined must be initiated by the end of the subsequent fall or spring semester after the event that is the subject of the grievance. If the grievance moves forward, all subsequent steps of the informal process must be completed and the formal process must be initiated before the end of the second semester subsequent to the event that is the subject of the grievance.

Procedure - Informal:

Step one: The student attempts to resolve any academic grievance with the faculty member. If, following this initial attempt at resolution, the student remains convinced that a grievance exists, she or he advances to step two.

Step two: The student consults with the chair or program director, bringing written documentation of the process to this point. If the student continues to assert that a grievance exists after attempted reconciliation, she or he advances to step three.

Step three: The student presents the grievance to the dean of the school in which the course was offered, bringing to this meeting documentation of steps one and two. After conversation with the instructor of record and the department chair/program director, the dean will inform the student whether or not the grade shall be changed by the instructor of record. If the student is dissatisfied with the outcome, the dean will inform the student of the right to initiate formal review procedures.

Procedure - Formal:

Step one: If the student still believes that the grievance remains unresolved following the informal procedures above, she or he initiates the formal review procedure by making a written request for a formal hearing through the dean to the SVPAA. Such a request should define the grievance and be accompanied by documentation of completion of the informal process. It should also be accompanied by the dean's opinion of the grievance.

Step two: The SVPAA determines whether the grievance merits further attention. If not, the student is so informed. If, however, the grievance does merit further attention, the SVPAA determines whether it is a procedural appeal, an academic dishonesty appeal, or a quality of work appeal.

- For procedural appeals and academic dishonesty appeals, the SVPAA will convene a Grievance Committee according to the process described below, providing the committee with the written documentation resulting from the previous steps in the appeal process.
- For quality of work appeals, the SVPAA will request that the chair of the department through which the course is taught, or if the chair is the subject of the grievance a senior member of the department, assemble an ad hoc committee of three department/program members to review the appeal, providing the committee with the written documentation resulting from the previous steps in the appeal process.

Step three:

- For procedural appeals and academic dishonesty appeals, the Grievance Committee takes whatever steps are deemed appropriate to render a recommendation for resolving the grievance. The committee adheres to due process procedures analogous to those in the Faculty Handbook. (See addendum #1 below.)
- For quality of work appeals, the department committee shall make itself available to meet and discuss the appeal with the student, and shall discuss the appeal with the instructor of record for the course. If the final consensus of the department committee is that the academic evaluation that led to the course grade was neither prejudiced nor capricious, the appeals process ends here.

Step four:

- For procedural appeals and academic dishonesty appeals, the recommendation from the Grievance Committee is forwarded to the SVPAA in written form, accompanied, if necessary, by any supporting data that formed the basis of the recommendation. Should the Grievance Committee conclude that a change of grade is warranted, the two faculty members on the Grievance Committee will recommend an appropriate grade. In case of disagreement between the two faculty members, the dean chairing the Grievance Committee will decide which of the two recommended grades to accept. The recommended grade change shall be included in the report.
- For quality of work appeals, if the final consensus of the department committee is that the academic evaluation that led to the course grade was prejudiced or capricious, the department committee will recommend an alternative course grade. If the instructor of record agrees to change the grade to that recommended by the committee, the appeals process ends here. If the instructor of record declines to change the grade, the department committee shall prepare a written report, including the department committee's recommended grade. The report will be forwarded to the SVPAA and the instructor of record, who may send the SVPAA a written response to the report.

Step five:

- For procedural appeals and academic dishonesty appeals, the SVPAA renders a final and binding judgment, notifying all involved parties. If such an appeal involves a dispute over a course grade given by a faculty member, the SVPAA is the only university official empowered to change that grade, and then only to the grade recommended by the Grievance Committee.
- For quality of work appeals, if the SVPAA agrees with the department committee that the academic evaluation that led to the course grade was prejudiced or capricious, she or he is authorized to change the course grade to the grade recommended in the department committee's report.

Structure of the Grievance Committee:

The structure of the Grievance Committee will be as follows:

(i) Two faculty members to be selected from the Student Academic Grievance Board. The faculty member against whom the grievance has been directed will propose four names from that panel, the student will strike two of those names, and the two remaining faculty members will serve.

(ii) Two students to be selected from a standing pool of eight students elected by the student government. The student filing the grievance will propose four names from that panel, the faculty member will strike two of those names, and the two remaining students will serve.

In the event that any faculty member or student selected through the foregoing process is unable to meet, another elected member of the panel will serve as an alternate. The Grievance Committee will be chaired by a dean (other than the dean of the school in which the course was offered) to be selected by the SVPAA. The dean so selected will have no vote except in the event of a tie, and will be responsible for overseeing the selection of the Grievance Committee, convening and conducting the committee meetings, and preparing the committee's report(s) and other appropriate documentation.

Addendum #1

DUE PROCESS PROCEDURE

a. Both the student and the faculty member shall have the right to be present and to be accompanied by a personal advisor or counsel throughout the hearing.

b. Both the student and the faculty member shall have the right to present and examine witnesses and to cross-examine witnesses.

c. The administration shall make available to both the student and the faculty member such authority as it may possess to require the presence of witnesses.

d. The Grievance Committee shall promptly and forthrightly adjudicate the issues.

e. The full text of the findings and conclusions of the Grievance Committee shall be made available in identical form and at the same time to both the student and the faculty member. The cost shall be met by the university.

f. In the absence of a defect in procedure, recommendations shall be made to the SVPAA by the Grievance Committee as to possible action in the case.

g. At any time should the basis for an informal hearing appear, the procedure may become informal in nature.

