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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a Krull monoid with divisor class group $\mathbb{Z}$, and let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ denote the set of divisor classes of $M$ which contain prime divisors. We find conditions on $S$ equivalent to the finiteness of both $\Delta(M)$, the Delta set of $M$, and $c(M)$, the catenary degree of $M$. In the finite case, we obtain explicit upper bounds on $\max \Delta(M)$ and $c(M)$. Our methods generalize and complement a previous result concerning the elasticity of $M$.


## 1. Introduction

Because of their relevance to non-unique factorizations in algebraic number theory, Krull monoids $M$ with finite divisor class group have been well-studied. It is known in this case that the sets of lengths of $M$ are almost-arithmetic multiprogressions (see [8] for a study of such sequences). When the divisor class group of $M$ is not finite, we know considerably less about the structure of the length sets. In particular, if each divisor class of $M$ contains a prime divisor, then a result of Kainrath [13] implies that each finite subset of $\{2,3,4, \ldots\}$ can be obtained as a set of lengths. In [12], Hassler gives conditions on this distribution of divisor classes with primes which yield "thin" sets of lengths. About 10 years ago, a series of papers appeared ([2] and [3]) which considered problems involving the elasticity of Krull monoids with divisor class group $\mathbb{Z}$. In such a Krull monoid, let $S$ represent the set of divisor classes of $M$ which contain prime divisors and write $S$ as a disjoint union $S^{+} \cup S^{-}$where $S^{+}=\{s \in S \mid s \geq 0\}$ and $S^{-}=\{s \in S \mid s<0\}$. The main result of [2] (Theorems 2.1 and 2.3) implies that the elasticity, $\rho(M)$, is finite if and only if either $S^{+}$or $S^{-}$is finite. In this note, we show that this result extends to the cardinality of the Delta set of $M, \Delta(M)$, as well as the catenary degree of $M, c(M)$. In particular, the results of the next two sections along with the result mentioned above from [2] will constitute a proof of the following.
Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be a Krull monoid with divisor class group $\mathbb{Z}$ where $S=S^{+} \cup S^{-}$ corresponds to the set of divisor classes which contain prime divisors. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\rho(M)$ is finite,

[^0](2) $\Delta(M)$ is finite,
(3) $c(M)$ is finite,
(4) Either $S^{+}$or $S^{-}$is finite.

By [11, Theorem 1.6.3], we have for all atomic monoids that $(3) \Rightarrow(2)$. Few other general relationships exist between (1), (2), and (3). For instance, Example 4.8.11 in [11] yields a monoid $M$ with $\rho(M)<\infty$ and $|\Delta(M)|=\infty$ (other pathological type examples are found in [11] in Examples 1.6.11, 1.6.3.3, and Theorem 3.1.5).

We proceed with a brief summary of the necessary background and notation for the remainder of our work. For a commutative cancellative monoid $M$, let $M^{\times}$denote its subgroup of units; $M$ is called reduced if $M^{\times}=\{1\}$. Let $\mathcal{A}(M)$ denote the set of irreducible elements or atoms of $M$. If $x \in M$, an atomic factorization is an expression of the form $F: x=\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}$ where $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathcal{A}(M)$; in this case we say that $|F|=n$ is the length of this atomic factorization. If $x \in M \backslash M^{\times}$we define

$$
\mathcal{L}(x)=\{n \mid x \text { has an atomic factorization of length } n\}
$$

and $\mathfrak{L}(M)=\left\{\mathcal{L}(x) \mid x \in M \backslash M^{\times}\right\} . M$ is called atomic if every element has an atomic factorization. If $M$ is atomic, $x \in M$, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ divides $x$, then there is an atomic factorization of $x$ in which $\alpha$ appears.