Adapted from the Faculty Handbook

AC: 04/13/1982 AC: 10/03/2005 AC: 05/03/2010 AC: 10/01/2012 AC: 02/25/2013

Appendix 22: University Admissions and Tuition Policy

I. PURPOSE

Admission to undergraduate University academic programs with tuition grants-in-aid for children of full time faculty is a benefit included in the <u>Faculty</u> <u>Handbook</u>. This policy is designed to clarify the language in Section II. B. 6 of the handbook. It is to be published as a University Personnel Procedure and is not to be changed except by the standard procedures applying to Handbook amendments.

II. SCOPE

This policy shall apply to all legally dependent eligible children of full-time faculty members, including adopted children and step-children, who begin matriculation at the University before reaching age 24. In case a child's matriculation is delayed because of health or military service, the age limit will be extended by the length of such period of ill-health or service. If an eligible child leaves the University once matriculation has begun, he or she may return even though over the age limit. Children who do not matriculate at Fairfield University but are matriculating elsewhere may take up to six credits during the summer and three credits during the fall and spring semesters with tuition grants-in-aid Legal dependency shall include: a) any child claimed by a full-time faculty member as a dependent for federal income tax purposes; b) any child who otherwise demonstrates, as determined by the University, substantial financial dependency upon a full-time faculty member; or c) in the case of divorced parents, any child who fulfills the terms of a) or b) as to either parent, or for whom a divorce decree obligates the faculty-member parent for payment of college tuition. Legal dependency must be demonstrated for the period for which the tuition grant-inaid is sought.

III. APPLICATION PROCEDURE

1. To ensure preferential consideration under this policy, a faculty member must inform the Academic Vice President of the fact that his or her child has applied for admission to the University on or before the deadline for submission of applications to the Office of Admissions.

2. If a faculty child is denied admission, his or her application shall be forwarded to a review committee, consisting of three faculty members, who shall be the Chair of the Academic Council, the Secretary of the General Faculty, and the Chair of the Committee on Admissions and Scholarships; the Academic Vice President; and one other administrator, appointed by the Academic Vice President, who is not associated with the Office of Admissions. The Committee shall review the application and shall make a recommendation as to whether the applicant should or should not be admitted. The Academic Vice President shall communicate that recommendation to the President. The committee shall recommend admission of any applicant who can reasonably be expected to complete successfully the degree requirements of the relevant program. In judging whether the applicant can reasonable be expected to complete those requirements, the committee shall consider the applicant's high school rank, grade point average and scores on national examinations, in relation to those of other students in that class year who are admitted as special students, along with any other factors relevant to judging the likelihood of the applicant's successful completion of the program. The committee shall also take into consideration the special status of these students.

3. If the President either accepts a positive recommendation of the Committee or of the Academic Vice President, or rejects a negative recommendation, the applicant shall be admitted.

4. Upon rejecting a positive recommendation of the Committee, the President shall communicate the reasons to the Academic Vice President, who shall then communicate those reasons to the committee, in writing or in person.

AC: 3/28/88

For courses of shorter duration, once there is a sufficient number of students for a course to run Fairfield University will allow tuition grant-in-aid for dependents of Fairfield University faculty in accordance with the "University Admission and Tuition Policy" in the Journal of Record. Such grant-in-aid will extend to that portion of the fee attributable to the actual course, including instructor's reimbursement, office expenses, activities which incur no additional expense by the student's participation, and the like. The student must pay that portion of the fee attributable to individual expenses (airfare, room, fees, packaged tours, etc.). As a general rule, the participating student must pay those portions of the fee, which create an increased cost due to the student's participation.

The "University Admission and Tuition Policy" in the Journal of Record is intended to cover broad general categories of application of the Faculty Handbook's "Tuition Program for Children of Faculty" (II.B.6). It is impossible in the context to cover all imaginable circumstances. In the event of specific differences, the faculty member, the Academic Vice President, and the Faculty Secretary should meet to find a mutually satisfactory solution.

AC: 2/12/01

Appendix 23: Fairfield University Computer Systems Acceptable Use Policy

November 13, 2000, Updated June 30, 2004

This policy is designed to guide students, faculty, staff, and other authorized users in the acceptable use of computer and information systems and networks provided by Fairfield University according to the mission of the University. It is meant as an application of the principles of respect and reverence for every person, the development of community and the ideals of liberal education that are at the core of Fairfield's Catholic, Jesuit identity. This policy supersedes the "Acceptable Use Policy" (number 610) adopted 1/1/94. This policy does not supersede any other University policies, including those pertaining to student grievances, sexual harassment, and personal conduct (Policy No. 480, Item #27).

Guiding Principles:

The Fairfield University community is encouraged to make innovative and creative use of information technologies in support of education and research. Access to information representing a multitude of views on current and historical issues should be allowed for the interest, information and enlightenment of the University community. Consistent with other University policies, this policy is intended to respect the rights and obligations of Academic Freedom, and recognizes that the educational mission of the University is served in a variety of ways.

The University recognizes that the purpose of copyright is to protect the rights of the creators of intellectual property and to prevent the unauthorized use or sale of works available in the private sector. Publication, distribution, or broadcast of copyright protected materials with out permission is prohibited. Also consistent with other University policies, an individual's right of access to computer materials should not be denied or abridged because of race, creed, color, age, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, or disability.

The University cannot protect individuals against the existence or receipt of material that may be offensive to them. As such, those who make use of electronic communications are warned that they may come across or be recipients of material they find offensive. Those who use e-mail and/or make information about themselves available on the Internet should be forewarned that the University cannot protect them from invasions of privacy and other possible dangers that could result from the individual's distribution of personal information.

In the interests of promoting the free exchange of ideas, Fairfield University does not exercise prior review of electronic documents available on its network and accessible locally or through the internet. Individuals who access materials available on the Fairfield University network should understand that these materials, unless otherwise posted, do not necessarily reflect the views of Fairfield University. Individuals who feel that particular materials posted on the Fairfield University network are inappropriate or otherwise objectionable, may lodge a formal complaint through the office of Computing and Network Services.

Fairfield University computing and network resources are to be used for University-related research, instruction, learning, enrichment, dissemination of scholarly information, and administrative activities. The computing and network facilities of the University are limited and should be used wisely and carefully with consideration for the needs of others. Computers and network systems offer powerful tools for communication among members of the community and of communities outside the University. When used appropriately, these tools can enhance dialog and communication. When used unlawfully or inappropriately, however, these tools can infringe on the rights of others.

Responsibilities:

The following examples, though not covering every situation, specify some of the responsibilities that accompany computer use at Fairfield and/or on networks to which Fairfield is connected.

- 1. Users may not attempt to modify the University system or network facilities or attempt to crash systems. They should not tamper with any software protections or restrictions placed on computer applications or files.
- 2. All users must obtain authorized computing accounts and may only use their own user names and passwords to access University computing and network systems. Users may not supply false or misleading data nor improperly obtain another's password in order to gain access to computers or network systems, data or information. The negligence or naiveté of another user in revealing an account name or password is not considered authorized use. Convenience of file or printer sharing is not sufficient reason for sharing a computer account. Users should not attempt to subvert the restrictions associated with their computer accounts.
- 3. Users are responsible for all use of their computer account(s). They should make appropriate use of the system and network-provided protection features and take precautions against others obtaining access to their computer resources. Individual password security is the responsibility of each user.
- 4. Users may not encroach on others' use of computer resources. Such activities would include, but are not limited to, tying up computer resources for excessive game playing or other trivial applications; sending harassing messages; sending frivolous or excessive messages, including chain letters, junk mail, and other types of broadcast messages, either locally or over the Internet; using excessive amounts of storage (as determined by Computing and Network Services policies); intentionally introducing any computer viruses, worms, Trojan Horses, or other rogue programs to Fairfield University hardware or software; physically damaging systems; or running grossly inefficient programs when efficient ones are available.
- 5. Users are responsible for making use of software and electronic materials in accordance with copyright and licensing restrictions and applicable university policies. Fairfield University equipment and software may not be used to violate copyright or the terms of any license agreement. No one may inspect, modify, distribute, or copy proprietary data, directories, programs, files, disks or other software without proper authorization.
- 6. Users must remember that information distributed through the University's computing and networking facilities is a form of publishing, and some of the same standards apply. For example, anything generated at Fairfield that is available on the Internet through the University's network represents the University and not just an individual.

Even with disclaimers, the University is represented by its students, faculty and staff, and appropriate content, language and behavior is warranted.

7. Users may not transmit any material that is unlawful, libelous, or encourages conduct that would constitute a criminal offense, give rise to civil liability, or otherwise violate any federal, state, or local laws. Materials made available or transmitted on University Computer systems must comply with all University policies governing conduct of students, faculty and staff.

Administration:

The University encourages all members of its community to use electronic communications in a manner that is respectful to others. While respecting users' confidentiality and privacy, the University reserves the right to examine computer files and monitor electronic activity within the limits of other applicable University policies. The University may exercise this right in order to enforce its policies regarding harassment and the safety of individuals; to prevent the posting of proprietary software or electronic copies of electronic texts or images in disregard of copyright restrictions or contractual obligations; to safeguard the integrity of computers, networks, and data either at the University or elsewhere; and to protect the University against seriously damaging consequences. The University may restrict the use of its computers and network systems for electronic communications when faced with evidence of violation of University policies, or federal, state or local laws. The University reserves the right to limit access to its networks through University-owned or other computers, and to remove or limit access to material posted on University-owned computers.

All users are expected to conduct themselves consistent with these responsibilities and all other applicable University policies. Abuse of computing privileges will subject the user to disciplinary action according to established University procedures. Abuse of networks or computers at other sites through the use of Fairfield University resources will be treated as an abuse of computing privileges at the University. When appropriate, temporary restrictive actions will be taken by system or network administrators pending further disciplinary action; the loss of computing privileges may result.

The University and users recognize that all members of the University community are bound by federal, state and local laws relating to civil rights, harassment, copyright, security and other statutes relating to electronic media. It should be understood that this policy does not preclude enforcement under the laws and regulations of the United States of America nor the State of Connecticut.

This policy may be amended or changed by the University Vice-Presidents, and in matters affecting the Academic Division, with the mutual consent of the Academic Council.

© 2011 All Rights Reserved | Fairfield University, 1073 North Benson Road, Fairfield, Connecticut 06824 | (203) 254-4000

AC: 02/01/1993 replaced AC: 04/30/2012

Appendix 24: Procedures in Support of Fairfield University's Computer Systems Acceptable Use Policy

These procedures have been designated to complement rather than supersede existing University procedures, guidelines, and policies relating to computer use by faculty, staff and students. For this reason, final authority for the resolution of complaints, including any penalties rests with the appropriate chief administrator.

For the purposes of this policy, "appropriate chief administrator" shall be defined as the senior administrator who has jurisdiction over the alleged offender of the Fairfield University Computer Systems Acceptable Use Policy (hereafter cited as the "Acceptable Use Policy"). The "appropriate Chief administrator" for faculty is the Academic Vice President. The "appropriate chief administrator" for students will either be the Academic Vice President if the offense is related to academics, or the Vice President for Student Affairs in non-academic affairs. In circumstances where the jurisdictional lines are not clearly demarcated, authority will rest with both Vice Presidents, disputes being resolved by the President. In the case of non-faculty staff, the Associate Vice President of Human Resources is the chief administrator.