For any $x \in M \backslash M^{\times}$the ratio $\sup \mathcal{L}(x) / \min \mathcal{L}(x)$ is called the elasticity of $x$, denoted $\rho(x)$. If $M$ is not a group, we define the elasticity of the monoid $M$ by

$$
\rho(M)=\sup \left\{\rho(x) \mid x \in M \backslash M^{\times}\right\} .
$$

A review of the main facts concerning the elasticity can be found in [11, Chapter 1.4]. Given $x \in M \backslash M^{\times}$, write its length set in the form $\mathcal{L}(x)=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}$ where $n_{i}<n_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. The Delta set of $x$ is then defined by

$$
\Delta(x)=\left\{n_{i+1}-n_{i} \mid 1 \leq i<k\right\}
$$

and the Delta set of $M$ by

$$
\Delta(M)=\bigcup_{x \in M \backslash M^{\times}} \Delta(x)
$$

(see again [11, Chapter 1.4]). If $\Delta(M)$ is nonempty, $\min \Delta(M)=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta(M)$ (see [11, Proposition $1,4,4]$ ). Computations of Delta sets for various types of monoids can be found in [4] and [5].

Suppose that $M$ is reduced, $x \in M$ is not the identity, and that

$$
F: x=\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n} \beta_{1} \cdots \beta_{s} \text { and } F^{\prime}: x=\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n} \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{t}
$$

are distinct atomic factorizations such that $\beta_{i} \neq \gamma_{j}$ for all $i, j$. With notation as above, we define $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)=\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}$ and the distance between $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ by $d\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)=\max \{s, t\}$. Extend $d$ to all pairs of factorizations by $d(F, F)=0$. The basic properties of the factorization distance function can be found in [11, Proposition 1.2.5].

An $N$-chain of factorizations from $F$ to $F^{\prime}$ is a sequence $F_{0}, \ldots, F_{k}$ such that each $F_{i}$ is a factorization of $x, F_{0}=F$ and $F_{k}=F^{\prime}$, and $d\left(F_{i}, F_{i+1}\right) \leq N$ for all $i<k$. The catenary degree of $x$, denoted $c(x)$, is the least $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup\{\infty\}$ such that for any two factorizations
$F, F^{\prime}$ of $x$ there is an $N$-chain between $F$ and $F^{\prime}$. The catenary degree of the monoid $M$ is defined as

$$
c(M)=\sup \left\{c(x) \mid x \in M \backslash M^{\times}\right\}
$$

A review of the known facts concerning the catenary degree can be found in [11, Chapter 3]. An algorithm which computes the catenary degree of a finitely generated monoid can be found in [6] and a more specific version for numerical monoids in [7].

A monoid $M$ is called a Krull monoid if there is an injective monoid homomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow D$ where $D$ is a free abelian monoid and $\varphi$ satisfies the following two conditions:
(1) If $a, b \in M$ and $\varphi(a) \mid \varphi(b)$ in $D$, then $a \mid b$ in $M$,
(2) For every $\alpha \in D$ there exists $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in M$ with $\alpha=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\varphi\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(a_{n}\right)\right\}$.

The basis elements of $D$ are called the prime divisors of $M$. The above properties guarantee that $\mathrm{Cl}(M)=D / \varphi(M)$ is an abelian group, which we call the divisor class group of $M$ (see [11, Section 2.3]). Note that since any Krull monoid is isomorphic to a submonoid of a free abelian monoid, a Krull monoid is commutative, cancellative, and atomic.

Given an abelian group $G$ (written additively), it is easy to explicitly construct a Krull monoid with divisor class group isomorphic to $G$. Let $\mathcal{F}(G)$ denote the free abelian monoid (written multiplicatively on the set $G$ ) and let $\theta: \mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow G$ be the homomorphism $\prod_{g \in G} g^{a_{g}} \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a_{g} \cdot g$. Then it is easy to check that the kernel of this homomorphism is a Krull monoid, where $D=\mathcal{F}(G)$ and $\varphi$ is inclusion. We denote this monoid, called the block monoid on $G$ by

$$
\mathcal{B}(G)=\left\{\prod_{g \in G} g^{a_{g}} \in \mathcal{F}(G) \mid \sum_{g \in G} a_{g} \cdot g=0\right\}
$$

and we refer to elements of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ as blocks or zero sequences from $G$. Note that every divisor class of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ contains a prime divisor: The divisor class corresponding to $g \in G$ contains the basis element $g$ of $\mathcal{F}(G)$.

If $D$ is a free monoid, $f$ is a basis element of $D$, and $X \in D$, we write $v_{f}(X)$ for the power of $f$ appearing in $X$. We say that $X$ is supported on some subset $S$ of the basis of $D$ if and only if $v_{f}(X)=0$ for all $f \notin S$. For any $S \subseteq G$, the set

$$
\mathcal{B}(G, S)=\{B \in \mathcal{B}(G) \mid B \text { is supported on } S\}
$$

forms a submonoid of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ called the restriction of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ to $S$. $\mathcal{B}(G, S)$ is again a Krull monoid, and it is not difficult to check that the divisor class corresponding to some $g \in G$ contains a prime divisor if and only if $g \in S$.

Restricted block monoids play an important role in the theory of non-unique factorizations, as the following proposition indicates.
Proposition 1.2 ([9], Proposition 1 see also [11], Theorem 3.4.10(5)). Suppose that $M$ is a Krull monoid with divisor class group $G$ and let $S \subseteq G$ denote the set of divisor classes which contain prime divisors. We have the equality

$$
\mathfrak{L}(M)=\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{B}(G, S))
$$

and the inequality

$$
c(\mathcal{B}(G, S)) \leq c(M) \leq \max \{c(\mathcal{B}(G, S)), 2\} .
$$

In particular, $\Delta(M)=\Delta(\mathcal{B}(G, S))$. Moreover, if $c(\mathcal{B}(G, S)) \geq 2$, then $c(M)=c(\mathcal{B}(G, S))$ and if $c(\mathcal{B}(G, S))=0$, then $c(M)=0$ or 2 .

Due to Proposition 1.2 and [1, Lemma 3.3], to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to consider block monoids of the form $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$, where $S=S^{+} \cup S^{-}$, and both $S^{+}$and $S^{-}$are nonempty. Moreover, if $0 \in S^{+}$, then the irreducible block represented by 0 is prime in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ and does not effect any factorization properties, since it must appear in every factorization of a block that contains 0 .

## 2. The unbounded case

Theorem 2.1. If $S^{+}$and $S^{-}$are infinite, then $\Delta(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S))$ and $c(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S))$ are infinite.
Proof. Assume hereafter that $S^{+}$and $S^{-}$are infinite.

- Fix an integer $j \geq 2$.
- Let $-m \in S^{-}$(so $m>0$ ).
- Since $S^{+}$is infinite, there exists an infinite $S^{\prime} \subseteq S^{+}$all of whose elements lie in the same congruence class modulo $m$. Choose $n \in S^{\prime}$ such that $n \geq m$ and let $e=\operatorname{lcm}\{n, m\}$.
- Fix $-M \in S^{-}$such that $M>e j$.
- Finally, fix $N \in S^{\prime}$ so that $N>2 e M$.
- Let $\alpha$ be the least positive integer such that $\alpha M \equiv b n \bmod m$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\beta$ be the least such $b$ which also satisfies $b n \geq \alpha M$. Define $k$ to be the least nonnegative integer such that $(\beta+1) n \leq e(j+k)$.
We infer a number of immediate consequences from these definitions:
- $\alpha$ is the order of $M$ in the group $(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}) /(n \cdot \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})$, so $\alpha \mid m$. In particular, $\alpha \leq e$.
- $\beta>e / n$, since $\beta \geq \alpha M / n>\alpha e j / n \geq e / n$ and $\alpha, j \geq 1$.
- By the choice of $k, 0 \leq e(j+k) / n-\beta-1<e / n$
- We have $\beta n-\alpha M<2 e$. Otherwise we could replace $\beta$ with the smaller positive integer $\beta^{\prime}=\beta-e / n$ which satisfies the same conditions.
- Because $N \equiv n \bmod m$ and $m \mid e$,

$$
q=\frac{(N-e M)+e(j+k)-n}{m}
$$

is an integer. In fact, $q$ is a positive integer satisfying $q>e M / m$ and $q>j+k$.
By this last remark,