Guiding Principles

These procedures were designed to balance seven interests:

- respecting the rights and responsibilities of academic freedom as defined in applicable policies for faculty, and students engaged in academic work;
- protecting the rights of the university;
- protecting users' privacy;
- protecting the System or network Administrator (SNA) in the performance of his or her job;
- allowing routine administrative actions that might affect users' files;
- providing a mechanism to allow non-routine, non-emergency access to users' files when it can be justified;
- providing guidelines for the occasional need to take immediate action. The ability of an SNA to read a user's files does not imply that he or she may do so without obtaining the approval required by these procedures.

Balancing Rights

"Incidental personal use" of computing systems is an accepted and appropriate benefit of being associated with Fairfield University. However, "incidental personal use" must still adhere to all university policies, and must never have an adverse impact on the use of technology and information resources in support of the University's mission. Examples of "adverse impact" are described in the section entitled "Responsibilities" in the Acceptable Use Policy. The respective chief administrator of the Academic Division, Student Services, and Human Resources share the responsibility to interpret the Acceptable Use Policy along with existing university policies

relating to personal use of computers and to establish procedures to assist them in the investigation and enforcement of these procedures. For example, in accordance with existing university procedures an employee's supervisor may also decide that personal activities are affecting the abilities of the employee or colleagues to perform job functions and it is their right to ask the employee to cease those activities. Ultimately, the Office of Human Resources will arbitrate disagreements concerning the interpretation of the Acceptable Use Policy relating to non-faculty staff.

Privacy

This policy defines "private" either as physically or technically not accessible to the general public or accessible only through non-obvious password protection or other security schemes designed to limit access to known or identified individuals. Network system logs which may record an individual's network activity shall be considered private.

Routine Operations

During routine administration SNAs may need to archive or delete privacy user files or messages from the system; for example, this usually is due to physical data storage limits or an individual's departure from the University. In this situation, it is not necessary for an SNA to read or view user files; all work is done using system utilities, machine to machine. Given that these situations are foreseeable, each organization responsible for a computer or network system on which these actions will take place must define how and when they will occur. Reasonable efforts must then be made to ensure that system users understand the policy.

Violations, Investigations and Due Process

Non-routine situations may occur where it is necessary to examine a user's private files without being able to obtain his/her specific permission or authorization. Such situations may include the investigation of violations of this policy or other University policies. The intent of these procedures is to separate the authority to read private user files or messages from the technical ability to do so. This separation attempts to protect both the user and the SNA.

Scope

- The procedures outlined in this section shall apply to the investigation of University policy violations, including violations of the Acceptable Use Policy, which involve University computing resources or which require access to the private computer activities or files of students or faculty.
- Reporting of complaints
 Any member of the University community may bring a complaint of unacceptable use
 of computing resources. It is also conceivable that individuals or agencies outside the
 University may bring such complaints. Complaints shall be brought to the Director of
 Computing & Network Services or his/her designee who will be responsible for

coordinating the presentation of complaints to the "appropriate chief administrator" as follows:

- A. The Director of Computing & Network Services is charged with making judgments of whether a given activity, use, or publication involving Fairfield University computing resources (including but not limited to the use of the campus network and internet gateway, use of servers-e-mail, web, file, mainframes, etc.-desktop computers, public terminals, etc.) requires further investigation and/or referral to the appropriate chief administrator.
- B. For alleged violations of the AUP by faculty members or by students engaged in academic work, complaints that merit investigation will be referred to the Academic Vice President. Before any action is taken the Academic Vice President will refer the matter to an Acceptable Use Policy Committee (AUPC) composed of two tenured faculty appointed by the Educational Technologies Committee and one tenured faculty appointed by the Academic Vice President to investigate and make a recommendation.
- C. Students whose conduct is alleged to violate the AUP may appeal to the Academic Vice President on the grounds that their activity is course related and within the scope of academic freedom. The Academic Vice President will refer the matter to AUPC. Student activities that are related to course work and found in violation of the AUP may be sanctioned by the Academic Vice President and the matter referred back to the Vice President of Student Affairs.
- D. For Non-Academic Violations the Director of Computing & Network Services may make recommendations regarding suspension of computer privileges or other punitive or remedial action to the respective chief administrator.
- For the disposition of computing privileges, the due process procedures outlined in this document shall be sufficient, on the authority of the appropriate chief administrator, to revoke or limit computing privileges of an individual found in violation of acceptable use. However, this policy does not limit any further disciplinary action the appropriate chief administrator may seek to bring according to established disciplinary procedures for faculty, staff and students.

Authorization for Investigative Action

This policy makes a distinction between electronic files and activities that take place on common University computing equipment (file and e-mail servers, the network and internet gateway) and files and activities that take place on a personal computer belonging to or assigned to an individual. Private electronic files that reside on, or activities that take place on, common University computing equipment (file servers, etc.) and private computing activities that take place over the University network and internet gateway are covered by this policy and may be searched according to the procedures set out in Sections 3a and 3b below. Electronic files residing on personal computers belonging or assigned to individuals may only be searched according to the protected through the use of non-obvious passwords or other security measures), either on common University file server equipment or through the use of "sharing" or other forms of file server programs on equipment owned by or assigned to a user

are not considered private according to this policy. Computing equipment that resides off campus is not covered under this policy, though all activity of such equipment by authorized Fairfield users that takes place through the University network and computing systems is covered by this policy.

- a. Searching private computer files or monitoring electronic activities that do not violate the Acceptable Use Policy. Situations may arise in which the conduct of a computer user is under investigation for violations of other University policies. In such cases, authorization to access private electronic files or monitor electronic activity must be made in writing by the appropriate chief administrator to the Director of Computing & Network Services, who in turn must authorize the System or Network Administrator (SNA) in writing to perform the requested search. Any attempt to access private electronic files or other private electronic activities must conform to all applicable operating procedures of the University.
- b. Searching faculty offices and student dorm room. Different policies govern the privacy of student dorm rooms and faculty office space:
- i) Student Dorm Rooms and Personal Computers Owned by Students. Under the authority of the Room Entry and Room Search sections of the Student Handbook, the Vice President of Student Affairs may authorize that a search be conducted on a personal computer. Entry to the student room shall be conducted in accordance with the Room Entry and Room Search clauses in the Student Handbook. The SNA may be authorized to assist the staff of student services by accompanying them and conducting the search of student computer in accordance with Section 4a of this policy.
- ii) Faculty Desktop Computers. This policy recognizes that files stored on a desktop computer are part of the faculty office, unless made publicly available (i.e., not password protected) through networking programs such as file sharing, web, or other such server software. Entry to a faculty desktop computer shall occur only when authorized by the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, in accordance with existing policies.