$$
B=[N][-M]^{e}[n]^{e(j+k) / n-1}[-m]^{q}
$$

is an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$. We will show that $\mathcal{L}(B)=\{2, j+k\}$. The following observations will be used repeatedly in the remainder of the proof:
(i) $P=[n]^{e / n}[-m]^{e / m}$ is the unique atom of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ supported on $\{n,-m\}$. Any element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ which is supported on $\{n,-m\}$ factors uniquely as a power of $P$.
(ii) Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ is supported on $\{n,-M,-m\}$. We claim the following:

$$
\text { If } v_{[-M]}(X)>0 \text { then } v_{[n]}(X) \geq \beta .
$$

To prove this, write $X=[n]^{x}[-M]^{y}[-m]^{z}$ where $x, y, z$ are nonnegative integers satisfying $x n=y M+z m$. Then $x n \equiv y M \bmod m$, so since $y$ is positive, $y \geq \alpha$ by the choice of $\alpha$. Since we also have $x n \geq \alpha M$, it follows that $x \geq \beta$, as desired.

Now, let $F$ be an atomic factorization of $B$ as an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$. Since $v_{[N]}(B)=1$, there is exactly one atom $A$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ appearing in $F$ which satisfies $v_{[N]}(A)=1$. $F$ now falls into one of two cases, depending on the value of $v_{[-M]}(A)$.

Case 1: Suppose that $v_{[-M]}(A)=e$. Then $B / A$ is supported on $\{n,-m\}$ so by (i), $B / A$ factors uniquely as $P^{r}$.

Let us compute $r$. Write $A=[N][-M]^{e}[n]^{f}[-m]^{g}$ where $f, g$ are nonnegative integers and $N+f n=e M+g m$. Since $N \equiv n \bmod m$ and $m \mid e$, we have $(f+1) n \equiv 0 \bmod m$. Hence $e \mid(f+1) n$, so $f \geq e / n-1$. We claim that equality holds: $f \geq e / n$ and $N>2 e M$ together would imply $g m=(N-e M)+f n>e$. But then $g>e / m$, so $P$ would be a proper divisor of $A$, contradicting the hypothesis that $A$ is irreducible. Thus $v_{[n]}(A)=e / n-1$ and

$$
r=\frac{v_{[n]}(B / A)}{e / n}=\frac{e(j+k) / n-1-(e / n-1)}{e / n}=j+k-1 .
$$

So in this case, $F: B=A P^{j+k-1}$ and $|F|=j+k$.
To show that $j+k \in \mathcal{L}(B)$, it remains to show that such a factorization $F$ actually exists. That is, we must show that there is an atomic factor $A_{1}$ of $B$ which satisfies the conditions of this case. By the preceding arguments, the only candidate is $A_{1}=$ $[N][-M]^{e}[n]^{e / n-1}[-m]^{q-(j+k-1)}$. Since $q>j+k$ by an earlier remark, $A_{1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ is a divisor of $A_{1}$ such that $v_{[N]}(X)=0$. Since $v_{[n]}\left(A_{1}\right)<e / n \leq \beta$, observation (ii) guarantees that $v_{[-M]}(X)=0$. Thus by (i), $X=P^{d}$ for some $d \geq 0$, but $v_{[n]}(X)<e / n$, so $d=0, X=1$, and $A_{1}$ is irreducible.

Case 2: Suppose instead that $v_{[-M]}(A)<e$. Then $B / A$ has an irreducible factor $Y$ such that $v_{[-M]}(Y)>0$. Since we also have $v_{[N]}(Y)=0$, (ii) implies that $v_{[n]}(A Y) \geq \beta$, so

$$
v_{[n]}(B / A Y) \leq \frac{e(j+k)}{n}-1-\beta<e / n .
$$

Moreover, $v_{[N]}(B / A Y)=0$, so (ii) guarantees that $v_{[-M]}(B / A Y)=0$, and hence by (i), $B / A Y=P^{d}$ for some nonnegative integer $d$. However, since $v_{[n]}(B / A Y)<e / n$, we must have $d=0$. So $B / A=Y$ is irreducible, $F: B=A(B / A)$ and any factorization obtained in this case has length 2.

It remains to show that such a factorization exists. Let $r=(\beta n-\alpha M) / m$. By the definitions of $\alpha$ and $\beta, r$ is a positive integer, so $Y^{\prime}=[-M]^{\alpha}[n]^{\beta}[-m]^{r}$ is an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$. By earlier remarks, $\alpha \leq e, \beta \leq e(j+k) / n-1$, and $r<2 e / m \leq e M / m<q$. It follows that $Y^{\prime}$ divides $B$, so there is an atom $A_{2}$ dividing $B / Y^{\prime}$ such that $v_{[N]}\left(A_{2}\right)=1$. Since $\alpha>0$, we have $v_{[-M]}\left(A_{2}\right)<e$, so $A=A_{2}$ satisfies the conditions of this case and it follows that $B$ has a factorization of length 2 .

By Case 1 and Case 2, we have $\mathcal{L}(B) \subseteq\{2, j+k\}$. Conversely, we have shown that appropriate factorizations exist in both cases, so $\mathcal{L}(B)=\{2, j+k\}$. From the length set, we calculate $\Delta(B)=\{j+k-2\}$ and, since distinct factorizations of length 2 and $j+k \geq 2$ cannot share any common factors, $c(B)=j+k$. Since $j$ may be taken arbitrarily large, the result follows.

## 3. When either $S^{+}$or $S^{-}$is finite

The main theorem of this section is the following:
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $S^{\prime}=\left\{-m_{r}, \ldots,-m_{1}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\} \subseteq S$ where $m_{i}, n_{i}>0$ for all $i$ and $-m_{r}=\min S^{\prime}$. If $B$ is an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ supported on $S^{\prime}$, then

$$
\max \Delta(B) \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)-2
$$

(if $\Delta(B)$ is nonempty) and

$$
c(B) \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right) .
$$

The above is sufficient to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. If $S$ is bounded from either above or below, we may assume that $S^{-}$is finite, possibly after replacing $S$ with $-S$. From the above it follows then that if $\Delta(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S))$ is nonempty, then it is bounded above by $M\left(M+\left|S^{-}\right|^{2}\right)-2$ where $-M=\min \left(S^{-}\right)$. Similarly, we obtain $c(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)) \leq M\left(M+\left|S^{-}\right|^{2}\right)$.

The proof is based on a result of Lambert, which we now present adapted to the language of block monoids. Since the proof makes use of elements of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$ outside $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z})$, we define some convenient notation. As before, we let $\theta: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the obvious homomorphism (so that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z})=\operatorname{ker} \theta$ ). We define another homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$
\varphi: X \mapsto \sum_{n \in S^{+}} v_{[n]}(X)
$$

If $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$, then we write $X \leq Y$ whenever $v_{[z]}(X) \leq v_{[z]}(Y)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Theorem 3.2 (Lambert [14]). If $S^{-}$is finite with $\min \left(S^{-}\right)=-M$, then $\varphi(A) \leq M$ for all atoms $A$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$.

Proof. Let $A=\left[n_{1}\right]^{a_{1}} \cdots\left[n_{k}\right]^{a_{k}}\left[-m_{1}\right]^{b_{1}} \cdots\left[-m_{r}\right]^{b_{r}}$ be an atom of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$. An element $X$ of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$ is called a positive subsequence of $A$ if $X \leq A$ and $\theta(X) \geq 0$.

We construct a strictly increasing chain $1=X_{0}<\cdots<X_{\varphi(A)}=A$ of positive subsequences of $A$. Given $X_{i}$ when $0<i<\varphi(A)$, consider all positive subsequences $X$ of $A$ such that $\varphi(X)=\varphi\left(X_{i}\right)+1$. Such an $X$ must exist, since $\varphi\left(X_{i}\right)<\varphi(A)$ implies that there is some $j$ with $X_{i}\left[n_{j}\right] \leq A$, and $\varphi\left(X_{i}\left[n_{j}\right]\right)=\varphi\left(X_{i}\right)+1$. Let $X_{i+1}$ be such an $X$ with $\theta\left(X_{i+1}\right)$ as small as possible.