All users of computing resources at the University should be aware that this policy does not limit any applicable State and Federal search and seizure procedures.

Emergency Situations. Situations will occur that pose immediate threats to the operations or security of computer or network systems. Because of the immediacy, the SNA will need to intervene without obtaining the written permission usually required before taking actions that may affect user files, messages or system access privileges. The intent of these procedures is to allow SNAs to take appropriate, timely action when protecting University computer systems while ensuring that the user and appropriate University officials will be made aware of the situation as soon as possible.

• If an SNA determines that user files or messages pose a significant threat to the operation or security of a University computer or network system, he or she will take appropriate action to correct the problem only upon the authorization of the Vice

President for Information Services. If the Director of Computing & Network Services is not available, the SNA may take such action as is necessary to resolve the emergency. Such action may include, but is not limited to disabling user privileges, deleting or disabling a user file, or disconnecting a network connection. SNAs are not authorized to enter a private office or dorm room on an emergency basis, but may temporarily disable network connections until proper authorization is obtained to inspect computing equipment in these areas. The SNA will not perform any action on user files or messages that are not relevant to the current problem and will not take any technical action, a this point, that would permanently deprive the user of access to the computer or network system.

• As soon as possible after action is taken, but no later than the next business day, the SNA will make a written report to the Director of Computing & Network Services and the appropriate chief administrator outlining the nature of the threat; protective actions taken; the user(s) involved; and the user file or messages that were affected.

Sanctions. For nonacademic violations the appropriate chief administrator will review the recommendations of the Director of Computing & Network Services and make the final decision concerning any penalty or sanctions to be imposed on the offending party. Under this policy, those sanctions or penalties may consist of limitation or suspension of any or all computing privileges. Imposition of such penalties does not preclude further disciplinary action according to established policies for faculty, students and staff.

Guidelines for Systems and Network Administrators

Computer systems and network administrators (SNAs), by the nature of their work, have privileges and responsibilities that other users of technology generally do not have. Without system privileges, SNAs would not be able to do their jobs. The use of these privileges must be wise and thoughtful. These guidelines were developed to articulate responsibilities SNAs have in addition to those outlined in Fairfield University's Acceptable Use Policy.

- 1. SNAs are bound by the Acceptable Use Policy and the procedures set forth in Sections I and II of this policy. Further, SNAs have a responsibility to educate users about all applicable computing policies.
- 2. All SNAs have an additional responsibility to assure the operation, security and integrity of Fairfield University's computers, networks, and data.
- 3. Consistent with the other obligations imposed on them under the Acceptable Use Policy, other applicable University policies, and the law, SNAs will treat as confidential any private and/or confidential information obtained during system administration.
- 4. SNAs must not disclose privileged and confidential information about Fairfield University's systems or any other information that could prove detrimental to operations or compromise system security.
- 5. It is against University policy for an SNA to read a user's files. However, SNAs in the course of routine system administration may need to delete or archive user files or messages. In order to do this, SNAs must first promulgate a clear policy to the users

describing how and when delete or archive actions will be taken. These policies may vary by department. This section does not, however, grant SNAs authority to read user files or messages during routine system administration. Procedures for obtaining authorization to read user files or messages in routine, non-emergency and emergency situations are provided in Section II of this policy.

- 6. When reacting to or preventing actions by users that may violate the Acceptable Use Policy or other actions by users that may have significantly detrimental effects on system or network operation, SNAs may need to read, modify or delete user files or messages. These actions will take place in accordance with the procedures outlined in this policy and the SNA will document any access to user files or messages.
- 7. SNAs will take all practical measures to ensure that all hardware and software license agreements are faithfully executed on all systems, network, servers, and computers for which he or she has responsibility.

Violations of these Guidelines for SNAs will be handled following the administrative and disciplinary processes outlined in the applicable operating policies and procedures of the University.

© 2011 All Rights Reserved | Fairfield University, 1073 North Benson Road, Fairfield, Connecticut 06824 | (203) 254-4000

AC 04/30/2012

Appendix 25: University Council Constitution

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL CONSTITUTION

Article One: Name

This tri-partite body shall be known as the "University Council."

Article Two: Contracting Members

The contracting members shall consist of the three main bodies of Fairfield University: the Administration of Fairfield University, the Faculty of Fairfield University, and the Students of Fairfield University.

Article Three: Memberships

Each contracting member shall have four voting representatives on the Council. The Council shall meet at least three times per semester, time and place to be determined by a majority of the representatives. Any individual Council member may call for a meeting of the Council.

Article Four:

The three standing subcommittees of the University Council shall be: Community Life (2 students/1 faculty/1 administrator), Academics (1 student/2 faculty/1 administrator), and Operations (1 student/ 1 faculty/2 administrators).