We claim that $\theta\left(X_{i}\right)<M$ for all $i$. This is clear when $i=0$ or $i=\varphi(A)$. When $0<i<\varphi(A)$, then since $X_{i}$ is a positive subsequence, there exists $j$ such that $X_{i}\left[-m_{j}\right] \leq A$. If we had $\theta\left(X_{i}\right) \geq M$, then $X_{i}\left[-m_{j}\right]$ would be a positive subsequence of $A$, but then $0 \leq \theta\left(X_{i}\left[-m_{j}\right]\right)<\theta\left(X_{i}\right)$, which contradicts the choice of $X_{i}$.

If $0<i<\varphi(A)$, then $\theta\left(X_{i}\right)>0$ as otherwise $X_{i}$ would be a proper nontrivial divisor of $A$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ which contradicts the hypothesis that $A$ is irreducible. Thus the set
$\left\{\theta\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, \theta\left(X_{\varphi(A)-1}\right)\right\}$ is a set of size $\varphi(A)-1$ contained in $\{1, \ldots, M-1\}$. Hence, if $\varphi(A)>M$ there would exist distinct $i, j$ with $i<j$ such that $\theta\left(X_{i}\right)=\theta\left(X_{j}\right)$. But then $A^{\prime}=X_{j} / X_{i} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$ and $A^{\prime}$ is a proper nontrivial divisor of $A$, which is impossible. Thus $\varphi(A) \leq M$ as desired.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We proceed by induction on $\max \mathcal{L}(B)$. In the base case $B$ is irreducible, so $\Delta(B)$ is empty and $c(B)=0$.

Suppose then that $B$ is not irreducible, let $L=\max \mathcal{L}(B) \geq 2$ and let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(B)$. Write $B=\left[n_{1}\right]^{d_{1}} \cdots\left[n_{k}\right]^{d_{k}}\left[-m_{1}\right]^{e_{1}} \cdots\left[-m_{r}\right]^{e_{r}}$. Fix an atomic factorization $F^{*}: B=A_{1} \cdots A_{L}$ of maximal length, and let $F_{*}: B=C_{1} \cdots C_{\ell}$ be any atomic factorization of $B$. For $i, j$, set $e_{i j}=v_{\left[m_{j}\right]}\left(A_{i}\right)$.

Claim: There is an index $f$ and a subset $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ of cardinality at most $m_{r}+r^{2}$ such that $A_{f}$ divides $\prod_{i \in I} C_{i}$.
Proof of the Claim. If $r>\ell$, then by taking any index $f$ and $I=\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ satisfies the claim. Hence, let us assume $r \leq \ell$. We will find $f$ with $1 \leq f \leq L$ and $e_{f j} / e_{j} \leq r / L$ for all $j$. Note that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{L} \max _{j} \frac{e_{i j}}{e_{j}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{e_{i j}}{e_{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{e_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{L} e_{i j}\right)=r
$$

since $\sum_{i} e_{i j}=e_{j}$. If for all $i$ we had $\max _{j}\left(e_{i j} / e_{j}\right)>r / L$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{L} \max _{j} \frac{e_{i j}}{e_{j}}>\sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{r}{L}=r
$$