Article Five: Voting

Adoption of a motion must be by an affirmative majority, a quorum of seven representatives being necessary to conduct business with at least three representatives from one contracting member and two representatives from each contracting member. Recommendations of the Council, which are not binding, are sent to the appropriate Vice President of the University for review and consideration, with additional copies sent to the President, the Provost, the other Vice Presidents, the FUSA President, the Student Senate President, and the Secretary of the General Faculty. Each representative shall have one vote. The Recording Secretary will call the first meeting. The Chairperson of the Council rotates among the contracting members. The Council will use *Robert's Rules of Order*

Article Six: Scope and Reference

The successful attainment of its educational goals by an institution of higher education requires the best possible use of the varied capacities of all individuals who constitute the

University. Each contracting member is urged to bring before the University Council issues under the purview of the Council that will impact on the University as a whole. It is suggested that the appropriate items whenever possible be brought before the Council before decisions are finalized.

The Council's purpose is to represent the Students, Administration, and Faculty, to discuss issues involving the students' relationship to the other segments of the University, and to make recommendations to the contracting member that makes the final decision on that particular issue.

Article Seven: Alterations

Amendments and other alterations to this constitution shall be proposed within the University Council passed by a majority vote of the total number of representatives, and then referred to the appropriate contracting member for acceptance. No amendment shall become effective unless it has been accepted by all three contracting members, each accepting according to its own procedure.

Article Eight: Effective Date

This Council shall begin operations upon its acceptance by all three contracting members mentioned herein.

Article Nine: Meeting participants

Meetings of the University Council are open to members of the University Community. Guests who wish to address an agenda item must be first recognized by the Chair of the Meeting.

> AC: 4/23/90 Approved GF: 4/5/91

Appendix 26: Fairfield University Institutional Review Board

The Academic Council has accepted the following policies as a general framework for the protection of the rights and welfare of human subjects involved in research conducted at Fairfield University or by its employees and/or students:

- 1. The University shall establish and maintain an Institutional Review Board (IRB) to ensure the protection of the rights and welfare of human research subjects pursuant to the federal regulations (45CFR Part 46 and 21CFR Part 56).
- 2. The President shall appoint members to the IRB and its Chair. Members shall be appointed in conformity with the IRB's Standard Operating Procedures.
- 3. The IRB will report to the Chief Academic Officer. The Chief Academic Officer will provide sufficient resources for the efficient conduct of IRB business, including an administrative staff person to serve as IRB coordinator.
- 4. The IRB will not apply any review standard that exceeds the standards set by the Code of Federal Regulations.
- 5. Decisions to not approve a research protocol by the IRB may not be appealed. The IRB will provide written explanations for its decisions and investigators may choose to revise and resubmit their protocols.
- 6. Approval of research by the IRB does not preclude the review of such research by other agencies within the University.
- 7. Research that is exempt or does not fall within the scope of the federal Code of Regulations shall be exempt from IRB review. The IRB, however, shall be the sole interpreter of when research falls outside its purview and what research is exempt per the federal regulations.
- 8. The IRB will maintain and publish a set of "Standard Operating Procedures" detailing how investigators may submit research protocols for review, how the IRB operates, and who researchers may contact for clarification and advice.
- 9. Research that originates from outside the University must be submitted for review to the IRB. The IRB may choose to accept an external IRB's review as sufficient or, at its discretion, it may require that the research be reviewed and approved by the Fairfield University IRB.
- 10. All employees of the University who engage in research activities involving human subjects must seek prospective approval of their research. This includes all research activities conducted at Fairfield University and all research activities conducted outside the University but in one's capacity as an employee of the University.

- 11. All research activities involving human subjects conducted by students at Fairfield University or outside the University are subject to prospective IRB review and approval if the research is conducted as part of their curriculum or under the auspices of a University program. Procedures for students to submit their research for review to the IRB are detailed in the Standard Operating Procedures document.
- 12. All student research protocols submitted to the IRB must have a full-time University employee as a co-investigator. The University employee co-investigator should have experience in the area of research being conducted by the student and the University employee co-investigator is responsible for protecting the rights and welfare of the human subjects involved in the research.

AC: 5/15/1989 AC: 10/1/2012

Appendix 27: Guidelines for Faculty Recruitment

The hiring of faculty at Fairfield University is one of the most important activities that we, as academics, perform and, in the academic division particularly, is central to building a strong and vibrant university. Therefore, the process of conducting a search, of building a pool of applicants that reflects the diversity and richness of our profession, of bringing candidates to campus for interviews, of judging the appropriate match with Fairfield, and of negotiating with our preferred candidate are all matters of the utmost seriousness to us. As we seek faculty members who will enhance our educational mission, we also must treat each candidate with respect and consideration.

While the basic responsibility for conducting a search and recommending the appropriate persons to fill vacancies resides with the faculty of the department or area in which the vacancy exists, it is expected that Deans will play a significant role in this process. In addition, there exists an overriding priority to conduct searches in conformity with the University's equal opportunity employment policy consistent with our desire to enhance the diversity of our faculty and staff. Jesuits may be recruited through a targeted search that otherwise follows standard hiring practices.

In order to conduct searches effectively, please note the following guidelines and procedures. All faculty searches should be conducted in accord with the policy on appointment in the <u>Faculty Handbook</u> (II.A.1) and in conformity with the governance documents of the college and the schools. These guidelines represent an elaboration of those policy statements.

Starting the Process

- For all faculty searches, every attempt will be made to build a diverse pool of qualified candidates from the national market in a discipline. A position description shall be developed which should state the title or rank of the position, the duties to be performed, and any experience or minimal qualifications required of all candidates.
- The conceptualization and description of the position, prepared by the department or program as a whole, must take into account several factors: the disciplinary needs of the department or program, interdisciplinary collaborations with other departments and programs, the further integration of the Core, the diversification of our faculty and the enactment of the University mission. New faculty members are not necessarily expected to contribute to all of these areas, but care should be taken that they will contribute significantly to at least one of them. All shall take seriously the need to contribute to the university's Jesuit mission and identity.
- When a vacancy exists, the Chair, Director or Dean initiates the search by justifying the position to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and getting an approval of the position description. This is captured on the **Authorization to Hire** form, which requires the accord of the President and the Chief Financial Officer.

• Once the search is approved, the Dean and relevant faculty members work collaboratively to establish a search committee to be appointed by the Dean. The Search Committee should never be so small that all the views and perspectives in the unit are not represented nor so large as to be unwieldy. It is normally expected that the Dean, Chair or Director will head the Search Committee, although the Chair may delegate this responsibility to a senior member of the department upon consultation with the Dean or SVPAA.

It is also normal procedure for at least one faculty member of the Search Committee to be from outside the department or program conducting the search. The Dean of the school or college will coordinate the appointment of the external member. In the case of a joint hire by more than one department or program, the composition of the committee should be proportionate to the percentage shares of the joint appointment. Outside members should participate extensively in the selection process, including the final deliberations on the candidate. In many cases, the outside member will represent some significant potential interdisciplinary cooperation inherent in the new position.

Developing a pool of candidates:

• Once the Authorization to Hire has been signed by all parties and the Search Committee has been formed, the head of the Search Committee will submit to the office of the SVPAA (directly, if the head is a Dean, or through the Dean, if the head is a department chair) the following items:

The search plan. This plan should describe the composition of the Search Committee, a budget for the search, a calendar of the expected progress of the search, including the closing date for applications and the expected date for completion of the search, a list of the places where the search will be advertised or publicized, the professional meeting used for screening potential candidates, any special efforts being made to bring minorities, women, Jesuits, veterans or disabled persons into the pool of candidates and, finally, plans for notifying candidates of receipt of complete application dossiers, progress of the search and the final results of the search. In general, all applicants should receive written or electronic notification that their applications have been received, whether any necessary items are lacking, and notification when they are no longer under consideration, even if the search is not final. Finalists should receive written of the results of the search.

The position announcement. This is a copy of all public statements about the position (newspaper, the Chronicle for Higher Ed, and discipline specific journal advertisements, on-line placements, e-bulletin boards, announcements sent to the graduate schools, etc.). Every search must include at least one hard copy print announcement of the open position in an appropriate trade publication.

The position description and the job ad must contain all the essential duties of the new faculty member. A candidate evaluation rubric shall be generated to score the

applications, and said rubric shall be based on the specific hiring criteria as listed in the job description/position announcement.

To save money for ads in external venues, departments may use an abbreviated job ad. Abbreviated job ads may simply list the name of the university, the department, the disciplinary area of the vacancy, a reference to the URL of the university website where the full job ad may be viewed, and a notation that we are an AA/EO employer.

All full job ads must:

- 1. Specify clear academic qualifications for the position and specific hiring criteria;
- 2. List a final deadline for applications, or state that the search will continue until the position has been filled;
- 3. State that Fairfield is a Catholic Jesuit university;
- 4. Include the following EEO/AA wording for ads:

"Fairfield University is an Equal Opportunity / Affirmative Action employer, committed to excellence through diversity, and, in this spirit, particularly welcomes applications from women, persons of color, veterans, Jesuits and members of historically underrepresented groups. The University will provide reasonable accommodations to all qualified individuals with a disability."

As all search committees should evaluate candidates using the same basic criteria, the same materials should be asked of all candidates in the position advertisements (other materials may be asked only of semi-finalists or finalists). These should include; (i) cover letter; (ii) curriculum vitae; and (iii) three letters of recommendation from qualified reviewers; and may also include (i) graduate transcripts, (ii) sample syllabi of courses taught if available, (iii) teaching evaluations, (iv) a personal statement covering teaching philosophy, research plan, and experience of, or interest in, working with people of diverse cultures and identities, and (v) an example of representative scholarship, e.g. published article or book chapter, dissertation chapter, video or audio recording of an artistic performance, etc. Electronic submission of application materials should be encouraged.

Once the search plan and position announcement have been approved by the SVPAA, his/her office will work with the Dean and the chair of the search committee to activate the search plan. All announcements, newspaper, and journal advertisements should be placed through the Office of the SVPAA well in advance of the desired deadline dates, and it is expected that all copy will be submitted via an email attachment to the Office of the SVPAA. Notices for professional conferences and online journals may be placed directly by the head of the Search Committee with the approval of the SVPAA. Frequency of placements will be determined by the SVPAA in consultation with the Dean and head of the Search Committee.

• The head of the Search Committee should schedule a time for a representative from the Office of Human Resources and the Dean (or his/her designee) to brief the committee on recruitment, hiring and selection procedures, including a rehearsal of what are appropriate and what are inappropriate questions, all in accord with the requirements of the University's equal employment opportunity policy.

Selecting final candidates

- Every ethical opportunity should be taken to get as much information as possible about candidates before inviting a select few to campus for interviews. All faculty searches should, wherever possible, include the screening of potential candidates at an appropriate professional meeting, and this opportunity should be included in the search plan. The recruitment budget of the SVPAA will fund two faculty members for the purpose of conducting interviews. In cases where interviews occur at research conferences, departments may wish to fund additional faculty members.
- If a professional meeting does not take place until the late winter or spring, telephone or teleconference interviews should be employed. When narrowing the pool to the final few candidates, designated members of the search committee should call references and find out as much as possible about each candidate. No one should be invited to campus as a finalist without submitted letters of reference and/or telephone reference calls, with written notes shared by the faculty member(s) conducting the telephone interview.
- The Search Committee works with the dean to select the two or three finalists they wish to invite to campus for an interview. At this point, the equal opportunity compliance report must be completed with the requisite information and appended to the folders of all individuals who were deemed qualified for the position, whether they were selected for interview or not, if they had been identified as women or minority candidates. This package of materials should be sent to the Dean by departmental or area search committees or to the SVPAA by search committees headed by Deans or Directors. To avoid delays, chairs should alert the Dean and coordinate this process to allow for speedy review. The Dean or the SVPAA will review the documentation for compliance with the University's equal opportunity policies.
- Under normal circumstances, the number of candidates invited for an interview should be limited to at most three people. Additions above that number require SVPAA approval.
- In contacting candidates to arrange a campus interview, the head of the Search Committee should be careful not to discuss salary issues beyond our institutional commitment to pay at the 95th percentile. Any questions that come up regarding salaries should be referred to the Dean. Candidates should be sent as much information about the position, the department, and the University as possible in

advance of their visit. Deans should work with the chair of the search committee to develop the appropriate materials.