which is a contradiction, so a desired $f$ must exist. After reordering, we may assume $f=L$.
If $J \subseteq\{1, \ldots \ell\}$ we set $C_{J}=\prod_{i \in J} C_{i}$. By the pigeonhole principle and the fact that $r \leq \ell$, for each $j=1, \ldots, r$ there is a subset $I_{j} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ with $\left|I_{j}\right| \leq r$ such that $v_{\left[-m_{j}\right]}\left(C_{I_{j}}\right) \geq r e_{j} / \ell$. But since $\ell \leq L$, this means that $v_{\left[-m_{j}\right]}\left(C_{I_{j}}\right) \geq e_{f j}$. Furthermore, we know by Theorem 3.2 that $\varphi\left(A_{f}\right) \leq m_{r}$, so we may choose $I_{0} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that $\left|I_{0}\right| \leq m_{r}$ and $v_{[n]}\left(A_{f}\right) \leq v_{[n]}\left(C_{I_{0}}\right)$ for all $n \in S^{+}$. Finally, take $I=I_{0} \cup I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{r}$. Then by construction, $C_{I}$ is divisible by $A_{f}$. Moreover, $|I| \leq\left|I_{0}\right|+\sum_{j}\left|I_{j}\right| \leq m_{r}+r^{2}$. This completes the proof of the claim and after reordering the $C_{i}$ 's, we may assume $I=\{1, \ldots, q\}$ for some

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \leq m_{r}+r^{2} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
B=A_{1} \cdots A_{L}=C_{1} \cdots C_{\ell}=\left(A_{L} D_{1} \cdots D_{t}\right)\left(C_{q+1} \cdots C_{\ell}\right) .
$$

where $A_{L} D_{1} \cdots D_{t}$ is a factorization of $C_{1} \cdots C_{q}$ in which $A_{L}$ appears. We denote the factorization $A_{L} D_{1} \cdots D_{t} C_{q+1} \cdots C_{\ell}$ by $F$.

Applying Theorem 3.2 to the identity $\varphi\left(A_{L} D_{1} \cdots D_{t}\right)=\varphi\left(C_{1} \cdots C_{q}\right)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
t+1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi\left(C_{i}\right) \leq q m_{r} \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q \leq \varphi\left(A_{L}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{t} \varphi\left(D_{i}\right) \leq(t+1) m_{r}$.

We now demonstrate the desired bounds on the Delta set. Note that if $q=1$ or $t+1=1$ then $F=F_{*}$. Otherwise, $\left||F|-\left|F_{*}\right|\right|=|(t+1)-q| \leq \max \{t-1, q-2\} \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)-2$ (that $t-1 \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)-2$ follows from $(* *)$, similarly $q-2 \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)-2$ by $\left.(*)\right)$. Let $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{F}^{*}$ denote the factorizations of $B / A_{L}$ obtained from $F$ and $F^{*}$ (the long factorization of $B$ ) by removing the irreducible factor $A_{L}$. By induction, if $\Delta\left(B / A_{L}\right)$ is nonempty, $\max \Delta\left(B / A_{L}\right) \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)-2$. Hence, there is an increasing sequence $|\tilde{F}|=\ell_{0}, \ldots, \ell_{s}=$ $\left|\tilde{F}^{*}\right|$ of lengths of atomic factorizations of $B / A_{L}$ such that $\ell_{i+1}-\ell_{i} \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)-2$ for all $i<s$ (if $\Delta\left(B / A_{L}\right)$ is empty, it follows that $F$ and $F^{*}$ have the same length). By concatenating the corresponding factorizations with $A_{L}$, we find $\left\{\ell,|F|=\ell_{0}+1, \ldots, \ell_{s}+1\right\} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{L}(B)$. As listed, the consecutive terms differ by no more than $m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)$, with the first term being $\left|F_{*}\right|=\ell$ and the last being $\left|F^{*}\right|=\ell_{s}+1$. Hence if $\Delta(B)$ is nonempty, the arbitrary choice of $F_{*}$ has shown $\max \Delta(B) \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)-2$.

To show the bound on the catenary degree, we again pass to factorizations of $B / A_{L}$ and use the induction hypothesis. Thus we have an $m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)$-chain from $\tilde{F}$ to $\tilde{F}^{*}$, and this lifts to a $m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)$-chain from $F$ to $F^{*}$ after multiplying every term in the chain by $A_{L}$. Finally, $d\left(F_{*}, F\right) \leq t+1 \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)$, so appending $F_{*}$ to this chain proves $c(B) \leq m_{r}\left(m_{r}+r^{2}\right)$.
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