Conducting the campus interview

- Departments must accept as finalists the candidates identified by the search committee and may not substitute their judgment for the judgment of the search committee. Once the search committee has identified three candidates for a campus visit, the process of submitting recommendations to the Dean normally shifts to the full department, area, or school. Any change from this policy should be clearly agreed upon in advance between the department and the Dean. Some departments, particularly larger ones, may wish to empower the search committee or some subset of the department that includes the search committee, to continue to take a leading role in the interview and selection of prioritized recommendations. In no case should faculty members who have not participated in the search and have not met all the final candidates be allowed to vote in the selection of prioritized recommendations to the Dean. Substitution of video taped interviews or presentations must be approved by the Dean.
- Interviews for faculty positions should normally encompass about a day and a half. In addition to an extended interview with the Search Committee, candidates should be scheduled for interviews with department members who are not on the Search Committee, a group of student majors and/or minors (if possible), perhaps faculty members from other departments who might work with the individual, and the Dean. Forty-five minutes should be scheduled for the SVPAA to interview each candidate. Other interviews as appropriate could include library, CAE, or research and grant support staff. Everyone meeting the candidate should have a copy of the candidate's schedule and resume. The candidate should have a copy of his or her schedule with names and job titles of all interviewers well in advance of the campus visit. Various appropriate scoring rubrics, based upon the essential job features as described in the position description, should be made available to all the various groups and individuals who will be evaluating the candidates.
- Candidates for faculty positions should be asked to give a presentation of their research to a meeting of faculty (and, if appropriate, students), and to conduct a scheduled or mock class during their visit. Because of the centrality of effective teaching to our mission, some vehicle for observing and evaluating the candidates in this area must be part of each campus visit. Students must be given an opportunity to give feedback to the search committee through a written questionnaire or rubric. Student feedback should be part of the justification of the department in making a hiring recommendation to the Dean and SVPAA.
- Given the housing situation in this area, some attempt should be made to acquaint candidates with the realities and possibilities of living accommodations here during their visit. The Office of Human Resources can be helpful in assisting in this regard.

Also, during the candidate's interview with the Dean, there should be a brief discussion of interest, availability, fringe benefits and salary expectations to ensure once again that everyone has a mutual understanding about the position. Wherever possible, candidates should receive a campus tour and a tour of the local area.

- All finalist candidates should be given the opportunity to meet with the staff of the office of Human Resources in order to discuss benefits and any other appropriate issues.
- In arranging an interview trip, the person responsible for the search should explain to the candidate that reasonable travel, lodging and meal expenses will be reimbursed to the candidate. The person responsible for the search must contact the Office of the SVPAA when a candidate is staying overnight, and said office will inform the chair of the search about room availability and make appropriate reservations.
- The entertainment of candidates can be an important part of the interview process. The head of the search committee can pick up a voucher from the SVPAA's office for lunch in the Faculty Dining Area. Charges for all other meals should be processed through the standard University expense reconciliation procedure. The SVPAA's Office will insert the recruitment budget number. All meals with candidates should be considered part of the interview process; they are both social and business occasions. As conversation with the candidate is the prime purpose of the meal, a maximum of three Fairfield employees should accompany the candidate. A modest local dining venue is most appropriate. It is recommended that these opportunities to interact more informally with candidates be shared across the faculty.

Selecting the final candidate

- When all the interviews have been conducted, the Search Committee or department should obtain and share opinions from all people who met formally with the candidate. These judgments, as captured on the scoring rubrics or in narrative statements, shall be considered by all department members who by right are involved in the decision concerning whom to recommend to hire. Following appropriate discussion, the search committee or department should recommend, in writing, a rank ordering of the acceptable candidates, and a list of the unacceptable candidates, with a brief statement evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of each. These statements should include a judgment as to the role the candidates may make to the Jesuit mission of the University, as expressed in the Faculty Handbook, and in the University's strategic planning documents. Chairs should make their recommendations to the SVPAA.
- If the Dean does not agree with the recommendations, or if the SVPAA disagrees with the Department, Area, Director or Dean's recommendation, all parties will work together collegially to attempt a resolution. Only the SVPAA is empowered to extend an offer of employment. In the rare case where unresolved differences remain on faculty appointments, the procedure listed in the Faculty Handbook in II.A.1.a (4) shall

apply. If none of the candidates are acceptable to the Search Committee, the members should discuss with the Dean and/or the SVPAA the options of extending, canceling and restarting the search.

- Once there is agreement on the candidate to whom we wish to make a job offer, the responsibility for communicating an offer to the candidate rests solely with the Dean. Once an offer has been accepted, the Dean shall communicate details of the offer to the SVPAA, who will send the formal offer letter to the candidate. Once a signed copy is received by the SVPAA, his/her office will inform the Office of Human Resources that a candidate has signed a written offer of employment so that its staff may complete the necessary documentation for employment.
- Exceptions to any of these guidelines by individual schools or departments are welcomed when they are seen to enhance the procedure and enable us to achieve more effectively our fundamental objective, which is to bring to Fairfield University the best, the most intellectually vibrant, the most engaged and apt, and the most diverse faculty that we can find who will enhance and further our Jesuit mission. Such variations must be approved in advance by the Dean and SVPAA.

AC: 2/10/2014